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ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVES. Although much has been published about breastfeeding rates, little is known about how breastfeeding is practiced in the United States. We describe the distributions and characteristics of practices related to common advice about breastfeeding during the infant’s first year of life.

PARTICIPANTS AND METHODS. Participants in the 2005–2007 Infant Feeding Practices Study II received monthly questionnaires during their infants’ first year of life. Among breastfeeding respondents, we investigated patterns and trends in types of breastfeeding (supplementing with formula or not, and at the breast or not) and maternal report of infant feeding behaviors corresponding to common breastfeeding advice on frequency, duration, and intervals of feedings.

RESULTS. More than half of the breastfeeding mothers fed their infants nothing other than breast milk until 4 months of age. Formula supplementation declined from 42% at 1 month to 15% at 1 year; adding other foods/liquids increasingly surpassed supplementing with formula beginning at 5 months of age. Six percent of the mothers reported that the only breast milk the infant was fed was expressed, rather than at the breast. Frequency of breast milk feedings per day declined from 8 at 1 month to 3.5 at 1 year. Reported feeding durations of <20 minutes increased from 46% at 1 month to 88% at 1 year. Feeding from both breasts per feeding decreased 15% over the infant’s first year (from 69% to 59%). Longest interfeeding intervals more than doubled over the year.

CONCLUSIONS. Exclusive breastfeeding was common up to 4 but not to 6 months of age. Breastfeeding with only expressed milk was rare. Considerable variation existed in maternal report of practices that correspond to common breastfeeding advice. More research is needed to better understand how these variations relate to breastfeeding outcomes and the role of common breastfeeding advice in infant feeding decisions.
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THE AMERICAN ACADEMY of Pediatrics (AAP) identifies pediatricians in particular, and health professionals overall, as important advocates to “enthusiastically”1,2 encourage breastfeeding and to gain and maintain knowledge and skills related to management of breastfeeding.1 However, few pathways exist for health professionals to learn about breastfeeding practices. Breastfeeding is not a core element of most medical training.2-3 Breastfeeding information in medical texts is often incomplete, inconsistent, and inaccurate.3 Although the AAP and other health professional organizations publish numerous books and other resources on breastfeeding for both professional and lay audiences5-9 and professional support is an evidence-based strategy for improving breastfeeding outcomes,10 no standard guidelines specifically suggest what kinds of information are components of ideal professional support. In addition, health professionals vary widely in both their attitudes about their role in breastfeeding promotion and support and their practices.11

Mothers receive infant feeding information and advice from a variety of sources.12 Although mothers tend to adhere to advice from health professionals and the resources they provide, they are not likely to ask for help from health professionals when faced with challenges in doing so.13 The AAP’s formal position on breastfeeding recommends exclusive breastfeeding for the first 6 months with continued breastfeeding past 12 months,1 but the role of “exclusive pumping”11,13 (providing expressed milk without ever feeding the infant at the breast) in breastfeeding guidance has not been explored yet. Other advice mothers receive has common themes including “infants should feed 8–12 times in 24 hours,”1,5,11 “infants should breastfeed for 10–15 minutes on each breast at each feeding,”1,5,11 and...
“after the first few weeks, infants should feed less frequently and sleep through the night.” 

The physiological processes of human lactation contradict narrowly prescriptive characterizations of frequency, duration, management, and intervals of breastfeeding, and practices that vary from the advice may still be within the range of biological variation. Although the main themes of common informal breastfeeding advice appear in a wide range of settings, little is known about the actual distribution of the behaviors that correspond to this advice.

The specific objectives of this study were twofold: (1) to identify the prevalence of 2 types of breastfeeding (supplementing with infant formula or not and at the breast or not) and (2) to describe rates and trends, over infants’ first year, of several aspects of breastfeeding practices related to common breastfeeding advice.

PARTICIPANTS AND METHODS

We used data from the Infant Feeding Practices Study II (IFPS II), a longitudinal mail survey that surveyed mothers from pregnancy through their infant’s first birthday. Data were collected from 2005 through 2007. The sample was drawn from a national consumer opinion panel and included adult mothers (≥18 years of age) of healthy singleton infants born at between 35 and 45 weeks’ gestation. Mothers were sent questionnaires monthly throughout their infant’s first year of life. The research was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration Research Involving Human Subjects Committee. Details of the study methodology and overall sample characteristics are described elsewhere in this supplement.

For this study, we performed all analyses only among the subset of IFPS II respondents who initiated breastfeeding, as determined by a response on the first postnatal questionnaire indicating that the infant received any breast milk in the previous 7 days (n = 2587). We analyzed data by using SAS 9.1.3 software (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, NC).

