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**ABSTRACT**

A developmental framework for understanding and addressing the problem of underage alcohol consumption is presented. The first section presents the rationale for a developmental approach, including striking age-related data on patterns of onset, prevalence, and course of alcohol use and disorders in young people. The second section examines the fundamental meaning of a developmental approach to conceptualizing underage drinking. The third section delineates contemporary principles of developmental psychopathology as a guide to future research and intervention efforts. Strategic, sensitive, and effective efforts to address the problem of underage drinking will require a developmentally informed approach to research, prevention, and treatment.

**Developmental Approaches to Understanding and Addressing the Problem of Underage Drinking Are Essential, Not Only Because This Problem Occurs in a Developing Organism but Also Because Accumulating Evidence Strongly Implicates the Role of Development in Promising Theories and Interventions Concerning This Problem. It Is Increasingly Clear That the Emergence and Progression of Drinking Behavior Are Influenced by Development, That Underage Drinking Has Developmental Consequences, That Alcohol Use Disorders (AUDs) Are Developmental in Nature, and That Efforts to Prevent or to Reduce Underage Drinking Behavior Must Be Developmentally Informed to Be Strategic, Sensitive, and Effective. Our Goals in This Article Are to Summarize the Case for a Developmental Perspective on Underage Drinking and to Outline a Developmental Framework for Underage Drinking, to Guide Future Theory, Research, and Practice. This Framework Emerged from the Collaborative Work of an Advisory Group Assembled by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism in 2004 as Part of the Underage Drinking Research Initiative.**

The framework is presented in 3 sections. In the first section, we highlight the rationale for a developmental approach, including examples of data that the advisory group members found compelling as a rationale for developmental perspectives. In the second section, we discuss general developmental principles that guided our thinking, with examples of their application to drinking behavior. In the third section, we articulate principles of contemporary developmental psychopathology as applied to the problem of underage drinking.

**Rationale for a Developmental Approach to Underage Drinking**

**Focus**

When the evidence on drinking behavior is examined through a developmental lens, the rationale for a developmental approach to understanding and preventing this problem comes into focus. In this section, we highlight conclusions based on the most salient data supporting a developmental approach.

**There Are Striking Age-Related Patterns of Alcohol Use, Problems, Abuse, and Dependence**

Alcohol use typically begins in the second decade of life, often in early adolescence. Although some young people begin drinking in elementary school, the first use of alcohol (defined as drinking a whole drink) typically occurs in early adolescence (at ~13–14 years of age).\(^1\) Data from multiple, nationally representative surveys indicate that rates of alcohol use and binge alcohol use increase sharply between ages 12 and 21. As shown in Fig 1, for example, data from the 2005 National Survey on Drug Use and Health indicated that the proportion of people who have drunk \(\geq 1\) whole drink increases steeply during adolescence and then plateaus at \(~21\) years of age.\(^2\) Furthermore, data from the same study showed that all levels of past-month drinking, from use to binge drinking to heavy drinking, increase with increasing age during adolescence (Fig 2). Similarly, the number of reported binge-drinking days in the past 30 days shows important age-related patterns. As shown in Fig 3, this study also indicated that the number of binge-drinking days increases sharply during adolescence, more so for boys than for girls, and then decreases dramatically for both genders during the third decade of life and continues to decrease thereafter.

Drinking patterns also vary dramatically according to age. As shown in Fig 4, the National Survey on Drug Use and...
Health data indicated that, whereas adolescents drink less often than young adults and older adults, they drink more per occasion. When youths between 12 and 20 years of age drink, they drink an average of ~5 drinks, an amount in the binge-drinking range. (Binge drinking typically is defined as consuming ≥5 drinks per occasion for men and ≥4 drinks per occasion for women.) The data shown in Fig 4 are consistent with those from multiple other studies, showing how common binge drinking is among adolescents. Moreover, some of the contexts that attract adolescents specifically, including organized parties, college, and military service, are associated with high rates of drinking behavior.3,4

Underage drinking accounts for substantial proportions of all alcohol consumed in the United States and of estimated consumer expenditures for alcohol. The estimated short-term cash value to the alcohol industry of underage drinking was $22.5 billion in 2001.5

Alcohol dependence (defined according to the criteria of the American Psychiatric Association, which are summarized in Table 1) typically emerges during late adolescence or early adulthood, as shown in Fig 5.6 The
past-year prevalence of alcohol dependence is highest between the ages of 18 and 20 years, peaking before youths even reach the legal drinking age of 21 years in the United States. Prevalence remains quite high among 21- to 24-year-old individuals and declines thereafter. In addition, as shown in Fig 6, children and youths who begin alcohol use before the age of 14 years are much more likely to develop alcohol dependence at some point in their lives than are those who begin drinking after the age of 21 years.7

Multiple, nationally representative surveys indicate that alcohol is the drug of choice among US adolescents of all ages. As can be seen in Fig 7, data from the Monitoring the Future survey indicated that more youths drink alcohol than smoke cigarettes or use marijuana; this is true among eighth-, 10th-, and 12th-grade youths.8 These figures are even more dramatic among male students; for example, 50.7% of 12th-grade male students had consumed alcohol in the past month.

Alcohol is implicated in large proportions of deaths related to accidents, homicides, and suicides among young people. For example, each year ~1900 persons <21 years of age die in motor vehicle crashes that involve underage drinking (and ~500 additional persons >21 years of age also die in those crashes).9 Alcohol is also involved in ~1600 homicides and ~300 suicides among persons <21 years of age.10-13 Finally, ~1600 persons <21 years of age die as a result of alcohol-related, unintentional injuries (not related to motor vehicle crashes).11,13

Acute, Intermediate, and Longer-Term Effects of Alcohol Vary According to Age and Development

Evidence is accumulating in animal research and a limited number of human studies that immediate, short-term, and long-term effects of alcohol on individuals can vary as a function of age or developmental status. For example, prenatal exposure to alcohol, which can result in fetal alcohol spectrum disorders, has profoundly different consequences for development than does later exposure, in humans14-16 and in animals.17 In rhesus monkeys, the timing of prenatal exposure has differential effects on fetal development.18 Animal research suggests strongly that adolescent animals, compared with adults, are less sensitive to the aversive effects of acute alcohol intoxication (eg, sedation, hangover, and ataxia) but are more sensitive to alcohol’s effects on social facilitation and disruption of spatial memory.19,20 Additional animal research has indicated that alcohol consumption before and during adolescence can produce long-lasting effects, including increases in alcohol consumption in adulthood.21

Research on stress and alcohol in nonhuman primates provides additional evidence of developmental differences in the role of alcohol. For example, studies have shown that adolescent monkeys double their alco-

