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ABSTRACT. Objective. In 1982, Tolarova found a reduction in the recurrence rate of isolated cleft lip (CL) with or without cleft palate (CP; CL ± CP) after periconceptional supplementation with a multivitamin including a very high dose (10 mg) of folic acid. The Hungarian randomized, double-blind, controlled trial of periconceptional supplementation with a multivitamin including a physiologic dose (.8 mg) of folic acid did not show any preventive effect on the first occurrence of isolated CL ± CP and CP. However, the general evaluation of congenital abnormalities in the Hungarian Case–Control Surveillance of Congenital Abnormalities indicated, among others, a reduction of isolated CL ± CP and CP after the use of high doses of folic acid in the critical period for the development of these congenital abnormalities in the 12-year dataset between 1980 and 1991. We hypothesized that the prevention of orofacial clefts by folic acid has a dose-dependent effect, and this hypothesis was tested in 2 recent Hungarian datasets.

Design. In a prospective cohort study, the occurrence of isolated CL ± CP and CP was studied in the newborn infants born to mothers with or without periconceptional folic acid-containing (.8 mg) multivitamin supplementation. Supplemented women with confirmed pregnancy were recruited from the participants of the periconceptional service. Unsupplemented women were invited to take part in the study after the first visit between the 8th and 12th week of gestation in the antenatal care. Supplemented and unsupplemented women were matched based on age, socioeconomic status, and residence. In contrast, the occurrence of high-dose (in general daily 6 mg) folic acid supplementation was evaluated in the case–control pairs of CL ± CP and CP, particularly during the critical period of these 2 types of orofacial clefts in the 17 years dataset of the Case–Control Surveillance of Congenital Abnormalities, between 1980 and 1996. Cases were selected from the population-based Hungarian Congenital Abnormality Registry, whereas population controls without congenital abnormality were ascertained from the national birth registry. Two population controls were matched to every case according to age, week of birth, and district of parental residence. The drug uses, including pregnancy supplements as folic acid, were evaluated based on retrospective self-reported maternal questionnaire and prospective medically documented data of antenatal care logbook.

Results. In the prospective cohort study, of 3019 informative offspring (termination of pregnancies in the second and third trimesters because of fetal defect, still-born fetuses, and liveborn infants) in the supplemented group, 3 had CL ± CP and 1 was affected with CP, whereas of 3432 informative offspring in the unsupplemented group, 2 had CL ± CP and 1 had CP. The lack of preventive effect was in agreement with the result of the previous Hungarian randomized double-blind controlled trial; thus, these 2 datasets were combined. The preventive effect of a folic acid-containing multivitamin used in the periconceptional period for the first occurrence of isolated CL ± CP and CP was estimated by the Mantel-Haenszel test. Of 5488 supplemented women, 6 had CL ± CP, and of 5821 unsupplemented women, 4 had CL ± CP. Of 5489 supplemented women, 1 had CP, and of 5823 unsupplemented pregnant women, 3 had CP. The Hungarian Case–Control Surveillance of Congenital Abnormalities, 1980–1996, included 38 151 population controls (1.8% of the Hungarian births) and 22 865 cases with congenital abnormalities. Within the latter group, 1368 had isolated CL ± CP, and 596 had CP. A significantly more frequent use of high-dose folic acid (in general daily 6 mg) supplementation was found in controls than in cases of 1246 case–control pairs of CL ± CP group and of 537 case–control pairs of CP group, respectively.

However, the protective effect for these 2 types of orofacial clefts was seen only after the use of folic acid during the critical period of primary and secondary palate development, ie, during the first and second months of gestation (12.4% in controls vs 9.1% in cases) in the group of CL ± CP and during the first 4 months of pregnancy (39.0% in controls and 32.2% in cases) in the group of CP. There was no difference in the occurrence of folic acid supplementation after the critical period of orofacial clefts between cases and controls.

