Once-Daily Atomoxetine Treatment for Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder, Including an Assessment of Evening and Morning Behavior: A Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial
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ABSTRACT.

Objectives. Atomoxetine seems to be as effective for treating attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) when the daily dose is administered once in the morning as when the dose is divided and administered in the morning and evening. In the present study, the efficacy of atomoxetine administered once daily among children with ADHD was assessed throughout the day, including the evening and early morning. Another goal was to determine how early in treatment it was possible to discern a specific effect of the drug on ADHD symptoms.

Methods. This study was a randomized, multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial conducted at 12 outpatient sites in the United States. A total of 197 children, 6 to 12 years of age, who had been diagnosed as having ADHD, on the basis of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (4th ed.) criteria, were randomized to receive 8 weeks of treatment with atomoxetine or placebo, dosed once daily in the mornings. ADHD symptoms were assessed with parent and investigator rating scales. The primary outcome measure was the Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV-Parent Version: Investigator-Administered and Scored total score: Daily parent assessments of children’s home behaviors in the evening and early morning were recorded with an electronic data entry system. This instrument measures 11 specific morning or evening activities, including getting up and out of bed, doing or completing homework, and sitting through dinner.

Results. Seventy-one percent of the children enrolled were male, 69% met criteria for the combined subtype (both inattentive and hyperactive/impulsive symptoms), and the most common psychiatric comorbidity was oppositional defiant disorder (35%). Once-daily atomoxetine (final mean daily dose of 1.3 mg/kg) was significantly more effective than placebo in treating core symptoms of ADHD. Mean reductions in the Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV-Parent Version: Investigator-Administered and Scored total score were significantly greater for patients randomized to atomoxetine, beginning at the first visit after the initiation of treatment and continuing at all subsequent visits. Both inattentive and hyperactive/impulsive symptom clusters were significantly reduced with atomoxetine, compared with placebo. With continued treatment and dose titrations, core symptoms of ADHD continued to decrease throughout the 8-week study. Mean reductions in the daily parent assessment total scores for patients randomized to atomoxetine were superior during the first week, beginning with the first day of dosing, and were also superior at endpoint. Efficacy outcomes for the evening hours for atomoxetine-treated patients were superior to those for placebo-treated patients, as assessed with 2 different assessment scales. Decreases in the daily parent assessment morning subscores at endpoint showed a significant reduction in symptoms that lasted into the mornings. Rates of discontinuations attributable to adverse events were <5% for both groups. Adverse events reported significantly more frequently with atomoxetine were decreased appetite, somnolence, and fatigue.

Conclusions. Among children 6 to 12 of age who had been diagnosed as having ADHD, once-daily administration of atomoxetine in the morning provided safe, rapid, continuous, symptom relief that lasted not only into the evening hours but also into the morning hours. Atomoxetine treatment was safe and well tolerated. Pediatrics 2004;114:1–8. URL: http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/114/1/1; atomoxetine, ADHD, children, continuous relief, once-daily, rapid onset of action.

ABBREVIATIONS. ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; GPE, Global Index: Parent-Evening; ADHD RS, Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV-Parent Version: Investigator-Administered and Scored; ECG, electrocardiogram; LOCF, last observation carried forward; CGI-ADHD-S, Clinical Global Impressions-Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder-Severity; CI, confidence interval; ANCOVA, analysis of covariance; DPREMB-R, Daily Parent Ratings of Evening and Morning Behavior-Revised.

Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is an early-onset, neurobehavioral childhood disorder that affects 3% to 7% of school-aged children in the United States.1 The disorder is associated with high levels of comorbidities, such as learning disabilities, mood and anxiety disorders,
impairment of academic and social functioning, and poorer outcomes later in life.\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^8\)

The National Institute of Mental Health-funded Multimodal Treatment Study of Children with ADHD recently demonstrated the superiority of careful, standardized, drug therapy over psychosocial interventions alone in ADHD symptom reduction for most children.\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^11\) Stimulant treatment outside school (thrice-daily methylphenidate treatment) has also been suggested to be superior to therapy restricted to school hours (twice-daily methylphenidate treatment).\(^11\)\(^-\)\(^12\) Currently, the most commonly used pharmacologic interventions for ADHD involve various formulations of and delivery systems for the psychostimulants methylphenidate and amphetamine.