FIGURE 3
Number of days in the past 30 days in which drinkers consumed ≥5 drinks, according to age and gender. Data source: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration data from the 2005 National Survey on Drug Use and Health.2

FIGURE 4
Number of drinking days per month and usual number of drinks per occasion for youths (12–20 years of age), young adults (21–25 years of age), and adults (≥26 years of age). Data source: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration data from the 2005 National Survey on Drug Use and Health.2
hol intake under stress (peer raised versus mother raised) and also that excessive alcohol consumption is related to changes in levels of stress hormones and serotonin.22

Research on the long-term consequences of chronic alcohol exposure in animals also suggests differential sensitivity in adolescence.23 In 1 study, rats experienced chronic, intermittent, alcohol exposure during either adolescence or early adulthood.24 After a 20-day recovery period, there were no differences in spatial learning. When the animals were challenged with a low dose of alcohol, however, learning was significantly more impaired in the animals exposed to alcohol in adolescence than in those exposed as adults. In a study using a high-dose, 4-day, binge alcohol-exposure paradigm applied to adolescent or adult rats, some brain damage was found in both age groups but only the animals that had been exposed to alcohol during adolescence manifested dam-

age in the frontal cortical olfactory regions and the anterior portions of the piriform and perirhinal cortices.25 This finding suggests that, at least with a model of extreme, binge-type, alcohol exposure, certain brain regions may be more susceptible to alcohol-induced damage during adolescence.

Development Itself May Be Altered by Alcohol Exposure

Data on the effects of exposure to alcohol during fetal development and also during adolescence indicate that alcohol can alter development itself. Fetal alcohol exposure clearly contributes to physical anomalies in humans14 and animals.17 Animal research has shown that repeated alcohol exposure during adolescence induces inflammatory cell death,26 as well as morphologic and neurochemical changes in the brain that may persist into adulthood,27,28 although studies have yet to explore whether adults would be less vulnerable to these effects than adolescents. Research with human adolescents indicates that severe AUD is associated with reduced hippocampal volume,29,30 although these results should not be interpreted as necessarily being causal.

Drinking also may alter the development of social and academic competence. Underage drinking is associated strongly with academic and social problems, potentially undermining success in domains of competence that are crucial for successful adult development.31,32 The associations of underage drinking behaviors with problems in social competence or school achievement likely arise from complex (and bidirectional) influences over the course of development, which are not yet fully elucidated. Nonetheless, there is growing evidence that drinking contributes to problems in key domains of behavior among children and adolescents, such as peer relationships and school performance, which have consequences for future opportunities and success in terms of work, adult relationships, health, and well-being.

Alcohol Use and AUDs Have Predictability From Childhood

A substantial body of evidence implicates a set of risk factors that consistently precede and predict early use and/or dependence.31–34 These factors include the follow-
ing: family history of alcohol abuse, parents with antisocial behavior, mothers with depression, poor parenting (eg, maltreatment, neglect, or poor monitoring), prenatal exposure to alcohol and clear fetal alcohol syndrome, child maltreatment, child antisocial behavior, child smoking or substance abuse, self-regulation problems that also predict antisocial and risk-taking behavior (eg, attention problems, effortful control problems, or impulsivity), cognitive learning difficulties in children, and various internalizing symptoms in children.

There seem to be some common pathways that lead toward AUDs. For example, considerable evidence suggests a pathway associated with early signs of problems regulating attention and emotion, impulsivity and aggression, early cognitive problems, academic and social problems after school entry, later deviant peer affiliations, and a course of escalating antisocial behavior. In the delinquency/antisocial literature, this pathway is described in terms of early starters or life-course persisters. This pathway leads to multiple problem outcomes by adolescence and is associated with many of the risk factors listed above.

Most of the risk (or protective) factors for alcohol use and AUDs are nonspecific, in that they also forecast many problems other than alcohol problems, including conduct problems, learning problems, school dropout, risk-taking behaviors, early sexual activity, pregnancy, antisocial personality disorder, and mood disorder. Moreover, many of these factors are in place early in development, before school begins, including the following: temperament differences related to behavioral and emotional control or dysregulation observable very early in development; problems with self-awareness, self-monitoring, attention, and effortful control; a history of adversity in multiple forms (family history of antisocial behavior, experiences of abuse or trauma, or other negative life experiences); and individual differences in cognition related to response inhibition, forethought, and planning. These major domains of functioning show developmental variations and broad individual differences from early in development, predict many kinds of problems, and thus are nonspecific for alcohol involvement, although they clearly are risk factors for its emergence and progression to problem use.

Risk and Protective Factors Associated With Higher or Lower Use/Dependence Have Age-Related Patterns

Data on expectancies about the effects of alcohol, intent to use alcohol, and access to alcohol all show age-related shifts. Expectancies about the effects of alcohol shift from predominantly negative to positive during later middle childhood and early adolescence. These shifts may be linked to the transition from childhood or elementary school to adolescence or secondary school. Hipwell et al, for example, found that positive expectancies increased and negative expectancies decreased during the age period of 8 to 10 years in the Pittsburgh Girls Study. Findings from Dunn and Goldman also indicated that this shift occurs earlier than the transition to secondary schooling. Similarly, intent to use alcohol increases with age during elementary school, and access to alcohol tends to increase over the course of childhood and adolescence.

Other data also hint at key shifts in risk factors or perspectives regarding alcohol that are related to age or development. Smoking (a risk factor) typically begins in early adolescence. Peer popularity in elementary school generally is associated with low risk for alcohol
use, but popular high school students may have higher risk. Exposure to alcohol at parties increases in adolescence, which may account for some of the increasing risk of use among popular youths, who are more likely to be invited to parties. Underage drinking is viewed as a rite of passage by many US parents and also by many adolescents. Clearly, however, this rite of passage is associated with adolescence and not early childhood, and cultural expectations about this rite of passage reflect an age-related shift in adult expectations or tacit approval of drinking.

Another shift seems to occur with transitions into college. The risk for binge drinking increases sharply among college students, and the first few months of college may be a period of particularly heightened risk for hazardous drinking. Some college students follow very different trajectories, however, with level or decreasing risk during this period.

Contextual risk or protective factors embedded in peer and family relationships also show prominent age-related changes. Deviant peer association and delinquent behaviors among deviant peers, both of which are key risk factors for alcohol use, increase in early adolescence, particularly among youths with a cluster of risk factors for antisocial and risky behavior. Parental and other adult monitoring (which can be protective) often decreases during adolescence, as unmonitored time increases.

