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ABSTRACT. Background. Short-course antibiotic regimens, ranging in duration from a single dose to 3 days, are the current standard of care for the treatment of acute lower urinary tract infections (UTIs) in adult women. Despite multiple small randomized, controlled trials (RCTs) showing no difference in efficacy between short-course (≤3 days) and long-course (7–14 days) therapy in children, concerns about occult pyelonephritis and renal scarring have prompted standard recommendations of 7 to 14 days of antibiotics for UTIs in children.

Objective. To determine whether long-course antibiotic therapy is more effective than short-course therapy for the treatment of UTIs in children, and to explore potential sources of heterogeneity in the results of existing studies.

Methods. We searched online bibliographic databases (Medline and Cochrane Clinical Trials Registry) for RCTs comparing short- and long-course therapy for the treatment of UTI in children, and examined the references of all retrieved articles. Candidate studies for meta-analysis were restricted to RCTs comparing short-course (≤3 days) and long-course (7–14 days) outpatient therapy for acute UTI in children aged 0 to 18 years. We excluded studies that were restricted to children with recurrent UTI or included children with asymptomatic bacteriuria. Sixteen studies met the inclusion criteria. Study quality was evaluated using a 9-item scoring system developed by the investigators. Data on the primary outcomes—treatment failure and reinfection rate—were extracted when available and reanalyzed based on intention to treat whenever possible. To determine whether anatomic level of infection (upper vs lower urinary tract) influenced the results, the meta-analysis was repeated on the subgroup of studies that attempted to restrict their participants to children with lower UTI. To determine whether there was a dose-response effect for the duration of short-course therapy, we performed separate subgroup analyses of studies of single-dose or single-day therapy and studies of 3-day therapy. To explore other potential sources of study result heterogeneity, such as study quality and patient age, we developed a random-effects regression model that included these variables as covariates.

Results. The pooled estimate for the relative risk (RR) of treatment failure with short-course antibiotic therapy was 1.94 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.19–3.15) and for the RR of reinfection was 0.76 (95% CI: 0.39–1.47). When we excluded the 3 studies that did not attempt to restrict their participants to patients with lower UTI, the pooled RR of treatment failure was 1.74 (95% CI: 1.05–2.88) and of reinfection was 0.69 (95% CI: 0.32–1.52). For the subgroup of studies comparing single-dose or 1-day therapy to long-course therapy, the pooled RR of treatment failure was 2.73 (95% CI: 1.38–5.40) and of reinfection was 0.37 (95% CI: 0.12–1.18). For the subgroup of studies comparing 3-day therapy to long-course therapy, the pooled RR of treatment failure was 1.36 (95% CI: 0.68–2.72) and of reinfection was 0.99 (95% CI: 0.46–2.13). In the meta-regression, neither study quality nor mean participant age was significantly associated with the odds ratio of treatment failure or reinfection, in either the complete set of studies or the subset of studies restricted to patients with lower UTI.

Conclusions. In pooled analyses of published studies comparing long- and short-course antibiotic treatment of UTI in children, long-course therapy was associated with fewer treatment failures without a concomitant increase in reinfections, even when studies including patients with evidence of pyelonephritis were excluded from the analysis. Until there are more accurate methods for distinguishing upper from lower UTI in children, no additional comparative trials are warranted and clinicians should continue to treat children with UTI for 7 to 14 days. Pediatrics 2002;109(5). URL: http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/109/5/e70; urinary tract infection, child, meta-analysis, antibiotic, treatment failure.

ABBREVIATIONS. UTI, urinary tract infection; RCT, randomized, controlled trial; RR, relative risk; CI, confidence interval.

Short-course antibiotic regimens, ranging in duration from a single dose to 3 days, are the current standard of care for the treatment of uncomplicated lower urinary tract infections (UTIs) in women.1 In addition to being effective, shorter treatment regimens are less expensive, improve adherence, have fewer side effects, and may prevent reinfections with resistant organisms.2,3 Despite the proven efficacy and advantages of short-course antibiotic treatment of lower UTI in adults, pediatricians have hesitated to extrapolate this practice to children for several reasons. By the time UTIs are identified in preverbal children, there is often upper tract involvement that cannot be reliably and easily distinguished from lower tract disease by clinical signs and symptoms or laboratory tests.1–6 Compared with adults, young children are also more likely to have anatomic abnormalities and/or vesicoureteral reflux predisposing them to pyelonephritis. If pyelonephritis requires longer
shorter course antibiotic treatment may not be appropriate for children.