We investigated and described 2 variations of breastfeeding: (1) supplementing with infant formula or not and (2) at the breast or not (also known as exclusive pumping). We created 3 categories to examine supplementation: (1) exclusive breastfeeding (the infant consumes only breast milk and no other foods or liquids); (2) breastfeeding without infant formula (the infant consumes breast milk and other foods and liquids but not infant formula); and (3) breastfeeding with infant formula (the infant consumes breast milk and infant formula and perhaps other foods and liquids). We examined 4 questionnaire items corresponding to topics of common anticipatory breastfeeding advice: (1) “In the past 7 days, how often was your infant fed [breast milk]?”; (2) “In an average 24-hour period, what is the longest time for you, the mother, between breastfeedings or expressing milk?”; (3) “About how long does an average breastfeeding last?”; and (4) “Does your infant usually feed from both breasts at each feeding?” The response categories for the questionnaire item about

feeding from both or 1 breast at each feeding (known as paired or unpaired feedings) were “yes,” “no,” and “infant was only fed pumped milk.” Our analysis of paired feedings was only among those who responded “yes” or “no,” whereas the mothers who responded “infant is only fed pumped milk” provided information about the prevalence of exclusive pumping. We included in these descriptive analyses mothers with complete data on each pertinent questionnaire item. Sample sizes for the descriptive analyses varied according to the questionnaire items and behaviors analyzed (range: 449–1466 women).

Although some components of the IFPS II questionnaires were repeated in each iteration, the exact content and structure of each postnatal IFPS II questionnaire was created to gather specific kinds of information by being sent at specific intervals across the first year of infancy. Variations in how mothers timed the return of completed questionnaires meant that in some cases the questionnaire month no longer corresponded directly to the infants’ age at the time the questionnaire was completed. Using the procedures of Grummer-Strawn et al, we converted data from questionnaire month to the actual infant age in weeks at the time when each questionnaire was completed, using the following infant age categories: 0 to <4 weeks, 4 to <8 weeks, 8 to <12 weeks, 12 to <16 weeks, 16 to <20 weeks, 20 to <24 weeks, 24 to <28 weeks, 28 to <32 weeks, 32 to <36 weeks, 36 to <40 weeks, 40 to <44 weeks, and 44 weeks to <50 weeks. To simplify reporting and displaying results, we then collapsed the age groups back into ranges that approximated the timing of when mothers received the IFPS II questionnaires according to infant age, corresponding to 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 12 months of age.

RESULTS

Throughout the year, supplementing breastfeeding with infant formula was less common than breastfeeding without infant formula regardless of other foods or liquids consumed (Fig 1). For the first 3 months, exclusive breastfeeding was the most prevalent type of breastfeeding: more mothers reported exclusive breastfeeding at 3 months than any other time point. After 3 months, exclusive breastfeeding declined steeply. Slightly more than one third of the breastfeeding mothers supplemented with infant formula from 3 to 7 months. By 5 months, the prevalence of breastfeeding without infant formula but with other foods or liquids surpassed that of supplementing with infant formula and continued to increase throughout the rest of the year.

Among all mothers who were breastfeeding during the IFPS II, exclusive pumping was reported by 5.6% of the mothers, which means that their infants never fed directly at the breast. Breastfeeding durations among this group were short. Only one third of exclusively pumping mothers had durations of any breastfeeding beyond 1 month (data not shown).

In the first 2 months, median frequency of breast milk feedings reported by the mothers was ~8 feedings per 24 hours (Fig 2). The rate of decline in frequency of breast milk feedings per day was gradual throughout the year.
Median frequency of feedings per 24 hours dropped approximately bimonthly. At 1 year, the mothers’ median reported feeding frequency was 3.5 feedings per 24 hours.

The longest interval between breastfeeding occasions more than doubled over the questionnaire period (Fig 3). Paired t tests comparing the mean longest reported feeding intervals according to breastfeeding type at each infant age indicated that (1) differences among the mothers who did and did not supplement breastfeeding with infant formula were statistically significant at $P < 0.005$ for every time point except at 12 months and (2) differences among mothers who did and did not practice exclusive breastfeeding were significant at $P < 0.005$ for the first 5 months.

Over the questionnaire time frame, the average reported length of individual breastfeeding sessions decreased (Fig 4). In the first month, approximately half of the respondents estimated that feedings typically lasted for <20 minutes. The prevalence of feedings of this duration increased throughout the year. At 1 year, almost all the mothers estimated feeding durations of <20 minutes. Short feedings (<10 minutes) were rare in the first month. However, at 1 year, 40% reported average feedings of <10 minutes. Feedings that lasted ≥40 minutes were rare across all infant age categories.

Among the mothers who breastfed at the breast, most reported feedings were typically paired, rather than unpaired, meaning the infant typically fed from both breasts at each feeding across all months (range: 68.8%–58.8%). We also compared the rates of consistent unpaired feedings, defined as mother reported unpaired feedings for each month in which data were available, to rates of unpaired feedings in any given month (regardless of responses in other months’ data). Although the mothers increasingly reported unpaired feedings with increased infant age, consistent unpaired feedings were less common and accounted for 17.5% of the mothers who fed at the breast (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