**BASIC FEATURES OF A DEVELOPMENTAL APPROACH**

**Focus**

These age-patterned data on alcohol, including data on incidence, prevalence, use, progression, binging, dependence, expectancies, timing, and consequences, collectively constitute a compelling case for a developmental approach to the problem of underage drinking. Data on onset, offset, use, dependence, developmental consequences, individual and contextual risk and protective factors, and alcohol effects all show striking patterns related to age and developmental changes. In this section, we delineate the core elements of a developmental approach, with particular application to underage drinking. Given our assertion that a developmental perspective is essential for understanding and addressing underage drinking, it is important to consider what it means to have a developmental approach.

**What Is Developmental Change?**

Developmental science is the study of change over the life course of living organisms, focused on patterns of orderly change as organisms begin to form, mature, and decline. People develop and change throughout life but particularly during childhood and adolescence, when individuals undergo periods of rapid change in many aspects of form, function, and status, including growth, coordination, strength, and movement skills; brain size, organization, connectivity, and function; cognitive, emotional, and social capabilities; motivation and self-directed behavior; physical, financial, and emotional independence from parents; reproductive maturity; and education and knowledge.

People also routinely experience many changes of context in childhood and adolescence, some of which are designed to foster learning and maturation into societal roles (eg, school changes), some of which are precipitated by children for their own enjoyment or interest (eg, friends and activities), and some of which befall people (eg, stressful life experiences). There are dramatic changes in the contexts in which young people spend their time and engage their minds and bodies during these years.

Many behavior problems and disorders emerge in the first 2 decades of life, during these years of dramatic change, including alcohol-related problems and AUDs. It is highly likely that the causes and consequences of alcohol use and AUDs are related to these changes in individuals, their contexts, and their interactions. Consequently, it is also likely that intervening effectively to prevent, to delay, or to treat underage drinking must take these changes into account.

Time is required for change to occur, but not all changes are developmental. For example, imagine that a person loses an arm suddenly in a car accident. The change from having 2 arms to having 1 arm, although dramatic, is not in itself a developmental change. However, many developmental changes could have contributed to the car accident, and the consequences of the accident could have far-reaching effects on future development. Moreover, the kind of change through which an embryo develops arms originally is fundamentally developmental, as is the growth of the arms during childhood and adolescence.

Development is related to age, but it is not the same thing as just growing older. This is most clear during periods of rapid development, such as early adolescence, when the timing and pace of development vary widely for individuals. Development is slow in some children and faster in others, and it occurs earlier in some children than others. Therefore, a group of adolescents who are all the same age may vary widely in development, because of differences in the timing of pubertal processes. These differences are readily apparent at ballet recitals and in gym classes grouped according to age in early adolescence. Some 12-year-old girls look very grown up, whereas others still look like little girls.

Maturing early can cause problems or advantages, depending on the context. Early-maturing girls who become involved in dating older boys who are drinking at parties may experience trouble. Early-maturing athletes in sports where strength or height is an advantage may benefit in their sport from early maturation. If teammates encourage drinking, however, then the advantages of success on a team may be undermined by the hazards posed by early drinking.

There are normative (typical) patterns of development that are characteristic of a species and often the gender group of the organism. In normal development, human infants learn to walk and to talk during the first few years of life and reach sexually mature form during the second decade of life, as a result of pubertal pro-
cesses. Girls typically enter and complete the growth spurt of puberty earlier than boys do. On average, boys grow to be taller than girls, although they reach peak growth velocity later, and they also end up considerably stronger than girls.

In the case of behaviors (such as alcohol use) that are legally proscribed among children but accepted among adults in many societies, it is important to distinguish between normative patterns of use and acceptable patterns of use. Alcohol use is normative at some point in development among youths or adults in many societies and cultural groups around the world; however, alcohol use often occurs earlier than the age of legally or socially accepted use. It is not normative or acceptable for young children to drink alcohol in most societies. Alcohol use typically becomes acceptable and common sometime during the end of the second decade or the beginning of the third decade of life in drinking societies.

Human development can be described in terms of particular domains or levels of functioning or change (e.g., brain development, language development, social development, and puberty) or in terms of major eras of development (e.g., prenatal period, infancy, and adolescence). Changes also can be described in relation to developmental tasks and issues characteristic of a given period (e.g., school achievement, identity, autonomy, and rites of passage) or changing developmental contexts (e.g., home, peer groups, preschool, schools and classrooms, and college).

What Is Changing in Development?
Many kinds of changes can be observed in development; there are changes in form, function, organization, and context. There are changes in the structure, function, and organization of the brain and changes in appearance, strength, language, self-control, attitudes, motivation, how individuals spend their time, where and who they spend it with, and expertise. Many developmental scientists describe the major kinds of changes that occur over time, particularly in the first 3 decades of life, in terms of changes in context, developmental processes or behavior, and developmental tasks. These contexts, processes, and tasks are often described for particular age periods bounded by important transitions, such as birth, school transitions, and puberty.

The most common categories marking developmental time periods are probably the following: prenatal development (conception to birth), early childhood (birth to ~5 years of age, including infancy, toddler, and preschool periods), middle childhood (from school entry to the beginning of puberty, ie, ~4–5 years through ~8–10 years of age), adolescence (early, middle, and late, often encompassing secondary school and the second decade of life, ie, ~8–10 years through ~18–20 years of age), and the transition to adulthood (~18–25 years of age). The boundaries of developmental eras are not fixed, for multiple reasons, including the following: development itself is a continuous process that does not have precisely defined beginning and ending points; there are many individual differences in the timing and pace of change; and there are cultural, national, and historical differences in the definitions of these developmental periods and in the timing of major transitions, such as when school begins. Broad cohort changes in developmental timing also occur for multiple reasons, including changes in diet, exercise, contexts, and cultural practices. For example, it has been widely noted that milestone markers of pubertal development are occurring at earlier ages in modern societies, whereas entry into full adult status has been delayed. As a result, adolescence or the time between childhood and adulthood has increased, whereas the middle childhood years have decreased. Some developmental theorists have argued that a new epoch of development between adolescence and adulthood, sometimes termed “emerging adulthood,” has been created by the combined influences of biological and societal changes that have produced earlier physical maturation and later adult status.

As contexts change, the nature of supports, challenges, and complexity of life for individuals often changes. As children grow older, they spend less time at home and with parents and more time with peers, in school, and in the community. Monitoring by responsible adults also varies across contexts. The opportunities for observing alcohol use and access to alcohol vary across contexts in relation to age and development.

The contexts in which children spend their time change over the course of individual development and also over historical time. These contexts include physical environments (e.g., home, playground, school, city, and farm), relationships (e.g., family and peer groups of various kinds), cultural groups (e.g., ethnic, religious, and social), and media or virtual environments (computer games, Internet, music, radio, and television).

