abstract

Positional skull deformities may be present at birth or may develop during the first few months of life. Since the early 1990s, US pediatricians have seen an increase in the number of children with cranial asymmetry, particularly unilateral flattening of the occiput, likely attributable to parents following the American Academy of Pediatrics “Back to Sleep” positioning recommendations aimed at decreasing the risk of sudden infant death syndrome. Positional skull deformities are generally benign, reversible head-shape anomalies that do not require surgical intervention, as opposed to craniosynostosis, which can result in neurologic damage and progressive craniofacial distortion. Although associated with some risk of positional skull deformity, healthy young infants should be placed down for sleep on their backs. The practice of putting infants to sleep on their backs has been associated with a drastic decrease in the incidence of sudden infant death syndrome. Pediatricians need to be able to properly differentiate infants with benign skull deformities from those with craniosynostosis, educate parents on methods of proactively decreasing the likelihood of the development of occipital flattening, initiate appropriate management, and make referrals when necessary. This report provides guidance for the prevention, diagnosis, and management of positional skull deformity in an otherwise normal infant without evidence of associated anomalies, syndromes, or spinal disease. Pediatrics 2011;128:1236–1241

INTRODUCTION

Flattening of the occiput and asymmetrical skull molding may be caused by mechanical factors that act on the head in utero or during early infancy. This common condition has been referred to by many names such as benign positional molding, posterior plagiocephaly, occipital plagiocephaly, plagiocephaly without synostosis, and deformational plagiocephaly. Ancient civilizations recognized the malleability of the rapidly growing newborn skull and intentionally deformed skulls by selective positioning and using external constraints to achieve cultural distinction. The term “plagiocephaly” is a Greek derivative that means “oblique head.” Most skull deformities present at birth are the result of in utero or intrapartum molding. Associated conditions involve uterine constraint, especially in cases of multiple-birth infants, and forces exerted on the skull during complex delivery associated with forceps or vacuum-assisted delivery. Infants born prematurely also have a greater incidence of skull deformity attribut-
able to molding after birth. Most of these deformities improve spontaneously during the first few months of life if the infant does not rest his or her head predominantly on the flattened area of the skull. If the infant continues to rest his or her head on the flattened side of the occiput, an initially occipital plagiocephalic deformity may be perpetuated or worsened by gravitational forces and will be referred to in the remainder of this report as positional skull deformity as it relates to otherwise normal infants. Plagiocephaly is less commonly caused by unilateral lambdoidal or unilateral coronal craniosynostosis, which is a progressive and potentially devastating condition that requires early detection and surgical management. The clinical differentiation of benign positional skull deformity from craniosynostosis is well documented in the literature. A long narrow head, known as dolichocephaly, can be positional from breech presentation, familial, or caused by sagittal craniosynostosis. Occipital flattening and atypical shape also may be caused by craniosynostosis, particularly bilateral lambdoidal craniosynostosis. However, the incidence of isolated lambdoidal craniosynostosis is quite rare, estimated to be approximately 3 in 100,000 births (0.003%).

If the positional skull deformity develops postnatally, an initially typical, rounded skull shape may become flattened occipitally as a result of static supine positioning. Associated torticollis or “wryneck” may occur as a consequence of hemorrhage (within the sternocleidomastoid muscle) and/or subsequent scarring within the sternocleidomastoid muscle or muscle shortening caused by persistent, unidirectional positioning and limited neck motion resulting in plagiocephaly.

The incidence of positional skull deformity has been estimated to be as low as 1 in 300 live births to as high as 48% of typical healthy infants younger than 1 year, depending on the sensitivity of the criteria used to make the diagnosis. Since the American Academy of Pediatrics Task Force on Infant Positioning and Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) in 1992 recommended that healthy infants be positioned supine for sleeping, the incidence of SIDS has decreased from 1.2 per 1000 live births in 1992 to 0.56 per 1000 live births in 2001. Coincident with this decrease in SIDS has been a drastic increase in positional skull deformity, estimated at approximately 13% in healthy singleton infants, which makes this a relatively common issue to be faced by the pediatrician caring for infants and their families.

Mild positional skull deformity may persist in some children into adolescence. Minor craniofacial asymmetry can be detected in a significant number of adults; however, there are few current cases of positional skull deformity serious enough to be acknowledged by patients.

