ABSTRACT. Objective. To compare the additive effect of a helium–oxygen mixture (Heliox) or racemic epinephrine (RE) on croup scores (CSs) in children with moderate to severe croup treated with humidified oxygen and steroids.

Setting. A prospective, randomized, double-blind trial.

Participants. Randomly assigned, consecutive children ages 6 months to 3 years presenting with moderate to severe croup (CS: ≥5).

Interventions. After cool humidified oxygen and 0.6 mg/kg of intramuscular dexamethasone, patients were randomized to receive either Heliox or RE. Vital signs, oxygen saturation, and CSs were recorded at regular intervals.

Outcome/Analysis. Reductions in CSs were compared using repeated-measures analysis of variance.

Results. Thirty-three patients were enrolled. Three were excluded because of protocol violations, and 1 was excluded because of lack of documentation, leaving 29 patients for final analysis. The average age was 24.2 months, 20 were male (68.8%). Both Heliox and RE were associated with improvement in CSs over time. There were no significant differences in mean CS, oxygen saturation, respiratory rate, or heart rate between groups at baseline or at the end of the treatment period.

Conclusion. In patients with moderate to severe croup, the administration of Heliox resulted in similar improvements in CS compared with patients given RE. Pediatrics 2001;107(6). URL: http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/107/6/e96; helium–oxygen mixture, croup.

ABBREVIATIONS. RE, racemic epinephrine; CS, croup score; ED, emergency department.
TABLE 1. Modified Taussig CS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Color</td>
<td>Norm</td>
<td>Dusky</td>
<td>Cyanotic in RA</td>
<td>Cyanotic on 30% oxygen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air entry</td>
<td>Norm</td>
<td>Mildly decreased</td>
<td>Moderately decreased</td>
<td>Substantially decreased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retractions</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consciousness</td>
<td>Norm</td>
<td>Restless</td>
<td>Lethargy (depressed)</td>
<td>Obtunded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stridor</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Severe or absent in the presence of severe obstruction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


RESULTS

Between September 1, 1997, and March 1, 1998, 58 patients met inclusion criteria. The parents of 14 patients declined participation. Of the remaining participants, 11 patients were not prospectively identified, and 4 patients were later excluded because of protocol violations (2 patients unable to tolerate face mask, 1 patient with reactive airway disease and pneumonia, and 1 patient with incomplete data). Two other patients who were excluded required rescue doses of RE (1 in the Heliox group and 1 in the RE group). No patients required intubation. Comparison of these individuals with the 29 patients successfully studied demonstrated no apparent enrollment bias (Table 2). In the Heliox arm, 11 patients were treated using a facemask apparatus and 3 via tent house. No differences were noted between groups when considering change in CSs, vital signs, or oxygen saturation. Four patients in the RE arm and 3 in the Heliox arm received a second dose of RE and saline placebo, respectively; outcomes for both groups were similar.

Among the patients included in the randomization, there were no significant differences at baseline between patients treated with Heliox and those treated with RE (Table 2). Initial CSs ranged from 5 to 9. Two children with an initial CS of 5 were classified as severe based on a stridor score of 3.

TABLE 2. Baseline Characteristics of Enrolled Versus Nonenrolled Patients and Heliox- Versus Re-treated Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Enrolled (n = 29)</th>
<th>Nonenrolled *(n = 15)</th>
<th>Heliox (n = 14)</th>
<th>RE (n = 15)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (mo)</td>
<td>24 ± 6</td>
<td>23 ± 7</td>
<td>24.4 ± 9</td>
<td>24.0 ± 6.7</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender</td>
<td>68.8%</td>
<td>76.8%</td>
<td>64.3%</td>
<td>73.3%</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial severity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>6.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>6.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>6.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (min⁻¹)</td>
<td>162 ± 13</td>
<td>154 ± 24</td>
<td>159 ± 15</td>
<td>164 ± 11</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory rate (min⁻¹)</td>
<td>44 ± 9</td>
<td>40 ± 7</td>
<td>44 ± 10</td>
<td>45 ± 8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial oxygen saturation (%)</td>
<td>95 ± 5</td>
<td>96 ± 3</td>
<td>95 ± 5</td>
<td>96 ± 2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS indicates not significant. Results reported as mean ± standard deviation. Ninety-five percent confidence intervals calculated with independent samples t test or χ² distribution where appropriate. * Nonenrolled patients were reported as the 11 patients not prospectively identified plus the 4 patients excluded from the study (n = 15).
Improvements in all measured parameters were seen in both treatment arms over time ($P < .01$ in each case). Although significant differences in CSs were noted between Heliox-treated and RE-treated participants at all time intervals after 90 minutes ($P < .05$), the overall results using repeat-measures analysis of variance revealed no significant differences in CSs at the end of the observation period ($P = .13$; Fig 1). In addition, there were no statistically significant differences between treatment groups in other measured severity parameters (heart rate, $P = .29$; respiratory rate, $P = .94$; arterial oxygen saturation, $P = .28$).