In a living system as complex as a human individual, development involves a variety of changes across many levels. Vulnerabilities, risks, supports, protective influences, and contexts all change and, from their complex interplay, the observable measurable patterns of an individual’s life and behavior emerge. It is tempting to describe the behavior of an individual as though it resulted solely from the motives, thoughts, desires, and actions of the individual observed. However, individual behavior carries influences from many past interactions within and across persons and contexts, at many levels of interaction. Moreover, current behavior is often constrained or afforded by current contexts and circumstances. Current alcohol use is influenced by availability, price, cultural and subcultural norms, adult monitoring (both that institutionalized via community law enforcement and school rules and that performed at the family level), and peer reinforcement, as well as by individual motives, desires, expectancies, values, and vulnerabilities.

Significance of Developmental Tasks
Throughout the world, parents and other adults have developed expectations and standards about what children should be doing to move toward successful roles in the family and society, often called developmental tasks. Children come to share these expectations (and sometimes rebel against them). Some of these develop-
mental tasks are physical milestones, and many are social achievements. Some are universal and others are highly specific to a culture or region. Judgments based on these achievements are rendered by parents, self, and society regarding how development is proceeding and how it will proceed in the future. Table 2 provides examples of widely held developmental task expectations from early childhood to early adulthood.

In early childhood, adults expect children to learn to communicate in the language of their group, to walk, to obey simple rules, and to listen to adults. In most societies, children 6 or 7 years of age are expected to go to school, to behave appropriately, to learn to read, to write, and to perform arithmetic, to get along with others, and to show respect for authority. As children become adolescents, academic/work expectations increase in complexity and responsibility, youths are expected to learn and to follow the rules and laws that govern conduct in adult society, and they begin to learn about responsible dating and romantic social conduct in their community and culture. Learning to drive a car and passing a driving test are milestones for many youths, as are rites of passage involving acceptance as a committed member of a religious community. Many parents also consider it important for a child to contribute to the family or community through chores or good deeds, or at least not to destroy and to harm others or community property. Many of these expectations are codified in religious texts and early writings about the education of children, and they also are evident in screening measures for healthy development.

Acceptable performances in these tasks represent important milestones in the eyes of the stakeholders for positive child development, including parents, teachers, other community members, and children themselves. Failing in these domains by not meeting expectations may have serious consequences for children’s current and future opportunities, peer reputation, social support, self-esteem, and relationships with their parents.

Alcohol may interfere with or facilitate developmental task achievement in multiple ways. Alcohol use by adults who play a key role in child development (eg, parents and teachers) can undermine the achievement of developmental tasks by the children in their care. In addition to prenatal or postnatal exposure to alcohol, alcohol use by adults can interfere with parenting. It may contribute to poverty, increase the risk of exposure to deviant peers, and in other ways increase the general level of adversity and risk faced by a child.

Alcohol use by children may have lasting effects on competence in age-salient developmental tasks that represent the foundation on which progress in future tasks depends, by interfering with school attendance or concentration, by ruining relationships, and by potentially damaging brain function or altering brain development. However, alcohol use that is acceptable in society and facilitates social functioning (perceived or actual) may have positive influences on developmental tasks. It is crucial to know how alcohol use alters the achievement of developmental tasks, because success or failure in these tasks plays such a salient role in individual development and in the future of a community.

In societies in which alcohol use is pervasive and widely accepted behavior for adults, it could be argued that appropriate alcohol use itself is an important developmental task. It is not clear whether parents approach the issue of responsible alcohol use (whether they view this as abstinence or socially appropriate use) as a developmental task for their children. If they do, adults should actively teach their children responsible adult use or prepare them with the skills to achieve responsible adult use (or to achieve abstinence).

Developmental Transitions and Scaffolding

Windows of vulnerability and opportunity have been noted in development, often reflecting periods of particularly concentrated change in individuals, their contexts, and interactions of individuals and contexts. In biological and cultural evolution, supportive roles probably coevolved with these windows of vulnerability. In developmental theory, scaffolding refers to the supports and guidance provided by parents, mentors, or organizations to help children function effectively beyond their independent capabilities or despite their vulnerabilities. Vygotsky popularized this idea in his theory of learning, particularly in the concept of a zone of proximal development, referring to the range of behavior of which a child is capable when supported by others, particularly teaching adults.

Transitions into school, into adolescence, out of the home for the first time, into college, into marriage or parenthood, and into other new situations have been viewed as periods of vulnerability or opportunity, when much of an individual’s life is in flux. Families, religions, and societies often provide young people with extra support during these transitions, in the form of extra attention, rituals, activities, or structured experiences to support successful transitions.

There is some concern in contemporary US society that children are not being provided with the level of support or scaffolding that they need to make successful transitions into adolescence and adulthood. In the case of alcohol use, there are specific concerns regarding
insufficient monitoring of young people and inadequate support for young adolescents who are maturing earlier and encountering increasing risks for alcohol use in diverse ways, including media exposure, disrupted families, and increased alcohol use among deviant peers.20,53

Historical Changes in Development and the New Maturity Gaps

As noted above, historical changes occur in the timing of physical and social development and in the timing of transitions to new contexts.33,63,64 Children in modern industrialized societies grow taller and mature earlier than did young people in the same societies in earlier times, probably because of changes in diet and health in modern societies. At the same time, it takes much longer for young people to become established adults in contemporary societies, taking on full adult responsibilities in work and family life. Education and training last longer than they did previously, and more education is needed for many job opportunities. Young people often marry later, if at all, and have fewer children than did the generations of their parents and grandparents. This combination of earlier sexual maturity and delayed adult status has extended the period of development termed adolescence (often referring to the period from the beginning of puberty to the establishment of adult roles and status). It has also created what may be the widest “maturity gap” in human history (the time between reaching sexual maturity and reaching social maturity).

There is another kind of maturity gap that also might have been created by the earlier onset of puberty and sexual maturation. As young adolescents become sexually mature, with hormonal and related brain changes in reward systems and motivation, there seems to be an increase in risk-taking behaviors and changes in emotional intensity, but there is little evidence that the executive control systems associated with higher cognitive processes are maturing any earlier.33 The executive functioning gains that track brain development and changes in brain connectivity in the first, second, and third decades of life do not seem to have accelerated. Therefore, a maturity gap might have emerged between the early-maturing changes of emotional/motivational systems, perhaps related to earlier onset puberty, and the later-maturing cognitive executive control functions provided by more slowly developing neurocircuits.53,54,58 Scientists in the MacArthur Network on Adolescence and Psycho-pathology compared the results of this gap to “starting the engines without a skilled driver.”53

As these adolescent maturity gaps widen, the developmental period that used to be called middle childhood or “latency” (the time between the beginning of school and puberty) has decreased. While writers lament the loss of childhood or describe the “hurried child,”65 capital markets and media are responding rapidly to younger pubescent children, with clothing lines for “tweens” and special Internet sites, movies, and other products tailored to children in elementary school with the interests of adolescents.53,64 There is growing concern that tweens may acquire the attitudes and behaviors of their older peers in relation to alcohol as well as clothing and dance moves.33

Development or Experience?

