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ABSTRACT. Fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS) is the most common nonhereditary cause of mental retardation, with deficits in general intellectual functioning, learning, memory, attention, and problem-solving. Presented here is the first case in which measured neurocognitive abilities were determined before, during, and after hyperbaric oxygen therapy in a case of FAS involving a teenage male patient. Memory, reaction time, and visual motor speed assessments were compared. After 40 hyperbaric treatments with 100% oxygen at 1.5 atmospheres absolute, the patient’s performance in 6 of 6 categories of the computer-administered test battery improved. Word composite (verbal) scores improved from 55% to 73%, memory composite (visual) scores improved from 38% to 55%, reaction time composites improved from 1.03 to 0.53 seconds, impulse control composite scores improved from 8 to 5, and visual motor speed scores improved from 18.6 to 19.03. The patient’s subjective symptoms diminished 94%. Six months after these treatments, the patient’s verbal memory was maintained at 73% without any other interventions; impulsivity continued to improve, whereas other indices did not. Thirty-three additional treatments continued to improve test performance, with verbal memory at 95%, visual memory at 57%, and a 100% reduction of subjective symptoms. This patient, with 15-year-matured FAS, benefited from a short course of low-pressure hyperbaric oxygen therapy, sustained durable cognitive improvements, and continued to exhibit improvement with another short course of treatments. Pediatrics 2005;116:e586–e591. URL: www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2004-2851; hyperbaric oxygen therapy, fetal alcohol syndrome.

ABBREVIATIONS. FAS, fetal alcohol syndrome; ATA, atmospheres absolute; HBOT, hyperbaric oxygen therapy; ARND, alcohol-related neurodevelopmental disorders; DCS, decompression sickness; SPECT, single-photon emission computed tomographic.

Fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS) and alcohol-related neurodevelopmental disorders (ARND) are the leading causes of nonhereditary mental retardation. FAS is caused by maternal consumption of alcohol during pregnancy, with growth deficiencies and a characteristic set of minor facial traits that tend to become more transparent as the child matures. In addition to deficits in general intellectual functioning, individuals with FAS/ARND often demonstrate difficulties with learning, memory, attention, and problem-solving skills, as well as mental and social impairments. Children with ARND do not have the characteristic facial defects and growth deficiencies. The prevalence of FAS in the general population of the United States is thought to be between 0.5 and 2 cases per 1000 births, and the prevalence of FAS and alcohol-related birth defects combined is at least 10 cases per 1000 births, or 1% of all births.

Fetal alcohol spectrum disorders is now the accepted general term describing the range of effects that can occur among individuals whose mothers drank alcohol during pregnancy. Other than supportive services, there is no treatment; according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, FAS is considered irreversible and incurable.

It has been a decade since Harch et al2 first used hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) for a child with a neurodevelopmental disorder in North America, after making the observation that patients with neurologic conditions who were treated with standard HBOT for chronic wound problems experienced improvement in their neurologic problems. Several years earlier, Neubauer et al3–5 reported several cases of single-photon emission computed tomographic (SPECT) brain imaging before and after HBOT for stroke, near drowning, and natural gas poisoning, with recovery of neurologic function. Subsequently, Harch et al2,6,7 performed the same sequence of SPECT scans/HBOT/SPECT scans for commercial divers with brain decompression sickness (DCS) and obtained results similar to those of Neubauer et al3–5 for patients with acute, subacute, or chronic carbon monoxide poisoning, patients with acute, subacute, or chronic brain DCS, and patients with chronic ischemic, hypoxic, traumatic, and/or hypoxic brain injuries.

Commercial divers with DCS of the brain or spinal cord were flown in comatose and/or paralyzed condition from the oil and gas fields of the Gulf of Mexico. These injured divers showed neurologic improvement far exceeding published reports and current expectations. The notable improvement was attributable to a protocol that treated beyond the medical standard of a few HBOT treatments. Some patients required as many as 100 treatments before reaching a clinical plateau. Minutes to hours after the onset of DCS, tissue damage continues to develop.
because of persistent occlusion of blood vessels by bubbles or secondary damage to blood vessels caused by passage of bubbles. This situation is virtually identical to the pathologic processes that occur in the brains of people during and after a stroke. Although not widely known or used, HBOT is now considered an important stroke treatment strategy and proved successful in several animal and human stroke studies. The journal Stroke published a double-blind study showing that stroke patients who had received HBOT had less disability and better neurologic function 1 year later than those who did not receive HBOT.

Treatment of acute focal cerebral ischemia with HBOT has been reported for animals and humans. In general, the results of research in animals have suggested a promising role for HBOT. Hundreds of cases of human ischemic stroke treated with HBOT have been reported. In approximately one half of the cases, improvement in status was claimed on clinical or electroencephalographic grounds.