Atomoxetine is a potent inhibitor of the pre-synaptic norepinephrine transporter (\(K_v\); 4.5 nM) that was recently approved for the treatment of ADHD in the United States. (Atomoxetine was originally called tomodexine; the name was recently changed to avoid potential confusion with tamoxifen, which might lead to errors in drug dispensing.) The effectiveness of atomoxetine in the treatment of ADHD has been demonstrated among children and adolescents when administered once or twice daily\(^13\)\(^-\)\(^16\) and among adults when administered twice daily.\(^17\) In a recently published study,\(^15\) atomoxetine appeared to be as effective when the daily dose was administered once in the morning as when the dose was divided and administered in the morning and evening, as in previous studies. This finding is compelling, given that the half-life of this drug is \(~5\) hours for most patients, and suggests that the effects of atomoxetine on ADHD symptoms persist beyond its direct pharmacologic effects. If true, this is of particular interest because it suggests a marked difference from the stimulants, the effects of which are generally closely correlated with plasma drug levels.\(^18\) The study reported here was designed to confirm and extend the observations made in the initial study of once-daily atomoxetine therapy,\(^15\) by assessing, in a more systematic way, efficacy during the evening and early morning hours. Another goal was to determine how early in treatment it was possible to discern a specific effect of the drug on ADHD symptoms.

**METHODS**

**Patient Population**

Children 6 to 12 years of age who met Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (4th ed.) criteria for ADHD, as assessed in clinical interviews and confirmed in parent interviews using the Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Aged Children–Present and Lifetime Version,\(^19\) were eligible to participate. All patients were required to meet a symptom severity threshold, with a symptom severity score at least 1.5 SDs above age and gender normative values, as assessed with the Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV-Patient Version: Investigator-Administered and Scored (ADHD RS).\(^20\)\(^-\)\(^21\) for the total score or either of the inattentive or hyperactive/impulsive subscales. Important exclusion criteria included serious medical illness, a history of psychosis or bipolar disorder, alcohol or drug abuse within the past 3 months, and ongoing use of psychoactive medications other than the study drug. Patients were recruited by referral and by advertisement.

After description of the procedures and purpose of the study and before administration of any study procedure or dispensing of study medication, written informed consent was obtained from each patient’s parent or guardian and written assent was obtained from each patient. This study was approved by each site’s institutional review board and was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles that have their origin in the Declaration of Helsinki and are consistent with good clinical practices and applicable laws and regulations.

**Study Design**

This study was a randomized, multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial conducted at 12 outpatient sites in the United States. All patients underwent a minimum 5-day, medication-free, evaluation period, followed by randomization to atomoxetine or placebo, in a double-blinded manner, for approximately 8 weeks. To keep to a minimum the number of patients assigned to receive placebo, randomization was unbalanced, with a ratio of 2 patients assigned to atomoxetine for each patient assigned to placebo. After randomization at the second study visit, patients underwent evaluation at 1, 4, and 8 weeks (visits 3–5).

The study drug was administered as a single daily dose. Parents were instructed to give the study drug in the morning at a time of their own choosing, with or without food. Patients in the atomoxetine treatment arm began treatment at 0.8 mg/kg per day for 3 days, with the dose increasing to 1.2 mg/kg per day for the remainder of the first week. The patients continued to receive atomoxetine at 1.2 mg/kg per day unless there were safety or tolerability problems that precluded them from continuing with this dose. After 4 weeks, patients receiving 1.2 mg/kg per day who demonstrated significant residual symptoms (scores of \(\geq 3\) on the Clinical Global Impressions-Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder-Score [CGI-ADHD-S]) and who had no safety or tolerability contraindications could have their dose increased to 1.8 mg/kg per day. The maximal dose for any subject was 1.8 mg/kg per day and could not exceed 120 mg per day, regardless of weight. Compliance was monitored with direct questioning and pill counting at each physician visit.

**Assessments**

**Efficacy**

The primary efficacy measure was the ADHD RS, a semistructured interview with the patient’s parent (or primary caregiver) that is rated by the investigator. It consists of 18 items, with 1 item for each of the 18 symptoms contained in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (4th ed.) diagnosis.\(^20\)\(^-\)\(^21\) Each item is scored on a 4-point scale (0 = never or rarely; 1 = sometimes; 2 = often; 3 = very often).