Some changes over the life course are the result of experience, some are the result of development, and some result from both. Learning to drive a car requires experience, but driving skills also depend on physical size, reflexes, judgment, and other aspects of human behavior that develop as the brain and body mature. A 10-year-old child with 2 years of driving experience is not likely to be the same kind of driver as an 18- or 25-year-old adult with the same experience, because the average capabilities that typical 10-, 18-, and 25-year-old individuals brings to the experience are so different (biologically, socially, and cognitively). Moreover, a novice driver is probably less safe driving on ice and snow than is an experienced driver. State driver-licensing agencies, insurance companies, and rental car companies all implicitly encode developmental differences in their rules and prices. They base those rules on age and passage of a skill-based driving test, rather than developmental maturity, because it is easier to document age and skills than developmental readiness. Similarly, laws allowing youths to purchase or to drink alcohol are based on presumed maturity according to age, because this is easier to assess. When parents allow their own adolescent children to drive the family car is a different matter and is very likely to be influenced by what the parents know about that particular child in terms of maturity, driving skills, risk-taking behavior, and driving history and also their assessment of the particular situations (eg, current weather conditions, reasons for driving, who is going to be in the car, and time).

One of the most important roles of adults in the socialization of children and youths is to provide supports until the immature or novice individual is able to do something consistently without support. Parents also monitor the lives of their children for danger. Parents have long known that development can be uneven, creating hazardous maturity gaps. Toddlers who have just learned to walk and adolescents who have just learned to drive have in common a surge in risks for accidents related to a disjunction between the capabilities of doing something new that is exhilarating and the judgments about when, where, and how fast to do it that come from experience. It is the job of adults to scaffold these gaps with the supports (or monitoring) necessary to protect the young person from harm but foster the development of adaptive competence.56,57 Adults can provide external structures and executive functioning to children, in the form of monitoring, rules, discipline, and organized activities. Graduated driver licenses in some states attempt to reduce the risks of novice driving by adolescents by setting rules about when, with whom, and where beginning drivers can drive.

Interplay of Genes and Environments in Development

The traditional notion of “nature versus nurture” gradually has been replaced by the recognition that genes
and environments do not influence development independently but rather interact inextricably in development. Epigenesis is an important idea in the contemporary understanding of the ways in which genes and environments in dynamic interaction produce development.

The idea of epigenesis came originally from embryology, referring to the processes by which 1 kind of cell differentiates into specialized cells and systems in a developing organism. More specifically, epigenesis refers to environmentally influenced control of gene transcription that is long-lasting and can be inherited across cell divisions over the life span of the individual. This term has come to refer more broadly to the dynamic and complex processes by which genes and environments interact over the life course to produce a functioning and adapting individual. These dynamic processes explain how the same genes can result in widely varying outcomes, depending on gene regulation (which genes are on and off when) and other kinds of gene-environment interactions, with the result that the lives of even monozygotic twins diverge over the course of development.

At a molecular level, the best studied means of epigenetic control is through DNA methylation, a process by which the addition of methyl groups to promoter/regulatory regions of DNA serves to suppress the transcription of genes regulated by those regions. This environmentally influenced “regulation through repression” increases progressively during development as cells become progressively locked into differentiated states. Through such gene repression, environmental influences can be imprinted on DNA, resulting in lasting alterations in phenotype that can be passed along to daughter cells with subsequent mitotic divisions. Under some circumstances, epigenetic regulation may be transmitted from parents to offspring, findings reminiscent of the Lamarckian notion of acquired traits being inherited across generations.

Environmental factors induce epigenetic regulation through alterations in the microenvironment around specific cells, including growth factors, neurotransmitters, and energy supplies, as well as circulating levels of hormones, cytokines, and viruses. These aspects of the microenvironment may be influenced in turn by characteristics of the external environment that range widely from stressors to nutritional status and maternal care. For instance, provision of methyl group-rich supplements (eg, folic acid and vitamin B12) in the diet of pregnant and lactating mice induced increases in DNA methylation in their offspring, with the offspring also exhibiting lower incidence rates of obesity and diabetes mellitus, attenuated tumor susceptibility, and a darker coat color. As another example, rat pups raised by mothers who exhibit low levels of maternal licking and grooming have greater levels of methylation in the promoter region of the gene coding for a stress hormone receptor (the glucocorticoid receptor). Because of this methylation-induced suppression of the GR gene, offspring of low-licking mothers have lower levels of glucocorticoid receptor expression in the hippocampus, a region where glucocorticoid receptors form part of a feedback system terminating stress responses. Functionally, these offspring are more reactive behaviorally and neurally to stressors and take longer to recover from stressors, compared with offspring of high-licking mothers.

Through environmentally induced epigenetic regulation, lasting effects of the environment can be exerted on the propensity for particular genes to be expressed. Research has just begun to relate specific environmental events to particular epigenetic changes even in simple animal models, much less in humans. However, research provides evidence that epigenetic regulation is environmentally influenced and increasingly expressed during development in humans, as in laboratory animals. For instance, studies of epigenetic regulation in identical twins revealed epigenetic differences between twin pairs in middle age that were not apparent early in life, as well as more epigenetic variation between twin pairs who had spent less time together in their lives, relative to pairs who had been associated more closely. Epigenetic regulation through methylation-induced repression “represents an entire level of cellular information on top of the DNA sequence” and provides a critical link between genes and the environment as phenotypic expression is elaborated dynamically during development.

Genes respond to environmental signals as well as to other genes, and this responsiveness explains some of the extraordinary variation in human development and adaptability. Moreover, because no 2 organisms could possibly have exactly the same experiences, the course of development is probabilistic. Development is also constrained by the human genome and an individual’s DNA; only genes that are present can be regulated. Humans do not mature into mice, and identical twins are likely to resemble each other in many ways, no matter how different their nurturance may be.