These concerns are reflected in the American Academy of Pediatrics 1999 practice parameter on the management of children with UTIs, which recommended that infants and young children 2 months to 2 years of age receive a 7- to 14-day antimicrobial course. However, randomized, controlled trials (RCTs) over the last 25 years have not provided definitive evidence supporting this practice. In fact, most of these studies showed no statistically significant difference in efficacy between short- and long-course therapy, although most were limited by small sample size.

Using meta-analysis, we sought to: 1) increase our power to identify differences in efficacy between short- and long-course therapy and thus reduce the possibility of concluding that there is no difference when in fact there is a difference (type 2 error); 2) derive a more precise estimate of the comparative efficacy of short and long-course therapy; and 3) explore potential sources of heterogeneity of results in existing studies, such as inclusion of patients with upper UTI, duration of short-course therapy, participant age, and study quality.

METHODS

Identification of Trials

In April 2001, we used the National Library of Medicine’s PubMed search engine to search Medline for all English-language, published studies comparing short- and long-course therapy for the treatment of acute UTI in children. We indexed the MeSH terms “urinary tract infection” and “antibiotics” and limited the search to RCTs, children age 0 to 18 years, and humans. This search returned 159 articles, the titles and abstracts of which we reviewed to RCTs, children age 0 to 18 years, and humans. This search returned 159 articles, the titles and abstracts of which we reviewed for inclusion in the meta-analysis. We scanned the references of all retrieved articles as well as a recent practice guideline on the management of UTIs in children and searched the Cochrane Library Web site for systematic reviews on treatment of UTI. We also contacted experts in the field to identify other published or unpublished trials. Overall, our literature search returned 53 articles evaluating different durations of therapy for the treatment of pediatric UTIs.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

We restricted candidate articles for our meta-analysis to RCTs comparing short- (<3 days) and long- (7-14 days) course outpatient therapy of acute UTI in children age 0 to 18 years. Although we limited our search strategy to pediatric patients, many of the studies identified by the search were of adult participants. After excluding these studies, as well as studies of inpatient therapy, 21 RCTs remained. 

After detailed review of the 21 trials, we excluded studies that were restricted to children with recurrent UTI or included children with asymptomatic bacteriuria, and studies with short-course therapy duration longer than 3 days.

This left us with 17 RCTs all together.

Data Extraction

Using a standardized data extraction form, we independently extracted the following information from each of the RCTs: year of publication, sample size, setting, mean participant age, definition of UTI, attempt to distinguish lower from upper UTI by signs and symptoms (fever, vomiting, flank pain, and costo-vertebral angle tenderness) and/or laboratory tests (elevated white blood cell count, blood urea nitrogen, creatinine, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, and C-reactive protein), UTI defined by symptoms and bacteriologic findings, 4) distinction made between persistent infection, relapse (recurrence with a new organism) and reinfection (recurrence with a different organism), 5) placebo-control for the short-course arm, 6) blinding, 7) method of participant allocation to short and long-course groups, 8) intention to treat analysis, and 9) equal surveillance and duration of follow-up for treatment and control groups.

Statistical Analysis

Reanalyzing the data with intention to treat whenever possible, we calculated the RR of treatment failure and reinfection with 95% CI for each individual study comparing short- and long-course therapy. We used the Q test to test for heterogeneity of study results. To obtain the pooled RRs with 95% CIs for treatment failure and reinfection, we used the random effects model of DerSimonian and Laird. To determine whether the anatomic level (upper vs lower) of UTI affects the relative efficacy of short- and long-course therapy, we calculated pooled RRs for the subset of studies that attempted to restrict their participants to children with lower UTI. To determine whether there is a dose-response effect for the duration of short-course therapy, we calculated separate pooled RRs for the subset of studies that compared single-dose or single-day therapy with long-course therapy, and the subset of studies that compared 3-day therapy with long-course therapy.