Exclusive breastfeeding peaked at 3 months, and for the first 4 months, exclusive breastfeeding was more common than breastfeeding along with any other foods or liquids, including infant formula. The prevalence of supplementing breastfeeding with infant formula decreased throughout the first year. The prevalence of exclusive pumping dropped sharply after just the first month.
In the early postpartum period, nearly half of the mothers surveyed fed their infants breast milk fewer than 8 times a day, which is below the range typically included in common advice of 8 to 12 feedings per day.\(^1\)\(^5\)\(^6\) By 3 months postpartum, three quarters of the mothers fed breast milk less frequently than is commonly advised. In contrast to common advice to feed 10 to 15 minutes each side per feeding (20–30 minutes cumulatively), 1 in 5 of the mothers reported that feedings during the neonatal period were longer than this, and almost half reported that feeding durations were <10 minutes. As the infants aged, an even greater percentage of mothers breastfed for <20 minutes. Despite statements anticipating that infants will no longer wake...
to feed at night after the first several weeks but instead will sleep through,18 at 2 months approximately half of the infants in our study were fed within 6 hours of the previous feedings. Even at 1 year, one quarter of them still did not go more than 6 hours between feedings.

Parents place great trust in and seek out the expertise of health professionals on issues related to infant feeding25; however, they may not always receive the kind of information they need. The physiology of human lactation is extremely complex, but effective lactation is not determined by the frequency, duration, intervals, and timing of feedings.21,26,27 Instead, it is influenced by interactions among 4 major elements: (1) characteristics of how mother’s body makes and stores milk that vary by time of day26; (2) how completely the child empties the breast at an individual feeding26; (3) variations across 24 hours in the child’s need for breastfeeding20; and (4) which breast the child feeds from first—the breast that is the dominant or non-dominant milk-producing breast.21 Because none of these elements are related to the themes of common breastfeeding advice, some mothers whose infants’ behaviors fail to match up to specific expectations inherent to common advice may unnecessarily (and prematurely) stop breastfeeding as a result of misinterpretation of a benign variation as a problem. Others, however, whose infants conform to expectations but who nonetheless inadvertently dismiss an actual signal of a problem, may fail to seek help for breastfeeding problems that need expert attention.

Mothers who stop breastfeeding out of concern that their breastfeeding practice does not follow the pattern of common advice may not cite deviation from the advice as a reason for early weaning; therefore, health care professionals may not be able to address the mother’s specific concerns or problems. Mothers more typically cite reasons that fall into a broader category, such as insufficient milk supply.29 For example, a mother who cannot convince her infant to feed from the second breast may perceive that her infant is not receiving enough milk. If this concern leads her to wean, she may report insufficient milk as the reason for weaning rather than that the infant was not feeding from both breasts. Combining the findings from these analyses with the findings of Li et al29 about the reasons women give for breastfeeding problems that need expert attention, may fail to seek help for breastfeeding problems that need expert attention.

Mothers who stop breastfeeding out of concern that their breastfeeding practice does not follow the pattern of common advice may not cite deviation from the advice as a reason for early weaning; therefore, health care professionals may not be able to address the mother’s specific concerns or problems. Mothers more typically cite reasons that fall into a broader category, such as insufficient milk supply.29 For example, a mother who cannot convince her infant to feed from the second breast may perceive that her infant is not receiving enough milk. If this concern leads her to wean, she may report insufficient milk as the reason for weaning rather than that the infant was not feeding from both breasts. Combining the findings from these analyses with the findings of Li et al29 about the reasons women give for weaning can provide clinicians with more and deeper information to better understand and support breastfeeding dyads.

Several considerations must be taken into account when interpreting and considering generalizability of these data. Mothers of nonwhite race/ethnicity were underrepresented in this sample, and breastfeeding rates among non-Hispanic black participants were higher than those seen in national prevalence estimates for this subgroup.22 Because this was an analysis of breastfeeding behaviors, only mothers who started breastfeeding were included, and mothers who stopped breastfeeding were not included beyond their stopping point. Data describing breastfeeding patterns in early months of the IFPS II likely include experiences ranging from significant problems to none, which makes interpretation of behavior patterns in these groups difficult. However, continued breastfeeding for many months is extremely difficult in the face of many significant breastfeeding problems. Given the longer breastfeeding durations, data from mothers who continue breastfeeding toward and beyond the child’s first birthday more likely represent normal variation than inadequate or problematic breastfeeding.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study we have noted wide variation in mothers’ reports of the number of daily feedings, length of feedings, and time between feedings. It is not yet clear when divergences from common advice represent normal variation in practice or real breastfeeding problems. Similarly, a better understanding is needed of how adherence to common advice is actually related to effective breastfeeding. Ultimately, given the widespread use of this kind of common breastfeeding advice, it would be extremely valuable to examine in greater detail how this kind of advice is perceived by mothers and affects their breastfeeding experience and outcomes.

Health professionals who have a deeper understanding of the long-term logistics of breastfeeding management beyond a simple set of common instructions can then help mothers make better-informed infant feeding decisions and help mothers meet their own breastfeeding goals.30–32 Consistent with the AAP’s key breastfeeding-duration recommendation, when breastfeeding ends, mothers who are adequately supported throughout their breastfeeding experience can feel confident that they were indeed able to “continue breastfeeding as long as mutually desired by mother and infant.”
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