There is increasing attention to the epigenetic features of neural and behavioral development, including complex behavior such as alcohol use. Of particular interest here is emerging interest in specific genes that may interact with experience over the course of development to increase or to decrease the likelihood of alcohol use and AUD and interest in the effects of ethanol exposure on gene expression across development. There is keen interest in identifying the chemical processes, brain functions, and behaviors that are serving as intermediaries of gene-environment interactions, because the genes of 1 person do not interact directly with the genes of other people or directly with the external environment. Behaviors that may serve such as intermediaries or “endophenotypes” of interest include poor impulse control and sensation-seeking. These behaviors might be influenced by genes and poor environments in the course of development and eventually moderate the likelihood of a teenager accepting an offer to go drinking with a friend.

An example of gene moderation of drinking behavior is provided by functional polymorphisms in the alcohol-metabolizing enzymes alcohol dehydrogenase and mitochondrial aldehyde dehydrogenase. Individuals (of Asian descent) with 1 or 2 specific variants at these alleles...
have lower risks for alcoholism, which suggests moderating effects. These results represent 1 of the most thoroughly documented examples of possible protective effects for specific populations.

Distinguishing gene-environment interactions in human development is extremely difficult, for reasons of complexity as well as ethics. Therefore, animal models offer an important method for learning about development and alcohol.

**Animal Models of Development**

Development from birth to maturity consists of a range of ontogenetic transitions and challenges for both developing humans and developing young of other species. For instance, although adolescence is sometimes considered a developmental phase specific to humans, young from other mammalian species similarly undergo an adolescent transition from a state of dependence to the relative independence of adulthood, during which they need to attain skills to permit survival away from parental caretakers and to acquire the social circumstances to permit propagation of the species. Research has revealed notable coherences between fundamental neural, hormonal, and behavioral characteristics of human adolescents and adolescents from other species, ranging from primates to rodents. For example, to the extent that across-species data are available, considerable similarities are seen between humans and other mammalian species in terms of brain sculpting that occurs during adolescence; such transformations are particularly pronounced in mesocorticolimbic regions of the forebrain. Moreover, certain adolescent-characteristic behaviors, including increases in risk-taking and sensation-seeking and an increased focus on social interactions with peers, are evident not only for human adolescents but also for their counterparts in other species.

Behavioral and neural similarities evident among adolescents from a variety of species seemingly represent, in part, highly conserved developmental traits of adaptive significance. Risk-taking has been suggested to increase the probability of reproductive success for male individuals of a variety of species, including humans, as well as to facilitate the emigration of sexually matur ing adolescents away from genetic relatives, thereby avoiding inbreeding and the lower viability associated with inbred offspring. Such potential adaptive significance may explain why risk-taking has been highly conserved in adolescence despite its high cost, with risky behaviors being primary sources of the elevated mortality rates evident among adolescents of many species, including humans. Contributing to adolescent risk-taking are the propensity to drink substantial amounts of alcohol, a tendency seen in human adolescents and adolescents of other species, and the various adverse consequences resulting from that drinking.

Considerable similarities seen across species in neural, behavioral, and hormonal characteristics of these developmental transitions provide sufficiently promising evidence of face and construct validity to support the judicious use of animal models of adolescence and other developmental transitions. Despite some across-species similarities, no other species demonstrates anything near the full complexity of human brain, behavior, and cognition seen at any time of life. Many critical areas of human development (eg, the impact of advertising on alcohol intake and ethnic differences in acceptability of alcohol use across age and gender) are not amenable to study with animal models. The appropriateness of animal models differs considerably according to the aspect of human development to be modeled. Although animal models typically provide at best only simplified assessments of the dynamic interrelationships among genetic factors, brain function, behavior, and the environment during ontogeny, empirical studies with animal models can be used to address key issues that are ethnically inappropriate or challenging to examine in human youths. For example, animal models can be used to examine the ontogeny of sensitivity and tolerance to ethanol, to determine potential long-lasting neurocognitive and behavioral consequences of early alcohol exposure, and to assess the impact of expression changes in particular brain regions or puberty-associated hormonal alterations on age-specific behaviors and environmental sensitivities. Although simplified and restricted in which domains can be modeled, research using animal models can extend findings and fill difficult-to-address gaps in the human literature, contributing to our understanding of the dynamics of the brain-behavior-environment interrelationships that lead to excessive alcohol consumption in adolescence and the potential lasting consequences of that consumption.

**PRINCIPLES OF DEVELOPMENTAL PSYCHOPATHOLOGY**

**Focus**

The conceptual framework of the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism advisory group to the Underage Drinking Research Initiative was grounded in developmental psychopathology, which has become the prevailing perspective for understanding and addressing behavioral problems and disorders in multiple disciplines. At the heart of this perspective are a set of core assumptions widely held by developmental psychopathologists and derived in large part from common features of contemporary developmental theories. These principles guided the organization and recommendations of the working group, as set forth in articles in this supplement, as well as in other publications. In this section, we highlight these guiding principles (adapted from the work of Masten) and their implications for addressing the problem of underage drinking.

**Developmental Principle**

Behavior emerges in a developing organism and therefore a developmental perspective is essential for understanding, preventing, and treating the causes, problems, and consequences associated with problematic behavior and psychopathological conditions. To understand or to attempt to change behavior in a person (or animal), a development approach is necessary, particularly
during the early years of development, when there are
d periods of concentrated or rapid transformation. This
principle has numerous corollaries. Development arises
from complex interactions and coactions among genes,
internal systems, people, and contexts at multiple lev-
els. Models that try to incorporate multiple aspects
of these interactions resort to compound terminology to
convey the multiple levels and dynamic nature of de-
velopment, such as “biopsychosocial systems model,”
“neurobehavioral development,” or “gene-environment
interplay.” Different DNA sets, different gene expressions,
and different experiences of development all combine
to produce variations among people, including identical
twins.

The development of snowflakes provides a simple
model of how context matters for development. Individ-
ual snowflakes develop from simple molecules into in-
finitive variations on a 6-sided theme because the exact
conditions in which any 2 snowflakes develop are never
the same (and snowflakes do not skip school, go to the
mall, break up with a romantic partner, search the In-
ternet, drive drunk, or in myriad other ways influence
the nature of their own developmental conditions and
experiences, as do human individuals).