Families are often concerned that positional skull deformity may cause developmental delays. Although there have been no rigorous prospective studies to address this concern, there is currently no evidence to suggest that positional skull deformity causes developmental delays. There has been some early motor skill developmental delay of all infants placed supine related to upper body strength and rolling over, which resolves over time. Long-term follow-up studies have primarily been retrospective and questionnaire in nature and have not noted delays in cognitive or neurologic function. As might be suspected, conditions that cause delayed or abnormal development may predispose to positional skull deformity (eg, infants with hypotonia or hypertonia). Concerns have been raised over vision development and mandibular asymmetry, but a causal link to positional skull deformity has not been established. Likewise, there has been no credible medical evidence to support concerns brought up in lay literature associating positional skull deformity to otitis media, temporomandibular joint (TMJ) syndrome, scoliosis, or hip dislocation.

PREVENTION

The pediatrician or other primary care clinician should educate parents as well as other health care professionals, such as those in newborn care units, on methods for decreasing the risk of development of positional skull deformity and its treatment. A certain amount of prone positioning, or “tummy time,” while the infant is awake and being observed is recommended to help prevent the development of flattening of the occiput and to facilitate development of the upper shoulder girdle strength necessary for timely attainment of certain motor milestones. Beginning at birth, most positional skull deformity also can be prevented by nightly alternating the supine head position (ie, left and right occiputs) during sleep and periodically changing the orientation of the infant to outside activity, such as is likely to occur at the door of the room. Avoidance of prolonged placement indoors in car safety seats and swings should be discouraged. Documentation of these educational discussions and notation of infants’ positive physical findings longitudinally are important.

DIAGNOSIS

Positional skull deformity risk factors (multiple births, large for gestational age, oligohydramnios, breech or transverse position, etc) should be noted at birth, and positional skull deformity should be screened for at each health supervision visit up to 1 year of age to...
detect deformities that occur after birth as delineated in Bright Futures. Transient molding (caput, cephalhematoma) may be present at birth and obscure the true head shape. The diagnosis of positional skull deformity in infancy is made primarily on the basis of history and is confirmed by the physical examination. It is important for the pediatrician or other examiner to look down at the top of the head, view the position of the ears, and note the position of the cheekbones (maxilla). By doing this, the typical plagioccephalic positional skull deformity, which forms a parallelogram, will be observed. In addition to the usually unilateral flattening of the occipital area, there may be ipsilateral frontal (forehead) and parietal bossing, cheekbone prominence, and anterior ear displacement ipsilateral to the flattened occiput (Fig 1).

In contrast to deformational plagiocephaly, true craniosynostosis (either unilateral coronal or, much less commonly, lambdoid) most commonly yields a trapezoidal head shape in which there is flattening of both the occipital and frontal regions on the affected side. Uncommonly, lambdoid craniosynostosis can produce a parallelogram head shape, although the affected ear is displaced posteriorly and inferiorly in contrast to deformational plagiocephaly, with which it is displaced anteriorly. There is also tilting of the posterior skull base with prominence of the mastoid. Facial deformities are minimal if present at all. Normal and abnormal physical findings should be documented.

Examination of the face also may lead to detection of abnormalities such as head tilt and contralateral facial flattening. An assessment of neck movements also should be made to confirm or rule out the presence of torticollis. Infants with torticollis have some limitation of active rotation of their heads away from the flattened side of the occiput. The rotating-chair or stool test is a procedure that assists in the diagnosis of torticollis associated with positional skull deformity. The examiner sits on a rotating chair or stool and holds the infant facing the parent: the parent attempts to keep the infant interested in maintaining eye contact while the examiner rotates with the infant on the chair or stool and observes the infant’s head movements. The difference between movement toward and away from the flattened side is helpful in making the diagnosis of torticollis associated with positional skull deformity.

SKULL RADIOGRAPHS AND CRANIAL COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY SCANS

Because the diagnosis of positional skull deformity is made on the basis of history, findings on physical examination, and resolution over time with positional intervention, imaging studies are unnecessary in most situations. In addition, if obtained, their interpretations may be misleading to clinicians, particularly in the case of computed tomography (CT) scans. For the infant born with a normal head shape that progressively changes during the first weeks postnatally, no studies should be performed. Imaging studies should be reserved for infants born with deformities or those that do not improve over the first several weeks with repositioning. To minimize the risk of radiation exposure and the possible need for sedation, it is recommended that imaging studies be ordered by the specialist, because most craniofacial surgeons reserve radiographic imaging or CT scans for those patients who are suspected of having craniosynostosis and/or require surgical intervention. Radiographs and other imaging studies are also rarely indicated for infants with torticollis unless it is progressive or associated with other clinical findings.