Other assessments included a parent-completed daily questionnaire evaluating the child’s behavior during the early morning and afternoon/evening (Daily Parent Ratings of Evening and Morning Behavior-Revised [DPREMB-R]), beginning 5 days before randomization and continuing for \(~4\) weeks, using an electronic entry system (PHT Esendant LogPad technology; PHT Corp, Charlestown, MA). This instrument, which was pilot-tested previously\(^22\) and was revised for use in this study, measures 11 specific morning or evening activities, including getting up and out of bed, doing or completing homework, and sitting through dinner. Each item is rated from 0 (no difficulty) to 3 (a lot of difficulty). Parents were instructed to complete the diary each evening between 6 pm and 12 midnight and to complete the morning items based on the time before medication was administered. Data for a particular date could be recorded only on that date.

The Conners’ Global Index: Parent is a 10-item questionnaire that assesses characteristics common to children with ADHD.\(^23\) In this study, it was used to collect parent observations of these behaviors in the evening (hence, Conners’ Global Index: Parent-Evening [CGIPE]). Each characteristic was rated on a 4-point scale (0 = not true at all/never; 1 = just a little true/occasionally; 2 = pretty much true/often, quite a bit; 3 = very much true/very often). Parents completed the assessment by responding to questions on the Conners’ GIPE on the basis of their children’s behavior in the evenings since the last visit. The total score, a restless-impulsive subscore (sum of items 1–4 and 6–8), and an emotional lability score (sum of items 5, 9, and 10) were calculated.

CGI-ADHD-S is a single-item rating based on the clinician’s
total experience with subjects with ADHD.22,23 Severity is rated on a 7-point scale (1 = normal, not at all ill; 7 = among the most extremely ill subjects).

Safety

Safety and tolerability measures included vital signs, electrocardiograms (ECGs), assessments of adverse events collected with open-ended questioning, and clinical laboratory tests.

Statistical Analyses

Patient data were analyzed on an intent-to-treat basis. All statistical tests were performed with a 2-sided, .05 significance level. The primary outcome measure, the ADHD RS total score, was analyzed with a mixed-model, repeated-measures analysis, using the MIXED procedure in SAS.24 to compare the treatment groups. The dependent variables were the scores from visit 3 through visit 5 (all postrandomization data). The model also contained fixed-class effect terms for treatment, investigator, and visit and an interaction term for the interaction between treatment and visit. The model included a random patient effect and baseline (visit 2) scores as covariables and used an unstructured covariance matrix. In addition, visit-wise efficacy was assessed by using contrasts from this model at each postbaseline visit. To illustrate change-from-baseline values for ADHD RS scores, the same model was implemented with change scores instead of observed scores as the dependent variable. The P values for treatment differences overall and by visit were not affected by replacing observed scores with change-from-baseline scores as the dependent variable. Change-from-baseline scores on the DPREMB-R scale during the first week of treatment were also analyzed by using the repeated-measures technique, with day of the week replacing visit in the model.

Analyses were also performed by using a last observation carried forward (LOCF) approach. For analysis of the LOCF mean change from baseline to endpoint, patients with a baseline value and ≥1 postbaseline measurement were included in the analysis. Treatment comparisons for efficacy measures of the mean change from baseline between groups were assessed by using a mixed-effect analysis of variance (ANOVA) model that contained terms for baseline score, treatment, and investigator. Because DPREMB-R findings were recorded daily, change scores were calculated by averaging total scores or subscores on a weekly basis, to minimize the effects of day-to-day variations. To estimate the change to endpoint, each patient’s average baseline score was subtracted from the last weekly average score. To be included in the DPREMB-R weekly calculation, at least 4 of the 5 baseline records and 6 of 7 records in 1 of the 4 weeks after baseline must have been completed. This inclusion criterion was used to ensure that an accurate assessment of the child’s daily behavior was captured in the analysis.