The course of individual development can take many
directions. There are multiple pathways toward and away
from problems and disorders, multiple causes, multiple
paths to the same disorder, and different outcomes of
the same risk exposure. The concepts of equifinality and
multifinality in developmental psychopathology refer to
multiple pathways leading toward the same disorder or
multiple outcomes from the same risk factor; equifinality
refers to multiple pathways with the same outcome, and
multifinality refers to multiple outcomes or branching
paths from the same beginning point.95

Development shows periods of continuity and or-
derly change, but there also are periods of discontinuity
and transformation. Some transformations involve de-
velopmental progressions and cascades, in which 1 be-
havior leads to another or 1 behavior leads to a change
in context, which in turn influences behavior. Periods
of rapid change and transformation create windows of
vulnerability and opportunity for altering the course
of development to a different developmental pathway.
When systems are unstable, there is more opportunity
for change, good or bad. Developmental perspectives are
likely to inform the nature and timing of interventions, for
example, to interrupt developmental progressions and cas-
cades, to identify developmental periods of greater via-
ability (when levels of risk are developmentally lower and
approachability is likely to be greater, such as in middle
childhood), or to use developmentally relevant leverage
for change (such as peer influence).61,104,105

Normative/Expected Principle

Psychopathological conditions are defined in relation to
normative/expected development in cultural and histori-
cal contexts. The definition of behavior problems and
 disorders, as well as judgments about whether and how
such behavior is damaging to individuals or society, de-
deps on a basic shared understanding of normal human
development, what is expected at different times, and
what is acceptable or not acceptable at a particular age.
There can be a disjunction between normative behavior
and acceptable behavior in a social group or society, as
noted above. When normative behaviors change, judg-
m ents about what is expected and what is acceptable also
are likely to change.

There is normative and nonnormative underage
drinking, and there are related normative expectations
about underage drinking. Normative patterns and ex-
petations about drinking change over time and vary in
cultural subgroups within societies. It is possible for
underage drinking to be deviant in the sense of earlier than
typical or deviant in the sense of disapproval by the
cultural group or society to which one belongs.

It is also possible for underage drinking to be (1)
expected/normative and approved, (2) expected/norma-
tive and disapproved, or (3) unexpected/nonnormative
and disapproved. The combination of unexpected/non-
normative and approved is unlikely for drinking, as in
the situation of drinking by very young children, which
is both unexpected/nonnormative and disapproved. Al-
cohol use problems and disorders involve assessments of
impairment and deviance that depend on developmental
task expectations for adaptive functioning that are based
on age, gender, culture, and historical context.
Human individuals are active agents in development. Human individuals play an important role in their own development, through, for example, their behavior, their influence on other people, their choices, the risks they take, the peers they choose as friends, the media messages, friends, peer group norms, romantic partners, school norms, opportunities, historical trends in economics or culture, religious beliefs, and social policies, among many other kinds and levels of system interactions. Underage drinking and AUD emerge from the complex interplay of individuals and contexts at multiple levels over time. The salience of a particular level of interaction may vary during development; for example, peer influence on alcohol use becomes salient during late childhood or early adolescence, whereas parental influence begins much earlier. Interventions to change underage drinking could be directed at many aspects of these interacting systems, and it is clear that timing matters.

**Multilevel Principle**

Psychopathological processes occur within and across multiple levels of functioning, from molecular or genetic to family, peer, cultural, or solar systems; therefore, multiple disciplines and multiple levels of analysis are often required for a complete understanding of causes and consequences. Many levels of interactions need to be considered to understand or to change the behavior and development of an individual, from molecules to media. The title of the influential volume *Neurons to Neighborhoods: The Science of Early Childhood Development* captures the importance of a multilevel accounting of development. There is growing interest in delineating the processes that link levels, that is, multilevel dynamics in developmental psychopathology.

A full accounting of the causes, consequences, and methods of preventing or decreasing underage drinking would include multiple levels of analyses and their interactions, requiring the collaborative efforts of multiple disciplines. Extensive research pertinent to underage drinking is completed or underway. There is multidisciplinary research on genetic vulnerability, dispositional vulnerabilities, gene expression, gene-environment interactions, brain development, age-related ethanol sensitivities, family and peer processes, cognitive development, general and specific risk/protective factors (for onset, progression, desistance, and severity), the roles of media, society, culture, and religion, and interventions that do and do not show promise. Interventions to change individual behavior related to alcohol use or dependence or to change systems that interact with individuals can be directed at many levels, at different systems, and at system interactions. Integrating good science and theory across multiple levels provides a better basis for designing more-effective interventions to prevent and to ameliorate the occurrence and consequences of underage drinking.

**Agency Principle**

The human organism is an active agent in development. Human individuals are living systems; therefore, behavior problems and disorders emerge from complex interactions among systems within individuals and also between an individual and the multiple systems in which the life of the individual is embedded. Human individuals are complex organisms who live and grow as a result of many interactions within the person and between the person and the environment. Dynamic change is the nature of living, developing systems; however, living systems also maintain their own coherence, viability, and stability, even as they develop.

In developmental systems theory, epigenesis refers to the emergence of complex organisms from multiple levels of bidirectional interactions. This concept, which came from embryology, now broadly refers to all of the interactions and coactions, within and across levels, between genes, neurons, behavior, and contexts, which together and sequentially produce an increasingly organized and differentiated organism in the developing organism.**

Individual development is the form that emerges from bidirectional system interactions across multiple levels, constrained by the nature, timing, context, and other features of these interactions. It is interesting to note that it is only in recent years that the bidirectionality of the developmental systems view has been widely appreciated, although the concept of epigenesis has been present for a long time. Vertical bidirectionality is now recognized along with horizontal bidirectionality. There is growing attention to top-down as well as bottom-up influences in the interactions across levels of analysis. For example, there is intense interest in the role of experience in influencing gene expression, as well as the bottom-up effect of gene expression on development. Because of these interactions and complexities, development is not fixed or certain but probabilistic. Human individuals are self-regulating in many ways at multiple levels, but much of their self-regulation develops as children mature. Infants are highly dependent on caregivers for multiple aspects of regulation, including temperature, food, arousal level, and sleep. Over the course of childhood and adolescence, self-regulation improves and becomes less dependent on caregivers and more dependent on the self and peers.

As humans develop, they become more complex and their behavior is more differentiated in relation to the context. Bronfenbrenner described the ecology of human development in terms of the larger systems that influence human development, many extending well beyond the family. People interact with each other and with the larger systems in which they live, including school systems, peer systems, social systems, and even the solar system (which influences behaviors such as sleep). Some influences of systems outside the family on individuals are direct (eg, peers interacting with a child or jet lag), and others are indirect (eg, the father is fired from his job and becomes depressed and irritable toward the child).

Underage drinking is likely to be influenced in multiple ways by multiple genes and their coactions, individual differences in personality and cognition, family functioning, community values and supports, media messages, friends, peer group norms, romantic partners, school norms, opportunities, historical trends in economics or culture, religious beliefs, and social policies, among many other kinds and levels of system interactions. Underage drinking and AUD emerge from the complex interplay of individuals and contexts at multiple levels over time. The salience of a particular level of interaction may vary during development; for example, peer influence on alcohol use becomes salient during late childhood or early adolescence, whereas parental influence begins much earlier. Interventions to change underage drinking could be directed at many aspects of these interacting systems, and it is clear that timing matters.