Conclusions. Folate-folic acid deficiency may have a role in the origin of isolated CL ± CP and CP, and it can be neutralized by the supplementation of folic acid during the critical period of these 2 kinds of orofacial clefts. However, periconceptional daily supplementation with multivitamins including physiologic doses (<1 mg) of folic acid or folic acid alone (and probably folic acid fortified flour/bread) can not reduce the birth prevalence of isolated CL ± CP and CP. Only the high pharmacological doses (eg, 6 mg per day) of folic acid alone in the critical period of the primary and the secondary palate development seem to be effective for the reduction of orofacial clefts. Thus, our hypothesis concerning the dose-dependent effect of folic acid in the prevention of isolated CL ± CP and CP was confirmed, therefore a high dose (eg, 6 mg) of folic acid should be recommended for the reduction of recurrent orofacial clefts during early pregnancy under medical control. The question is the benefit and risk of this kind of primary prevention for the first occurrence of isolated CL ± CP and CP, which have a birth prevalence of 1.5 per 1000 because the upper tolerable level of folic acid is 1 mg for the preventive program because of the possible rare side effects, eg, in women with...
pernicious anemia. It is worth waiting for the specific prevention until the identification of women with high risk for orofacial clefts based on mutant genes. Pediatrics 1999;104(6). URL: http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/104/6/66; orofacial cleft, cleft lip with or without palate, cleft palate, periconceptional multivitamin/folic acid supplementation, dose-dependent preventive effect of folic acid.

ABBREVIATIONS. CL, cleft lip; CP, cleft palate; CL ± CP, CL with or without CP; RCT, randomized double-blind controlled trial of periconceptional multivitamin supplementation; HCCSCA, Hungarian Case-Control Surveillance of Congenital Abnormalities; PCS, prospective cohort study of periconceptional multivitamin supplementation; OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

Two major forms of isolated orofacial clefting are known. Cleft lip (CL) with or without cleft palate (CP; CL ± CP) has a birth prevalence of ~1/1000, whereas ~4 case with (posterior) CP occurs among 1000 births. It is worth separating these entities from the so-called multiple or syndromic orofacial clefts of heterogeneous origin. A reduction in the recurrence rate of isolated CL ± CP was found after periconceptional daily supplementation with a multivitamin, including an extremely high dose of folic acid (10 mg), in a nonrandomized study by Tolarova in 1982. Two previous Hungarian studies resulted in controversial findings. The randomized double-blind controlled trial of periconceptional multivitamin supplementation (RCT) did not show a reduction in the first occurrence of isolated orofacial clefts. The multivitamin used contains 8 mg folic acid, ie, a physiologic dose. However, the possible association between folic acid supplementation and reduction of congenital abnormalities was evaluated in the dataset of the Hungarian Case-Control Surveillance of Congenital Abnormalities (HCCSCA), 1980–1991, and, among others, a significant reduction was found in the first occurrence of orofacial clefts after a high-dose (3–9 mg) folic acid supplementation in the early postconceptual period.

Thus, we hypothesized that the prevention of orofacial clefts by folic acid has a dose-dependent effect, and this hypothesis was tested in 2 recent independent Hungarian datasets.

### METHODS

**Prospective Cohort Study (PCS)**

The relationship between the use of periconceptional multivitamin supplementation and the incidence of congenital abnormalities, particularly isolated orofacial clefts, was investigated by following 2 cohorts in the study, 1 of them was exposed (ie, supplemented) and the other was unexposed (ie, unsupplemented).