MANAGEMENT

Management of positional skull deformity involves preventive counseling for parents, mechanical adjustments, and exercises. Parental compliance with the management plan is pivotal in lessening the likelihood and severity of positional skull deformity. Skull-molding helmets are an option for patients with severe deformity or skull shape that is refractory to therapeutic physical adjustments and position changes. Surgery is rarely necessary but may be indicated in severe refractory cases of positional skull deformity. However, infants with craniosynostosis typically require surgical correction and skull reconstruction. Early surgical intervention results in less invasive procedures because an infant’s normal brain growth assists in remodeling the skull postoperatively. Minimally invasive surgery is now available to some infants identified with craniosynostosis in the first months of life.

Preventive Counseling

To prevent the deformity, parents should be counseled during the new-
The pediatrician should continue to encourage supervised tummy time on firm surfaces when the infant is awake and being observed. Torticollis perpetuates the position of the head on the flattened side and can add to a greater facial deformity. Therefore, if torticollis is present, neck-motion exercises should be taught to the parents as part of management. Neck exercises should be performed with each diaper change. There are 3 repetitions per exercise, and it is estimated to take approximately 2 additional minutes per diaper change. One hand is placed on the child’s upper chest, and the other hand rotates the child’s head gently so that the chin touches the shoulder. This is held for approximately 10 seconds. The head is then rotated toward the opposite side and held for the same count. This will stretch out the sternocleidomastoid muscle. Next, the head is tilted so that the infant’s ear touches his or her shoulder. Again, the position is held for a count of 10 and repeated for the opposite side. This second exercise stretches the trapezius muscle. In addition, the parents may be taught the previously mentioned rotating-chair or stool technique as a therapy to enhance neck motion in the infant.

Skull-Molding Helmets

Ancient civilizations recognized the malleable nature of the rapidly growing newborn skull and intentionally deformed skulls by selective positioning and using external constraints to achieve a culturally desired skull form. Conversely, skull-molding helmets can be used to correct atypical skull shapes, and similar devices are now proposed for this purpose. There is currently no evidence that molding helmets work any better than positioning for infants with mild or moderate skull deformity. Because more than half of the infants will improve by 6 months of age, repositioning should be attempted as the initial treatment for infants younger than 6 months. In most situations, an improvement in response to repositioning and neck exercise is seen over a 2- to 3-month period if these measures are instituted as soon as the condition is recognized. For severe deformity, the best use of helmets occurs in the age range of 4 to 12 months because of the greater malleability of the young infant skull bone and the normalizing effect of the rapid growth of the brain. There is less modification of the cranial configuration and more compliance problems when used after 12 months of age. The use of helmets and other related devices seems to be beneficial primarily when there has been a lack of response to mechanical adjustments and exercises. Although there is some limited evidence that molding helmets may work faster for children with severe deformities, there were significant methodologic flaws associated with these studies, and there is evidence that long-term outcomes (2–3 years after treatment) may not result in a substantial benefit from helmet use. In particular, a recent study of 161 children treated with po-
sitional changes only showed that 87% had achieved significant improvements, 61% achieved normal skull contours, and only 4% had severe residual deformities by the time of preschool.\textsuperscript{35}

Although there have been few published studies, complications of helmet use seem to be low. Cost of helmets can be significant and extremely variable depending on the provider or vendor.

**Surgery**

Surgical correction for positional skull deformity is currently not recommended except possibly under unusual circumstances in which a child has persistent, severe deformities that have not adequately been corrected despite all other nonoperative measures.

**SUMMARY**

In most cases, the diagnosis and successful management of positional skull deformity can be assumed by the pediatrician or other primary health care clinician. This management includes examination for and counseling regarding positional skull deformity in the newborn period and at health supervision visits during infancy, as well as monitoring for improvement or progression. For the mild-to-moderate deformity, positioning and observation is the recommended treatment. Both positional changes and molding helmets are options for the infant with severe deformity. Cranial orthoses should be reserved for severe cases of deformity or for the infant whose deformity does not improve after 6 months of age. Referral to a pediatric neurosurgeon with expertise in craniofacial malformations, a craniofacial surgeon, or a craniofacial team should be considered if there is progression or lack of improvement after a trial of mechanical adjustments or suspicion of craniosynostosis.
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ERRATA


An error occurred in this article by Flick et al, titled “Cognitive and Behavioral Outcomes After Early Exposure to Anesthesia and Surgery” published in the November 2011 issue of Pediatrics (2011;128[5]: e1053–e1061; originally published online October 3, 2011; doi:10.1542/peds.2011-0351). On page e1054, in the Introduction, paragraph 1, line 5, this reads: “drugs include N-methyl-D-aspartate glutamate receptor agonists and γ-aminobutyric acid antagonists.” This should have read: “drugs include N-methyl-D-aspartate glutamate receptor antagonists and γ-aminobutyric acid agonists.”