**Systems Principle**

Human individuals are living systems; therefore, behavior problems and disorders emerge from complex interactions among systems within individuals and also between an individual and the multiple systems in which the life of the individual is embedded. Human individuals are complicated organisms who live and grow as a result of many interactions within the person and between the person and the environment. Dynamic change is the nature of living, developing systems; however, living systems also maintain their own coherence, viability, and stability, even as they develop.

In developmental systems theory, epigenesis refers to the emergence of complex organisms from multiple levels of bidirectional interactions. This concept, which came from embryology, now broadly refers to all of the interactions and coactions, within and across levels, between genes, neurons, behavior, and contexts, which together and sequentially produce an increasingly organized and differentiated organism in the developing organism. Individual development is the form that emerges from bidirectional system interactions across multiple levels, constrained by the nature, timing, context, and other features of these interactions. It is interesting to note that it is only in recent years that the bidirectionality of the developmental systems view has been widely appreciated, although the concept of epigenesis has been present for a long time. Vertical bidirectionality is now recognized along with horizontal bidirectionality. There is growing attention to top-down as well as bottom-up influences in the interactions across levels of analysis. For example, there is intense interest in the role of experience in influencing gene expression, as well as the bottom-up effect of gene expression on development. Because of these interactions and complexities, development is not fixed or certain but probabilistic. Human individuals are self-regulating in many ways at multiple levels, but much of their self-regulation develops as children mature. Infants are highly dependent on caregivers for multiple aspects of regulation, including temperature, food, arousal level, and sleep. Over the course of childhood and adolescence, self-regulation improves and becomes less dependent on caregivers and more dependent on the self and peers.

As humans develop, they become more complex and their behavior is more differentiated in relation to the context. Bronfenbrenner described the ecology of human development in terms of the larger systems that influence human development, many extending well beyond the family. People interact with each other and with the larger systems in which they live, including school systems, peer systems, social systems, and even the solar system (which influences behaviors such as sleep). Some influences of systems outside the family on individuals are direct (eg, peers interacting with a child or jet lag), and others are indirect (eg, the father is fired from his job and becomes depressed and irritable toward the child).

Underage drinking is likely to be influenced in multiple ways by multiple genes and their coactions, individual differences in personality and cognition, family functioning, community values and supports, media messages, friends, peer group norms, romantic partners, school norms, opportunities, historical trends in economics or culture, religious beliefs, and social policies, among many other kinds and levels of system interactions. Underage drinking and AUD emerge from the complex interplay of individuals and contexts at multiple levels over time. The salience of a particular level of interaction may vary during development; for example, peer influence on alcohol use becomes salient during late childhood or early adolescence, whereas parental influence begins much earlier. Interventions to change underage drinking could be directed at many aspects of these interacting systems, and it is clear that timing matters.
they choose to engage, and what they choose to ingest and when. In other words, children are active agents in their own lives, not passive receivers of experience, education, or socialization. Children take an active role in the shaping of their own lives, by their actions and by their reactions and interactions with other people. Moreover, as children grow older, their agency increases along with their growing capacity for self-control and planning, problem-solving abilities, mobility, and access to other people and the media. Fourteen-year-old youths have more capacity to influence the direction of their lives than do 14-month-old children, because they have more capacity for problem-solving, more independent mobility, more choice about who they spend their time with and how they spend it, and better understanding of options, choices, and the consequences of their actions.

Underage drinking arises not in a passive organism but in one that is thinking, motivated, self-regulating, and in many other ways actively and dynamically interacting with the people and objects in the environment. The development of self-regulation, planning, motivation, decision-making, risk-taking, friendship, and other manifestations of agency are important aspects of an understanding of the development of alcohol use and its consequences. It also is important to understand how alcohol use may alter the processes of agency in development, altering the quality or nature of decision-making or actions that could have great consequences for the future.

Mutually Informative Principle
Variations in adaptation, including successful and unsuccessful development, normal and deviant behavior, and resilience and maladaptation, are important for understanding pathological and normal development. Studies of deviant and normal development are mutually informative, which means that the study of normal development informs the study of abnormal development, and vice versa. In the case of underage drinking, it is important to understand who does not drink as well as who does, pathways to abstinence and appropriate drinking as well as roads to problematic drinking, protective factors as well as risk factors, the causes of desistance and recovery as well as the causes of initiation and progression, positive as well as negative effects, and outcomes of underage normative drinking as well as non-normative drinking.

Longitudinal Principle
Prospective longitudinal studies are essential for understanding the interplay of the systems that influence development and the many possible pathways toward and away from psychopathological conditions. Longitudinal studies are crucial for understanding developmental problems and disorders. Cross-sectional data can be misleading in multiple ways, including the masking of dramatic turning points and individual differences in the timing and pace of development. Similarly, studies that gather retrospective reports to generate conclusions about development and the pathways leading to disorders are risky and require confirmation. Conclusions based on such evidence must be regarded only as plausible hypotheses until they are confirmed in prospective studies.

Longitudinal data are necessary to study changes within individuals, to study progression from one behavior to another, and to determine whether intervention effects persist over time. For many reasons, preventive interventions and effective treatments need to be designed and evaluated from a developmental/longitudinal perspective.

Longitudinal studies are important for studying antecedents and consequences of alcohol use and AUDs, for elucidating the early signs of trouble, and for ascertaining whether interventions work and whether the effects persist or dissipate. AUDs could be classified, assessed, and diagnosed from a longitudinal/developmental perspective. This approach is likely to be more fruitful for understanding and addressing AUDs than an approach that considers only current or very recent behavior.

CONCLUSIONS
Developmental patterns in alcohol use, consequences, predictors, and moderators present a convincing case in favor of a developmental approach to underage drinking. Underage drinking is a complex issue, deeply embedded in the developmental, multilevel, dynamic processes operating over time within and between individuals and their contexts. This complexity presents a challenging agenda for those who seek to prevent this problem and to reduce the burden of its effects on individuals, families, and communities. Nonetheless, rapid advances in developmental theory, knowledge, and technologies at multiple levels of analysis (from measuring genes to imaging the brain in action to statistically analyzing growth and change) are making it feasible to examine the processes of development in relation to many problems of great public concern. Advances in developmental science across multiple disciplines are opening new horizons for research on underage drinking, conceptualized as a developmental problem, with the potential for innovative advances in developmentally informed and developmentally strategic solutions. The persuasive Surgeon General’s Call to Action to Prevent and Reduce Underage Drinking underscores not only the importance of the task but also the quintessentially developmental nature of the action agenda. The time has come for a developmentally informed and sensitive research agenda regarding the causes, consequences, prevention, and treatment of underage drinking.
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