Effect size for the primary outcome measure was calculated as the least-squares mean treatment difference for atomoxetine versus placebo divided by the square root of the mean square error, based on the ANCOVA of LOCF change-from-baseline scores. Protocol-specific definitions of response (≥25% decrease in ADHD symptoms, as indicated by the ADHD RS total score, or an endpoint CGI-ADHD-S score of 1 or 2) were analyzed by using Fisher’s exact test.

Correlations between the DPREMB-R evening subscore and the Conner’s GIPE total score were computed to assess the sensitivity achieved when using the Conner’s GIPE to measure behaviors among the most extremely ill subjects.

RESULTS

Demographic Features

Of 260 patients screened, 197 met the entry criteria and were randomized to treatment. Patient characteristics and baseline symptom measures were similar between treatment groups, as summarized in Table 1. Seventy-one percent of the children enrolled were male, 69% met criteria for the combined subtype (both inattentive and hyperactive/impulsive symptoms), and the most common psychiatric comorbidity was oppositional defiant disorder (35%). The study cohort is summarized in the patient flow diagram (Fig 1).

Efficacy

Efficacy outcomes are summarized in Table 2. At baseline, mean symptom severities were similar among the groups and, as assessed with ADHD RS t scores, were >3 SDs above age and gender normative values (mean ± SD: atomoxetine: 83.6 ± 12.4; placebo: 83.8 ± 9.7). Mean reductions in the primary outcome measure (total ADHD RS score) were significantly greater for patients randomized to atomoxetine, beginning in the first week after the initiation of treatment and continuing at all subsequent visits (Fig 2), and were also significantly greater at endpoint (with LOCF ANCOVA) (Table 2). These changes corresponded to mean ± SD t scores at endpoint of 65.8 ± 16.0 for the atomoxetine group and 75.9 ± 13.0 for the placebo group (P < .001). The treatment effect size was 0.71. Both inattentive and hyperactive/impulsive symptom clusters were significantly reduced by atomoxetine, compared with placebo (Table 2). Categorical assessments of responses, as specified in the protocol, were superior for atomoxetine, compared with placebo (=25% reduction from baseline in total ADHD RS score: atomoxetine: 62.7%; placebo: 33.3%; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 15.2–43.6%; P < .001; endpoint CGI-ADHD-S score of 1 or 2 [normal or minimally ill]: atomoxetine: 27.0%; placebo: 5.0%; 95% CI: 12.8–31.2%; P < .001). Mean reductions in the CGI-ADHD-S scores at endpoint were also significantly greater for patients randomized to atomoxetine (with LOCF ANCOVA) (Table 2).

TABLE 1. Patient Demographic Features and Baseline Scores for Randomized Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic Feature</th>
<th>Atomoxetine (n = 133)</th>
<th>Placebo (n = 64)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male, no. (%)</td>
<td>94 (70.7)</td>
<td>45 (70.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age, y (SD)</td>
<td>9.5 (1.8)</td>
<td>9.4 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian, no. (%)</td>
<td>96 (72.2)</td>
<td>47 (73.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADHD subtype, no. (%)</td>
<td>93 (69.9)</td>
<td>43 (67.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>5 (3.8)</td>
<td>2 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inattentive</td>
<td>35 (26.3)</td>
<td>19 (29.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperactivity/impulsivity</td>
<td>71 (53.4)</td>
<td>31 (48.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous stimulant treatment, no. (%)</td>
<td>50 (37.6)</td>
<td>19 (29.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbid conditions,* no. (%)</td>
<td>7 (5.3)</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were no statistically significant differences between treatment groups on baseline behaviors or characteristics.

* All other comorbid conditions existed at a frequency of <5% in either treatment group.
Mean reductions in the DPREM-B-R total score during the first week were superior for patients randomized to atomoxetine, beginning with the first day of dosing (Fig 3), and values were also superior at endpoint (with LOCF ANCOVA) (Table 2). Approximately 70% of the decrease in DPREM-B-R total scores that occurred on the day 28 endpoint occurred on the very first day of dosing. Symptom reduction lasted into the evenings, as evidenced by significant reductions at endpoint in the DPREM-B-R evening subscore and the Conners’ GIPE total score (Table 2). Decreases at endpoint in the DPREM-B-R morning subscore indicated a significant reduction in symptoms that lasted into the morning. Comparisons of mean changes in the individual items of the DPREM-B-R demonstrated significant atomoxetine-specific reductions for 5 of the 8 evening items and 2 of the 3 morning items (Table 2). The Pearson’s correlation coefficient for the correlation between the DPREM-B-R evening subscore and the Conners’ GIPE total score was 0.62 ($P < .0001$). The mean ± SD final dose of atomoxetine was 1.3 ± 0.3 mg/kg per day (mean: 44.5 mg per day; range: 10–80 mg per day). There was a high rate of dosing compliance in both treatment groups (92.8% of atomoxetine-treated patients and 91.7% of placebo-treated patients).

