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It has been estimated that 890,000 children in the United States have blood lead levels (BLLs) of 10 μg/dL, currently defined as a level of concern by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The proportion of US children aged 1 to 5 years with BLLs ≥10 μg/dL has decreased from 88.2% during the period from 1976 through 1980, to 4.4% during the period from 1991 through 1994.

Although several risk factors have been identified, lead-based paint is currently the most important source of lead exposure for children in the United States. As screening, education, and abatement programs result in decreased numbers of persons with lead poisoning, health care providers must remain alert for new or unusual sources of potential exposure in children.

CASE REPORTS

Case 1

A 2-year-old child was noted to have a BLL of 43 μg/dL on screening during a routine well-child examination. A physical examination was normal and the history indicated no obvious risk factors for lead poisoning. The health department thoroughly investigated all settings frequented by the child, and identified no potential sources of lead exposure to which the child had access. The child’s mother, however, suspected the cause was a necklace that had been given to him approximately 10 weeks earlier. He had worn it on 3 days, and was noted to have the beads in his mouth frequently when wearing the necklace. The necklace had 5 metallic cubes stamped with letters on a string. It had been imported from China and had been purchased at a large chain department store. The necklace was removed from the child, and approximately 3 weeks later the child’s BLL had dropped to 24 μg/dL without specific treatment. A bead from the child’s necklace was tested and found to have 200 mg/kg of lead. For comparison, US Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) regulations require paint to contain ≤200 mg/kg of lead. Subsequently, several other imported necklaces were tested, and found to have levels of lead as high as 62,000 mg/kg. The CPSC was notified.

DISCUSSION

Over the past 2 decades, mean BLLs in children in the United States have dropped substantially. Concurrently, evidence of the adverse health effects of low-level lead exposure has accumulated and the BLL considered a matter of concern by the CDC has been lowered to 10 μg/dL. At present, the principal sources of lead exposure for children in the United States are paint dust, soil, and water. Although these well recognized sources of lead exposure are being eliminated, new or more obscure sources may continue to provide risk and should be suspected in cases of lead poisoning.

Although children often put necklaces in their mouths, oral contact with children’s jewelry has not, to our knowledge, previously been implicated as a source of lead intoxication. Subsequent to this case, public health authorities in Utah identified a 9-year-old child with a BLL of 18 μg/dL and no identified source of lead exposure other than frequent mouthing of a similar imported necklace (personal communication, Ronald Marsden, Utah Department of Health, December 10, 1998). In 1994 the United States imported $569 million worth of costume jewelry, with China, South Korea, and Taiwan being the leading suppliers. In this case, the CPSC did not take regulatory action because the necklace in question had not been intended for use by children in an age group likely to mouth jewelry. The CPSC did request manufacturers to voluntarily remove unnecessary lead from such products. It is important that physicians report previously unsuspected sources of lead poisoning to their local health departments so that such information can be forwarded to the CPSC and other agencies as appropriate.

Surma has been used in Asia for medical and cosmetic purposes for centuries. The name derives from an Urdu word for antimony, although this major constituent has recently been replaced with...
The most common sources of lead exposure include lead-containing paint, soil/dust, leaded gasoline, plumbing leachate (water), occupational exposure, and hobbies using lead-containing materials.

Table 1 lists some unusual sources of lead toxicity.

The 2 cases reported here were discovered as a result of routine screening. As practitioners move away from universal screening and toward more selective testing, it will become increasingly important to take thorough histories from patients regarding potential risk factors and signs and symptoms of plumbism, to identify persons who would benefit from testing.

These episodes serve as examples of the importance of thorough investigation of any cases of lead poisoning. Investigation should be directed toward identifying a likely source of exposure, to which a patient had sufficient access to explain the intoxication. One study documented that after detailed investigation, the source of exposure was not identified in 18% of infants and 9% of toddlers with lead intoxication. In such cases, special attention should be given to the possibility of unusual or previously unrecognized sources of lead exposure, including inquiry about exposure to imported lead-containing products. All potential sources of exposure should be identified and removed, with close follow-up to ensure that a patient is responding appropriately to interventions. If a patient does not respond as expected to removal of an identified source of lead exposure, other potentially less obvious sources must be expeditiously investigated.
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