To explore other potential sources of study result heterogeneity, such as study quality and the mean age of the sample, we developed a random-effects regression model that included these variables as covariates. In the meta-regression, the dependent variable was the odds ratio for the outcome of interest.
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(1-day therapy with long-course therapy, the pooled RR of treatment failure was 2.73 (95% CI: 1.38–5.40) and of reinfection was 0.37 (95% CI: 0.12–1.18). For the 5 studies comparing 3-day therapy with long-course therapy, the pooled RR of treatment failure was 1.36 (95% CI: 0.68–2.72) and of reinfection was 0.99 (95% CI: 0.46–2.13).

None of the studies included in the meta-analysis satisfied all of our quality criteria. In fact, only 1 study was placebo-controlled and double-blinded, and only 3 analyzed their results with intention to treat. However, in the meta-regression neither study quality nor mean participant age was significantly associated with the odds ratio of treatment failure or reinfection, in either the complete set of studies or the subset of studies restricted to patients with lower UTI.

A funnel plot of all the included studies suggested that publication bias was not present. Both the Begg’s and Egger’s tests for publication bias were not significant ($P = .54$ and $P = .22$, respectively), which corroborates this result.

**DISCUSSION**

In all but 2 of the previous RCTs of short- versus long-course therapy for UTI in children, there was no statistically significant difference in treatment failure rates. Most authors interpreted this failure to achieve statistical significance as evidence that short-course

**RESULTS**

Table 1 lists the studies included in the meta-analysis (in order of ascending sample size) as well as study characteristics such as sample size, duration and choice of antibiotic in each treatment arm, and the recalculated RRs of treatment failure and reinfection. The pooled estimate for the RR of treatment failure with short-course antibiotic therapy was 1.94 (95% CI: 1.19–3.15; Fig 1) and for the RR of reinfection was 0.76 (95% CI: 0.39–1.47; Fig 2). When we excluded the 3 studies that did not attempt to restrict their participants to patients with lower UTI, the pooled RR of treatment failure was 1.74 (95% CI: 1.05–2.88) and the pooled RR of reinfection was 0.69 (95% CI: 0.32–1.52). When we restricted the meta-analysis to the 11 studies comparing single-dose or

**TABLE 1.** RCTs Comparing Short- and Long-Course Antibiotic Therapy for UTI in Children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Sample Size*</th>
<th>Duration of Short Course</th>
<th>Duration of Long Course</th>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>Treatment Failure RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Reinfection RR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bailey and Abbott</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Single dose</td>
<td>7 d</td>
<td>TMP-SMX</td>
<td>1.33 (0.17–10.25)†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khan et al</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3 d</td>
<td>10 d</td>
<td>Ampicillin, sulfisoxazole, or cephalaxin</td>
<td>0.20 (0.01–3.61)†</td>
<td>4.00 (0.56–28.40)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stahl et al</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Single dose</td>
<td>10 d</td>
<td>Amoxicillin</td>
<td>1.20 (0.34–4.28)†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine and Jacobson</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Single dose</td>
<td>10 d</td>
<td>Amoxicillin</td>
<td>2.34 (0.53–10.30)†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shapiro and Wald</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Single dose</td>
<td>10 d</td>
<td>Amoxicillin†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repetto and MacLoughlin</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Single dose</td>
<td>10 d</td>
<td>Cefotaxime (short); TMP-SMX, nalidixic acid, nitrofurantoin, cephalaxin, gentamicin (long)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.02–48.09)†</td>
<td>2.00 (0.20–20.33)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaudreault et al</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3 d</td>
<td>10 d</td>
<td>TMP and sulfamamide</td>
<td>2.50 (0.11–58.06)†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitt et al</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Single dose</td>
<td>7 d</td>
<td>cephalaxin (short); nitrofurantoin (long)</td>
<td>2.53 (0.25–25.81)†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helin et al</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>3 d</td>
<td>10 d</td>
<td>Gentamicin (short); TMP-SMX, amoxicillin, cephalosporin (long)</td>
<td>2.80 (0.65–12.02)16.0 (0.02–1.26)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.02–1.26)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grimwood et al</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Single dose</td>
<td>7 d</td>
<td>Amoxicillin</td>
<td>4.69 (1.13–19.51)0.52 (0.15–1.85)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avner et al</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Single dose</td>
<td>10 d</td>
<td>Nitrofurantoin macrocrystals</td>
<td>1.28 (0.23–7.00)†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lohr et al</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3 d</td>
<td>10 d</td>
<td>Amikacin IM (short); sulfisoxazole (long)</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallen et al</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Single dose</td>
<td>10 d</td>
<td>Cefadroxil†</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCracken et al</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1 d</td>
<td>10 d</td>
<td>TMP or co-trimoxazole</td>
<td>10.45 (1.40–78.31)†</td>
<td>†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nolan et al</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Single dose</td>
<td>7 d</td>
<td>TMP/SMX†</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>1.39 (0.28–7.01)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madrigal et al</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>Single dose</td>
<td>7 d</td>
<td>Sulfamethazole or pivmecillinam (short); sulfamethazole (long)</td>
<td>1.50 (0.68–3.32)0.61 (0.30–1.25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TMP-SMX indicates trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; IM, intramuscular.