### Safety

All adverse events that occurred for at least 5% of patients in either treatment group are listed in Table 3. Somnolence, fatigue, and decreased appetite were significantly more frequent with atomoxetine. An examination of treatment-emergent adverse events each day for the first week of treatment revealed that, except for decreased appetite and diarrhea, peak reporting for the events listed in Table 3 occurred during the first 3 days of treatment and reporting then gradually diminished. In a visit-wise comparison with placebo, the number of reports of somnolence was significantly greater for atomoxetine during days 1 and 2 of treatment and at visit 3 (1 week after randomization). Among atomoxetine-treated patients, there were 15, 9, and 10 reports of somnolence at visits 3, 4, and 5, respectively. The number of reports of fatigue for atomoxetine did not significantly differ from those for placebo at any of the postrandomization assessments (although, as stated above, fatigue was reported statistically significantly more frequently with atomoxetine when findings were analyzed for the entire postrandomization period). For the atomoxetine-treated patients, there were 8 reports of fatigue at each of visits 3, 4, and 5. The numbers of reports of decreased appetite were significantly greater for atomoxetine during day 2 of treatment and at visit 5 (endpoint). There were 16, 16, and 18 reports of decreased appetite among the atomoxetine-treated patients at visits 3, 4, and 5, respectively. Decreased appetite was sustained at a rate of 6 to 10% new cases per day during the first week of treatment, with an overall frequency of occurrence during the study of 17.6% (Table 3).

Relative to placebo, atomoxetine produced a statistically significant increase in supine pulse (mean ± SD: atomoxetine: 6.0 ± 13.1 beats per minute [bpm]; placebo: −0.8 ± 12.1 bpm; $P = .001$). As indicated in ECG data, atomoxetine produced a statistically significant heart rate increase (atomoxetine: 6.8 ± 13.2 bpm; placebo: 1.4 ± 13.2 bpm; $P = .009$) and a statistically significant PR interval decrease (atomoxetine: −2.6 ± 14.3 milliseconds; placebo: 1.9 ± 14.3 milliseconds; $P = .036$). There were no other significant differences in the ECG data. Compared with
TABLE 2. Efficacy Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Atomoxetine</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Endpoint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Endpoint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ADHD RS</strong> (atomoxetine: n = 126; placebo: n = 60)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score</td>
<td>42.1 (9.2)</td>
<td>25.3 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inattentive subscore</td>
<td>22.6 (3.9)</td>
<td>14.3 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperactive/impulsive subscore</td>
<td>19.5 (6.8)</td>
<td>11.0 (7.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DPREMB-R</strong> (atomoxetine: n = 113; placebo: n = 50)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score</td>
<td>17.1 (7.2)</td>
<td>9.4 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evening subscore (late afternoon/evening items)</td>
<td>11.9 (4.7)</td>
<td>6.5 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problems with homework/tasks</td>
<td>1.8 (0.8)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty sitting through dinner</td>
<td>1.4 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty playing quietly in PM</td>
<td>1.7 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty transitioning</td>
<td>1.9 (0.7)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arguing or struggling in PM</td>
<td>1.7 (0.8)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty settling at bedtime</td>
<td>1.7 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty falling asleep</td>
<td>1.2 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morning subscore (early morning items)</td>
<td>4.0 (2.1)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty getting out of bed</td>
<td>1.2 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty getting ready</td>
<td>1.5 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conners’ GIPE (atomoxetine: n = 127; placebo: n = 60)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score</td>
<td>20.1 (6.1)</td>
<td>13.3 (7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restless-impulsive subscale total</td>
<td>15.8 (4.2)</td>
<td>10.1 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional liability subscale total</td>
<td>4.3 (2.6)</td>
<td>3.2 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI-ADHD-S (atomoxetine: n = 126; placebo: n = 60)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morning subscore (early morning items)</td>
<td>5.0 (0.8)</td>
<td>3.5 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

pm, included behaviors that occurred in the late afternoon and evening hours; AM, included behaviors that occurred during the morning hours.