DISCUSSION

We believe that this is the first report of a double-blind, randomized study comparing the effects of RE and Heliox in children with acute viral croup. We have shown that compared with RE, Heliox results in a similar reduction in CS in pediatric ED patients with moderate to severe croup. Our results are consistent with other studies evaluating the clinical efficacy of Heliox in the treatment of acute croup. In 1979, Duncan$^3$ treated 7 patients refractory to RE with a 70% helium–oxygen mixture and noted a significant decrease in their CSs. Duncan concluded that Heliox was efficacious for the treatment of croup and that intubation was avoided in each of the 7 patients. In 1982, Nelson and McClellan$^4$ reproduced these results in 14 children with croup not responding to RE, concluding that Heliox was useful as adjunctive therapy. Unfortunately, both studies were small, nonblinded, and uncontrolled. In addition, Duncan enrolled patients with other underlying medical problems (teratoma, tetralogy of Fallot, pneumonia), making the cause of airway obstruction less clear. Finally, the need for intubation was not defined or intended as an outcome measure in either study. Therefore, the suggestion that the administration of Heliox obviated the need for intubation in these patients is based only on the opinion of the author.

In a recent prospective, double-blind study comparing Heliox with humidified oxygen in children with acute viral croup, Terregino et al$^8$ found no statistically significant difference in CSs between the 2 groups. However, in this study, patients ill enough to require RE were excluded. Because most cases of mild croup will respond to humidified oxygen alone, this study population was less likely to benefit from Heliox.

Several study limitations merit discussion. Because of the relatively small numbers of participants, the study was not powered to detect small differences between groups. Although we did not include a control arm of patients who received only cool mist and steroids, all enrolled children were sufficiently ill to require aggressive treatment. Thus, it was most appropriate that all patients received either RE or Heliox. This study was sufficiently large to detect differential improvements in the CS over time. However, because a control arm was not studied, we cannot exclude the possibility that the incremental improvement noted in the Heliox arm after 90 minutes was not attributable, at least in part, to cool mist and oxygen. Furthermore, the relevance of this improvement is unknown, because outcomes such as admission rates and hospital length of stay were not specifically measured.

CSs are a helpful research tool used to standardize disease severity at an arbitrary point, and a decreasing score provides an objective measure of clinical improvement. However, CSs are poor predictors of respiratory failure and none have been universally accepted as the gold standard. We chose the Modified Taussig CS because it weighs patient color, anxiety, retractions, stridor, and level of consciousness equally, yet classifies disease as severe if a maximal score is present in any 1 category (Table 1). This is particularly important when grading stridor and re-

![Fig 1. Comparison of change in croup score over time (RE vs Heliox)](http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/107/6/e96)
traction, because both have been fluroscopically shown to inversely correlate with the diameter of the trachea. 

Twenty-five patients who were eligible for the study were not enrolled, and it is possible that this group may have responded differently to treatment. However, initial CSs of study patients were similar to those children who were not enrolled because of parental refusal.

Although helium–oxygen mixtures are considered safe, hypoxia has been previously reported with use in preterm infants with a history of bronchopulmonary dysplasia and subglottic stenosis. In our study, 3 patients with an initial oxygen saturation of ≤90% received Heliox. All 3 responded promptly with normal oximetry, and none experienced any serious sequelae. Oxygen saturation did not differ between the study groups, and no patients required exclusion because of sustained hypoxia. Finally, it is possible that observer bias influenced the reporting of CSs. However, previously mentioned measures to ensure blinding were adhered to meticulously.

**CONCLUSION**

Our data suggest that the administration of Heliox results in a similar reduction in CSs compared with RE in children with moderate to severe croup. Larger studies examining the utility and cost-effectiveness of Heliox seem warranted. Future studies should consider the usefulness of Heliox alone or as an adjunct to RE, when evaluating outcomes, such as hospital admission rates and length of stay.
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