* Sample size based on data extracted for meta-analysis and may differ from number reported in original study.
† Results reported in original study did not permit abstraction of data for redefined outcomes in meta-analysis.
‡ Study did not attempt to exclude children with upper UTI.
therapy was at least as effective as long-course therapy. An alternative explanation, however, as noted by Shapiro in a 1982 review, is that there was a difference in efficacy but that these studies, because of small sample size, simply did not have the power to detect this difference. This explanation becomes more plausible when one looks at the best estimates of RR for each of the studies: All but 2 of them estimated the RR of treatment failure with short-course therapy as greater than 1.

Meta-analysis is perfectly suited to deal with such situations where there is an obvious trend in study results but each individual study lacks the power to show a statistically significant relationship. When we pooled the results of these nonsignificant studies, we gained sufficient power to show that short-course therapy was indeed associated with a statistically significant 94% increase in treatment failures compared with long-course therapy. Although there was a trend toward increased reinfections with long-course therapy, this result was not statistically significant.

When we pooled the results of the subgroup of studies that attempted to restrict their participants to patients with lower UTI, there was still a 74% increased risk of treatment failure with short-course therapy. The increased risk of treatment failure with short-course therapy in the larger group of studies may be explained, as previously discussed, by the presence of upper tract disease in children at the time of presentation with UTI. The residual increased risk of treatment failure with short-course therapy in the subset of studies that attempted to restrict participants to those with lower UTI suggests that either their methods for distinguishing upper from lower UTI were not accurate, or that cystitis in children also requires long-course treatment. The former explanation is entirely plausible, as the techniques used to distinguish upper from lower tract disease, such as the previously discussed signs and symptoms and/or laboratory tests, suffered from poor discriminatory power. However, the latter explanation cannot be ignored.

Subgroup analysis of studies comparing single dose and single day therapy with long-course therapy suggests that the RR of treatment failure is highest with single dose and single day therapy (RR = 2.73; 95% CI: 1.38–5.40). The pooled RR of treatment failure in the subgroup analysis of studies comparing 3-day and long-course therapy was lower but did not achieve statistical significance (RR = 1.36; 95% CI: 0.68–2.72). This may have been attributable to small sample size, as only 5 studies had data available for the subgroup analysis. However, it may also reflect a dose-response effect, with increasingly “longer” short-course therapy decreasing the probability of treatment failure. The limited number of studies and variation in duration of “short-course” therapy precluded identification of a duration of treatment threshold, above which longer duration of treatment does not improve outcomes.