* P < .05, pairwise comparison with placebo.
† Patients were included in the DPREMB-R analyses if they recorded ≥4 nights in a 5-day period at baseline and ≥6 nights per week in 1 of the 4 weeks after baseline.

Patients receiving atomoxetine experienced modest mean decreases in weight (atomoxetine: −0.7 ± 1.4 kg; placebo: 0.7 ± 1.2 kg; P < .001). No statistically significant differences from placebo were observed for mean changes in standing systolic blood pressure (atomoxetine: 0.5 ± 9.5 mm Hg; placebo: 0.9 ± 6.8 mm Hg; P = .753), supine systolic blood pressure (atomoxetine: 1.4 ± 8.3 mm Hg; placebo: 1.0 ± 7.9 mm Hg; P = .892), standing diastolic blood pressure (atomoxetine: 2.6 ± 10.1 mm Hg; placebo: 1.0 ± 8.5 mm Hg; P = .309), supine diastolic blood pressure (atomoxetine: 3.4 ± 9.8 mm Hg; placebo: 1.3 ± 7.6; P = .155), standing pulse (atomoxetine: 5.6 ± 12.9 bpm; placebo: 1.7 ± 12.8 bpm; P = .072), or height (atomoxetine: 0.7 ± 1.1 cm; placebo: 1.0 ± 1.1 cm; P = .172).

The clinical laboratory results indicated that the change-from-baseline values for atomoxetine were statistically significantly different from placebo for alkaline phosphatase levels (atomoxetine: −0.4 ± 28.8 U/L; placebo: 18.2 ± 36.7 U/L; P < .001), inorganic phosphorous levels (atomoxetine: −0.04 ± 0.21

![Fig 2](http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/114/1/e1/5).

**Fig 2.** Mean change from baseline for the ADHD RS total score by week.

![Fig 3](http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/114/1/e1/7).

**Fig 3.** Mean change from baseline for the DPREMB-R total score by day during the first week of treatment.
TABLE 3. Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Reported by ≥5% of Patients in Either Treatment Group (Arranged in Decreasing Frequency of the Total Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Atomoxetine (n = 131)</th>
<th>Placebo (n = 63)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decreased appetite</td>
<td>23 (17.6)*</td>
<td>4 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>20 (15.3)</td>
<td>4 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>15 (11.5)</td>
<td>5 (7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somnolence</td>
<td>19 (14.5)*</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>9 (6.9)</td>
<td>9 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>13 (9.9)*</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspepsia</td>
<td>8 (6.1)</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>8 (6.1)</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>2 (1.5)</td>
<td>4 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All patients who received at least one dose of study medication were included.
* P < .05, comparison versus placebo.

mmol/L; placebo: 0.06 ± 0.22 mmol/L; P = .021), total protein levels (atomoxetine: 1.1 ± 3.8 g/L; placebo: −1.4 ± 3.9 g/L; P < .001), and albumin levels (atomoxetine: 0.8 ± 2.4 g/L; placebo: −0.9 ± 2.9 g/L; P < .001). In each case, the values for the placebo-treated subjects deviated from baseline to a greater extent than did the values of the atomoxetine-treated patients.

The rates of discontinuation as a result of adverse events were low and similar between treatment groups. Six atomoxetine-treated patients (4.5%) discontinued because of 4 adverse events (somnolence: 3; aggression: 1; fatigue: 1; syncope: 1), and 1 placebo-treated patient (1.6%) discontinued because of nausea. Eight atomoxetine-treated patients (6.0%) and 3 placebo-treated patients (4.7%) left the study because of protocol violations, mainly noncompliance with electronic diaries. In addition, 6 atomoxetine-treated patients (4.5%) and 2 placebo-treated patients (3.1%) left for reasons categorized as personal conflict, primarily specified as withdrawal of consent. The personal conflict category was typically used for situations in which the patient or parent refused to complete a study requirement (eg, the patient did not want to swallow capsules or the parent did not want to keep clinic appointments).