doi:10.1542/peds.2011-3305


doi:10.1542/peds.2011-3592


An error occurred in the American Academy of Pediatrics policy statement “Health Care for Youth in the Juvenile Justice System” published in the December 2011 issue of Pediatrics (2011;128[6]:1219–1235; originally published online November 28, 2011; doi: 10.1542/peds.2011-1757). On page 1219, the number of arrests cited in the first sentence under the heading “Epidemiology of Juvenile Arrests” was inadvertently printed incorrectly. It should read: “In 2008, approximately 2.11 million juveniles younger than age 18 were arrested.” We regret the error.

doi:10.1542/peds.2011-3723


An error occurred in this article by Chipps B et al, titled “Longitudinal Validation of the Test for Respiratory and Asthma Control in Kids in Pediatric Practices” published in the March 2011 issue of Pediatrics (2011;127[3]: e737–e747; originally published online February 21, 2011; doi: 10.1542/peds.2010-1465) on page e738, Fig 1, Questions 3 and 5. This figure shows the Test for Respiratory and Asthma Control in Kids (TRACK) tool. Question 3 states, “During the past 4 weeks, to what extent did your child’s breathing problems, such as wheezing, coughing, or shortness of breath, interfere with his or her ability to play, go to school, or engage in usual activities that a child should be doing at his or her age.” The correct answer choices are “Not at all,” “Slightly,” “Moderately,” “Quite a lot,” and “Extremely.” Question 5 states, “During the past 12 months, how often did your child need to take oral corticosteroids (prednisone, prednisolone, Orapred®, Prelone®, or Decadron®) for breathing problems not controlled by other medications?” The
correct answer choices are “Never,” “Once,” “Twice,” “3 times,” and “4 or more times.” The corrected Fig 1 follows.

In the Acknowledgments, the correct spelling for the writer who provided editorial assistance is Hema Gowda, PharmD.

doi:10.1542/peds.2011-3725

| 1. During the past 4 weeks, how often was your child bothered by breathing problems, such as wheezing, coughing, or shortness of breath? |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Not at all | Once or twice | Once every week | 2 or 3 times a week | 4 or more times a week |
| 20 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0 |

| 2. During the past 4 weeks, how often did your child’s breathing problems (wheezing, coughing, shortness of breath) wake him or her up at night? |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Not at all | Once or twice | Once every week | 2 or 3 times a week | 4 or more times a week |
| 20 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0 |

| 3. During the past 4 weeks, to what extent did your child’s breathing problems, such as wheezing, coughing, or shortness of breath, interfere with his or her ability to play, go to school, or engage in usual activities that a child should be doing at his or her age? |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Not at all | Slightly | Moderately | Quite a lot | Extremely |
| 20 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0 |

| 4. During the past 3 months, how often did you think of your child’s breathing problems (wheezing, coughing, shortness of breath) with quick-relief medications (albuterol, Ventolin®, Proventil®, Maxair®, PreAir®, Xopenex®, or Primatene® Mist)? |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Not at all | Once or twice | Once every week | 2 or 3 times a week | 4 or more times a week |
| 20 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0 |

| 5. During the past 12 months, how often did your child need to take oral corticosteroids (prednisone, prednisolone, Orapred®, Prelone®, or Decadron®) for breathing problems not controlled by other medications? |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Never | Once | Twice | 3 times | 4 or more times |
| 20 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0 |

Other brands mentioned herein are trademarks of their respective owners and are not trademarks of the AstraZeneca group of companies. The makers of these brands are not affiliated with and do not endorse AstraZeneca or its products.

FIGURE 1
Test for Respiratory and Asthma Control in Kids (TRACK). TRACK is a trademark of the AstraZeneca group of companies. (c)2009 AstraZeneca LP. All rights reserved 278650 5/08.


A minor clarification has been made in the American Academy of Pediatrics policy statement “Recommended Childhood and Adolescent Immunization Schedules—United States, 2012” published in the February 2012 issue of Pediatrics (2012;129 [2]:385–386; doi:10.1542/peds.2011-3630). In Fig 3: Catch-up immunization schedule for persons aged 4 months through 18 years who start late or who are more than 1 month behind—United States, 2012, the bullet in footnote 9 that previously read:

Inadvertent doses of DTaP vaccine are counted as part of the Td/Tdap vaccine series.
now reads:

An inadvertent dose of DTaP vaccine administered to children aged 7 through 10 years can count as part of the catch-up series. This dose can count as the adolescent Tdap dose, or the child can later receive a Tdap booster dose at age 11–12 years.

and appears as the first bullet rather than the second (ie, the 2 bullets have switched positions).

The corrected schedule is now posted online at http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/ and Red Book Online. Please note that it will differ from the version that appeared in the print journal.
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