In deciding which studies to include in the meta-analysis, we chose to use broad inclusion criteria, thus reducing the potential for inclusion bias. Although we did not reject any studies that met our initial entry criteria, we did not use data from studies when the reported results did not conform with the outcomes we defined a priori. Including data from studies that, for example, counted recurrent infections >1 month after treatment as treatment failures would have contradicted our a priori assumptions about treatment failure and reinfection. It is also important to note that our analysis included studies that excluded patients with resistant organisms. Because resistance is often relative and can be overcome with larger doses or longer courses of antibiotics, inclusion of such studies may bias the results toward the apparent effectiveness of short-course therapy. Thus, the risk of treatment failure with short-course therapy may actually be greater than that estimated in our meta-analysis.

Although there was some variation in study de-
sign and quality, we did not exclude any studies for quality concerns alone. Determining the impact of study quality on meta-analysis results is important, but there is considerable controversy on how to do so.\textsuperscript{36-38} We chose to use a cumulative quality score incorporating components of methodology common to all RCTs as well as items specific to trials of antibiotic therapy for UTI. The fact that the study quality score was not associated with outcomes in the meta-regression can be interpreted in 1 of 3 ways: There is no association of outcome with any of the components in the study quality score; there are associations with 1 or more components, but these components have so little weight that the effects are lost in the summary score; or associations with 2 or more components cancel out so that no association is found with the overall score.\textsuperscript{37}

We had also hypothesized that the variation in mean participant age would explain some of the heterogeneity of study results. However, this was not borne out in our meta-regression. Our ability to discern a relationship between participant age and RR of treatment failure with short-course therapy may have been limited by the narrow range of mean participant age among the studies. With the exception of Fine’s study of adolescent girls, the mean or median participant ages ranged from 4.2 to 8 years. We maintain our original hypothesis that older children, especially sexually active adolescent females with uncomplicated lower UTI, are likely to resemble their adult peers in their response to short-course antibiotics. However, in the absence of analyses stratified by age or studies with mean participant ages over a wider range of values, it is difficult to prove this hypothesis using meta-analysis.

This meta-analysis provides an empirical basis for the current widespread and recommended practice of treating pediatric UTIs with antibiotics for 7 to 14 days. Given our clinically and statistically significant results, we feel confident that no additional RCTs are needed at this time to address the question of whether short-course antibiotic therapy is sufficient for the treatment of UTI in children. It is possible that in the future there will be a reliable and accurate bedside method for distinguishing upper from lower UTIs. For instance, recent preliminary studies suggest that measurement of serum procalcitonin may have better discriminatory ability than previous methods.\textsuperscript{39} If and when more accurate tests are available to distinguish upper from lower UTI, another RCT to evaluate the effectiveness of short-course therapy for lower UTI may be warranted. To avoid the methodologic limitations of previous studies that we reviewed, we propose the following suggestions for the design and reporting of any future RCTs:

1. Participants should be randomly allocated using a random number table or random number generator and allocation sequences should be concealed from investigators and participants.
2. Any exclusions based on a priori criteria should occur before randomization, and an intention to treat analysis should be used in cases of loss to follow-up.
3. To avoid observation bias, both participants and investigators should be blinded to the treatment allocation assignment.
4. To blind both investigators and participants to the allocation assignment (short- vs long-course therapy), children randomized to short-course therapy should receive placebo doses to match the duration of the long-course regimen, after completing their short course of antibiotics.
5. Short and long-course treatment protocols should be appropriate for local antibiotic resistance patterns, but easily generalizable. Investigators may consider testing “longer” short-course antibiotic regimens (between 3 and 7 days duration) to identify a duration of therapy threshold for successful treatment of UTI.

http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/109/5/e70

Fig 2. RR of reinfection with short-course antibiotic therapy. Pooled RR = 0.76 (95% CI: 0.39–1.47).
6. Outcome measures and follow-up plans should be clearly defined. Urine cultures should be obtained 2 days after cessation of therapy to demonstrate eradication of the organism, and children should be followed for 1 month for any recurrence of symptoms. All recurrent infections should be typed to distinguish relapse from reinfection. Recurrences should be dated and reported in terms of days from cessation of therapy.

7. Results should be stratified and reported by age, sex, and suspected level of infection to detect effect modification.
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