Overall, 107 of 133 patients randomized to atomoxetine (80.5%) and 47 of 64 patients randomized to placebo (73.4%) completed the study.

DISCUSSION

It was previously shown that atomoxetine is effective among children and adolescents with ADHD when administered once or twice daily and among adults when administered twice daily. Preliminary evidence for persistence of treatment effects into the evenings after once-daily administration of atomoxetine in the mornings also was presented. The results of the present study are consistent with those findings and extend them by demonstrating significant drug-specific effects persisting not only into the evening hours but also into the morning hours. This is also the first study to demonstrate significant efficacy of atomoxetine as soon as the first day of treatment.

The most striking finding of this study is the confirmatory evidence that once-daily dosing in the morning is associated with significant symptom reduction that persists into the evening and into the morning hours. This was assessed with a daily diary (DPREMB-R) completed by parents beginning 5 days before randomization and continuing for an additional ~4 weeks. Parents used an electronic entry system (PHT Esendant LogPad technology) to record the DPREMB-R in the evenings between 6 pm and 12 midnight and to transmit data daily to the PHT server through a modem. In a previous study, an individual-item analysis of the original DPREMB, collected daily for a 1-week period via telephone, with an interactive voice-response system, suggested a drug-specific benefit late in the day. In the present study, with DPREMB-R collected daily, the DPREMB-R total score and the evening and morning subscales showed statistically significant improvements from baseline to endpoint, demonstrating effectiveness in behavior control during both evening and morning hours. Comparisons of mean changes in the individual items of the DPREMB-R demonstrated significant atomoxetine-specific reductions for 5 of the 8 evening items and 2 of the 3 morning items. The results should be interpreted cautiously, because the instrument is new and its psychometric characteristics have not been studied. However, results obtained with the Conners’ GIPE, a validated scale assessing evening behaviors, also showed statistically significant improvements in the total score for evening behaviors from baseline to endpoint. A statistical correlation of .62 was found between the DPREMB-R evening items and the Conners’ GIPE items, supporting the DPREMB-R results and confirming the long-lasting effects of atomoxetine into the late evening hours. A more detailed examination of the psychometric properties of this scale will be the subject of another publication.

This is the third study reporting the superior efficacy of once-daily dosing of atomoxetine versus placebo in reducing the severity of symptoms among children with ADHD. Patients treated with atomoxetine demonstrated statistically significant reductions in the ADHD RS total score and inattentive and hyperactive/impulsive subscale scores at endpoint. Changes associated with atomoxetine were clinically significant; the mean t scores at the endpoint were −1.5 SDs above age and gender normative values for both groups.

Changes from baseline to endpoint are shown in Table 4. The most marked improvement was in the inattention subscale, with atomoxetine demonstrating statistically significant improvement after 1 week of treatment and additional improvement at all subsequent visits. Evidence for superior efficacy, compared with placebo, as soon as...
the first day of treatment with the more aggressive
dose titration schedule was shown in daily analyses
of the DPREM-B-R during the first week of treatment.

The relative efficacy of once- versus twice-daily
atomoxetine could not be definitively determined,
because this study did not include a twice-daily dos-
ing arm. However, preliminary comparisons could
be made because the primary outcome measure and
most study design elements in this study were the
same as those used in 3 previous placebo-controlled
studies that used twice-daily dosing and 2 that used
once-daily dosing. In addition, all of the investiga-
tors in this trial had participated in at least one of the
previous studies. The treatment effect size in this
study for the primary outcome measure was 0.7,
compared with 0.8, 0.7, and 0.6 in the studies of
twice-daily atomoxetine13,14 and 0.715 and 0.625 in the
studies of once-daily atomoxetine. Although defini-
tive conclusions require an adequately sized, direct
comparison, these data suggest that the magnitude
of symptom reduction with once-daily dosing is sim-
ilar to that with twice-daily dosing. These results are
also comparable to effect sizes reported for methyl-
phenidate with parent-rated measures,11,26 although
the comparison is limited by differences in study
designs, measures, investigators, and study popula-
tions.