It seems that HBOT may play a role in the repair or reconstruction of injured lower motor neurons as well. On the basis of the available literature, it has been suggested that HBOT may provide an effective strategy for the prevention and treatment of numerous neurologic handicaps that plague many children. The senior clinicians involved in the largest randomized trial of HBOT for children with cerebral palsy, as well as the McGill pilot study, have been unequivocal regarding the benefits of HBOT for children with brain injuries.

I adapted successfully a computerized neuropsychologic test battery, which was developed originally to evaluate sports concussions at the Center for Sports Medicine Sports Concussion Program of the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, to evaluate victims of carbon monoxide poisoning before and after HBOT. The software evaluates and documents multiple aspects of neurocognitive functioning, including memory, brain processing speed, reaction time, and postconcussive symptoms. Furthermore, unlike standard neuropsychologic testing modalities, ImPACT (Immediate Postconcussion Assessment and Cognitive Testing) has shown itself to be a reliable evaluation tool with virtually no practice effect influence on score stability. This computerized evaluation was administered in this first documented case of HBOT for a child with FAS.

### CASE REPORT

The child was found abandoned in a train station in Rustov, Russia, as a toddler and subsequently was adopted and brought to the United States. When the patient entered school, numerous problems arose that led eventually to the diagnosis of FAS (category II, because the patient was without confirmed maternal alcohol exposure). Although the patient’s exact age was not known, his legal birth date made him 15 years of age at the time of the evaluation, in which he still exhibited several key features of FAS, including classic facial anomalies and neurodevelopmental abnormalities of the central nervous system. Furthermore, it is of interest that, because of his neurocognitive state, the patient was found incompetent to stand trial for an alleged offense in the court system in New Mexico.

The patient was given the aforementioned, computer-administered, test battery, which consists of 7 individual test modules that measure aspects of cognitive functioning including attention, memory, reaction time, and processing speed (Table 1). He took a baseline test, a test midway through his HBOT, and a retest as he completed his initial block of HBOT at treatment 40. The patient was restested 6 months after HBOT and again after completing another 33 treatments.

On the basis of normative data for his age, the patient’s baseline scores placed him in the impaired range (Figs 1–5; Table 2). The patient was treated with low-pressure HBOT 5 days per week, for 60 minutes at depth for each treatment (100% oxygen at 1.5 atmospheres absolute [ATA], which is equivalent to the pressure at 17 feet of seawater), in a multiplace chamber with a hood to administer the oxygen. After his 20th treatment, he was restested and demonstrated a precipitous decrease in reaction time and the beginnings of improvement in memory. As is often the case when reaction time improves, impulsivity scores increased initially. By the end of treatment, 5 of 5 composite scores of neurocognitive function showed improvement, although the patient’s verbal and visual memory scores still placed him in the borderline impaired range. Impulsivity control and reaction time surpassed the high school mean, and subjective symptoms reported during the evaluation decreased 94% from baseline values.

After 6 months with no additional treatments or interventions of any kind, the patient was restested. His verbal memory score was maintained at 73%. His impulse control composite score continued to improve by decreasing to only 2; however, his visual memory score decreased and was only 13% better than the baseline value. His reaction time was still 36% better than the baseline value but was >0.1 second worse than his 40-treatment exit score of 0.53 second. The patient reentered treatment and, after 33 additional exposures to HBOT, his verbal memory was 95% (pretreatment: 55%), visual memory was 57% (pretreatment: 38%), reaction time was 0.64 second (pretreatment: 1.03 second), visual motor speed score was 20.1 (pretreatment: 18.6), and all previously reported symptoms resolved.

### DISCUSSION

In 1992, Rockswold et al reported a study of acute traumatic brain injury. Conducted from 1983 to 1989, the study enrolled 168 patients with Glasgow Coma Scale scores of ≤9. The overall mortality rate was reduced significantly, by 50%, in the HBOT group (57% in the group with increased intracranial pressure). In 2001, Rockswold et al reported that HBOT improved the cerebral metabolic rate for oxygen, decreased cerebrospinal fluid lactate levels (a marker of damaged brain cells), and reduced intracranial pressure. Those authors showed the ability of

### TABLE 1. Neuropsychologic Test Modules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Module</th>
<th>Ability Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Word discrimination</td>
<td>Attentional processes, verbal recognition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol memory</td>
<td>Visual working memory, visual processing speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequential digit tracking</td>
<td>Sustained attention, reaction time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual span</td>
<td>Visual attention, immediate memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol matching</td>
<td>Visual processing speed, learning and memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color click</td>
<td>Focused attention, response inhibition, reaction time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three letters</td>
<td>Working memory, visual motor response speed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results from these tests are computed into overall memory, reaction time, and processing speed composite scores (normative data are available at www.impacttest.com).
HBOT to recouple blood flow with metabolism. This is relevant because it is time to revise the old concept that brain injury is a condition for which there is no treatment other than supportive measures. Furthermore, the axiom that old injuries are static or irreversible is untenable. It is now recognized in neurology that deterioration attributable to brain damage at birth may take place over 28 years. This
mirrors the adult situation. Furthermore, stem or progenitor cells have been found in the adult brain in the past decade, and they can result in neural regeneration. This recovery process is oxygen dependent and, on first principles, is much more likely to take place in a youngster than in an adult. There is now conclusive evidence from altitude studies that the capillary density can be increased even in the adult mammalian brain.