Acute treatment with atomoxetine was well toler-
ated in this study population, despite the use of a
titration schedule that was shorter than those used in
previous atomoxetine clinical trials. This titration
schedule closely approximated the dosing recom-
endations in the Food and Drug Administration-
approved package insert. The majority of atomox-
etine-treated patients (80.5%) completed treatment,
and the rate of discontinuations as a result of adverse
events was low (4.5%). In the present study, the only
adverse events reported significantly more fre-
quently than with placebo were decreased appetite,
somnolence, and fatigue. As reported in previous
once-daily administration studies, these events (ex-
cluding decreased appetite and diarrhea) and the
other gastrointestinal events were self-limiting, peak-
ing at 1 to 3 days of treatment and decreasing there-
after.15,27 When data from the 3 once-daily atomox-
etine studies were pooled, a greater incidence of
events (primarily gastrointestinal events) was ob-
served, compared with placebo. However, similar
incidences of events were observed when once-daily
administration studies were compared with studies
with twice-daily atomoxetine administration.27 Con-
sistent with earlier studies, patients treated with ato-
moxetine exhibited modest but statistically signifi-
cant weight loss, compared with those treated with
placebo. Modest increases in diastolic blood pres-
sure and supine pulse are consistent with vital sign changes
reported in previous studies.13–15,27

Several factors limit the interpretation of the re-
results presented here. As noted, no comparisons with
other atomoxetine dosing paradigms or other drugs
were included. Also, the short duration of this study
limits the ability to make assumptions regarding the
long-term safety or efficacy of atomoxetine once
patients have achieved a satisfactory initial response.

However, data for patients who participated in ear-
ier studies and were treated with atomoxetine for
≥1 year suggest that there are no long-term safety
concerns.28 Specifically, reports of decreased appetite
and weight loss, which were reported statistically
significantly more often with atomoxetine than with
placebo in acute trials, continued to decline during
long-term treatment, as did reports of other adverse
events. Modest increases in blood pressure and heart
rate were observed initially but stabilized with long-
term treatment. After ≥1 year of treatment, atomox-
etine increased the mean heart rate 6.4 beats per
minute and increased the mean diastolic blood pres-
sure 2.8 mm Hg. Few comorbid psychiatric condi-
tions other than oppositional defiant disorder were
observed in the study population. This is consistent
with previous atomoxetine studies but different from
some studies in the literature.10,29 This difference is
likely related to the requirement that comorbid psy-
chiatric diagnoses be confirmed with the Kiddie
Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia
for School-Aged Children, which is highly specific
but also highly stringent.

The data suggesting that atomoxetine continued
to produce statistically significant efficacy in the morn-
ing hours merit additional clinical investigations.
Parents were instructed to administer the study med-
ication in the mornings. Although parents completed
the diary questions in the evenings, they were in-
structed to answer the morning questions on the
basis of the child’s behavior before medication was
administered. It is possible that the parents’ answers
were influenced by behavior observed after medica-
tion administration; however, this is unlikely to some
extent. Because peak plasma concentrations do not
occur until 2 to 3 hours after atomoxetine admin-
istration, it is unlikely that parents would administer
the study medication early enough to influence ques-
tions addressing difficulty getting out of bed and
difficulty getting ready in the morning.

Finally, although the demonstration of efficacy in
the morning and evening hours suggests symptom
control during school hours, this study did not in-
clude teacher ratings to measure the effects of atom-
oxetine on school behavior. The effectiveness of ato-
moxetine at school, however, was demonstrated in 2
atomoxetine trials reported elsewhere.15,16

**CONCLUSIONS**

Three placebo-controlled studies have shown
once-daily atomoxetine administration to be effica-
cious and well tolerated for the treatment of ADHD
among children, during the first week of treatment.
Patients often prefer once-daily dosing to more fre-
quent regimens because of its convenience, and the
data confirm that once-daily atomoxetine treatment
should be a practical option for many patients with
ADHD. The data also suggest a potential advantage
of atomoxetine, compared with stimulants, in that it
may provide all-day symptom relief for children that
lasts into the evenings and early mornings as soon as
the first day of treatment. The improvements dem-
onstrated in this study should help children with
ADHD continue to meet the demands of focused
organized efforts and have positive interactions with friends and family members into the evening and early morning hours.
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