However, there is trepidation in using extra oxygen to treat children with neurologic problems, because of ingrained concern regarding retinopathy. Tissue hypoxia is compounded by the intravascular sequestration of leukocytes, which release oxygen free radicals. These mechanisms, which constitute the inflammatory response, seem to be activated inappropriately in reperfusion injury and are stimulated by hypoxic signaling produced by increased levels of hypoxia-inducible factor 1α, which also upregulates the production of vascular endothelial growth factor. Therefore, it seems to be hypoxia, created by abrupt reduction of incubator oxygen levels, and not oxygen toxicity that is responsible for neovascularization in retinopathy of prematurity.

The computer assessment used in this case seems to be a very useful tool for monitoring changes in neurocognitive function after a brain injury and has application beyond the evaluation of sports-related concussions. HBOT may be useful in the treatment of neurologic injury even if applied in the nonacute period, as it was with this patient. Patients with DCS sometimes needed to be treated 100 times before a clinical plateau was reached, and the block of 33 additional treatments continued to improve this patient’s neurocognitive function when given at a later date (7 months after the end of his first block of treatments). On the basis of previous experience with DCS cases, this patient might have maintained some of his gains better if he had received additional treatments in the 6-month period after his initial treatment ended, as the continued improvement in his neurocognitive test results with resumption of therapy 7 months later indicates. No conclusion should be drawn from this case regarding how to use HBOT to treat a newborn with evidence of fetal alcohol exposure, because the pathologic features of a more acute exposure to alcohol may not be like those of an old exposure. The lack of appreciation of the pathologic differences in acute versus chronic brain inju-

Fig 4. Symptom scores. The computer-administered evaluation asks patients to score subjective symptoms relevant to brain injury that they may be having. The decrease in reported symptoms is consistent with the global improvement this patient displayed in the other modules of the test. The return of some symptoms after 6 months is also consistent with the partial deterioration in some of his scores, but the score decreased to 0 with additional HBOT.

Fig 5. Visual motor speed composite score. Visual motor speed improved with treatment but remained in the borderline impaired range (high school mean: 36.81).
ries and how to treat such injuries has produced a legacy of equivocal results. Dosage matters with HBOT, especially in treating chronic brain injuries. Pressures used for treatment of diabetic foot ulcers (2.4 ATA) or acute DCS (2.8 ATA) probably will not produce the desired healing of mature brain injuries. In any situation in which application of appropriate measurements gives concrete evidence of changes induced by treatment, the significance of limited numbers of patients is increased. In a sense, this FAS patient acted as his own control, which was facilitated by the level of documentation that the computer-generated neurocognitive evaluation was able to provide. Low-pressure HBOT is a therapy with an extremely low risk profile and relatively low cost, with potential benefits that seem to be significant and measurable for a condition considered incurable, with no treatment at our disposal. In this case, a youth with 15-year-matured FAS benefited from a short course of low-pressure HBOT and sustained durable cognitive improvements. Given the implications, these results should receive consideration for broader study as soon as possible.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 2. Scoring Generated by Computer Assessment of Neurocognitive Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Examination language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hits (immediate), no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct distractors (immediate), no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning correct, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hits (delay), no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct distractors (delay), no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed memory correct, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total correct, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hits (immediate), no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct distractors (immediate), no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning correct, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hits (delay), no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct distractors (delay), no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed memory correct, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total correct, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X’s &amp; O’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total correct (memory), no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total correct (interference), no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average correct reaction time (interference), s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total incorrect (interference), no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average incorrect reaction time (interference), s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol match</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total correct (symbols), no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average correct reaction time (symbols), s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total correct (symbols hidden), no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average correct reaction time (symbols hidden), s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color match</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total correct, no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average correct reaction time, s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total commissions, no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average commissions reaction time, s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three letters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total correct, no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average correct reaction time, s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total letters correct, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average time to first click, s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average counted, no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average counted correctly, no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite scores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory composite (verbal), %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory composite (visual), %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual motor speed composite score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impulse control composite score</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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