**Recruitment of Two Cohorts in the PCS**

Women with confirmed pregnancy who were supplemented by a folic acid-containing multivitamin periconceptionally were recruited from the participants of the periconceptional service between May 1, 1993 and April 30, 1996. The multivitamin product Elevit Pronatal (composition: vitamin A 4000 IU; B1 1.6 mg; B2 1.8 mg; nicotinamide 19.0 mg; B6 2.6 mg; B12 4.0 μg; C 100.0 mg; D 500 IU; E: 15.0 mg; calcium-pantothenate 10.0 mg; biotin .2 mg; folic acid .8 mg; calcium 125 mg; phosphorous, 125 mg; magnesium 100 mg; iron 60 mg; copper 1.0 mg; manganese 1.0 mg; and zinc 7.5 mg) was used in the PCS. A single tablet was taken daily starting ≥1 month before the planned conception and finishing at the end of the first trimester of pregnancy or at the early end of pregnancy (eg, miscarriage). Women were asked to record the intake of each daily tablet on the form for the basal body temperature measurement and to leave unused tablets in the boxes to be returned at the next visit. If they forgot to take a tablet on a given day, it was possible to take 2 tablets the next day. After the omission of tablets on 2 successive days, it was not recommended to take 3 tablets on the third day. The periconceptional service consisted of 4 or more visits. At visit 1, criteria of participation (no infertility or pregnancy and voluntary enrollment) were checked and eligible couples were informed about the purpose of periconceptional service including multivitamin supplementation. If women agreed to participate, they were asked to sign an informed consent form, and, among others, they were supplied with multivitamin tablets.

In addition, a personal card including birth year, socioeconomic status based on the completed number of school grades (<8, 8–9, 9–11, 12, 12–15, 16–17) and employment status (9 categories), and the region of residence of recruited pregnant women was completed. At visit II, after the 3-month preparation for conception, women were supplied with multivitamins for the next 3 months and they were asked to achieve conception. If women did not conceive within 3 months, multivitamins for the next 3 months were supplied a month at a time. The purpose of visit III was the confirmation of pregnancy at the fifth to eighth week of gestation calculated from the last menstrual period, and multivitamins were supplied until the 12th week of gestation. Visit IV was a farewell at the 12th week of gestation because after this pregnant women were referred to the regional antenatal care and they were asked to deliver personally or to mail their completed pregnancy certificate to the periconceptional service after the ending of pregnancy. The compliance of periconceptional multivitamin supplementation was evaluated: 1) by questioning, 2) by checking the form for basal body temperature measurement until the time of conception, and 3) by counting unused tablets in boxes returned at the second, third, and fourth visits. For the classification of fully and partially supplemented groups, the method of Smithells et al was followed. However, if women were pregnant at the start of multivi-

### Table 1: Distribution of Informative Offspring and Isolated CL ± CP and CP in Supplemented and Unsupplemented Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Informative Offspring</th>
<th>Supplemented Group (n = 3415)</th>
<th>Unsupplemented Group (n = 3415)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elective termination of pregnancy</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stillbirth</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livebirth</td>
<td>2988*</td>
<td>99.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3019</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL ± CP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Including 61 twin pairs.
† Including 51 twin pairs.
‡ This control infant was affected with Robin-sequence.
for potential confounders were calculated using a conditional logistic regression model with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for statistical analysis.

Endpoints of the PCS

The endpoints of the PCS were congenital abnormalities in informative offspring, ie, 1) malformed fetuses diagnosed attributable to antenatal examinations in the second trimester usually followed by termination of pregnancy, 2) stillborn fetuses, and 3) liveborn infants. In Hungary, all deliveries and terminations of pregnancy occur in inpatient obstetric clinics and the birth attendant is obstetricians. In most independent obstetric clinics, pediatricians also examine neonates. Autopsy was obligatory in infant deaths and usually was performed in stillborn fetuses. The pregnancy certificates of supplemented and unsupplemented women were completed by mothers after the end of pregnancy and were countersigned by their physicians. The certificate included the date of pregnancy outcome, the type of births (singleton or multiple), sex, birth weight, gestational age, and particularly any developmental defects.

The evaluation of congenital abnormalities was based on 2 approaches. If congenital abnormalities were diagnosed in the fetus or newborn infants, an attempt was made to collect all available medical documents (ultrasound films, discharge summaries, autopsy report, etc). Infants with particular or questionably affecting defects were referred to our department for a detailed medical examination. In contrast, an attempt was made to examine all infants without reported congenital abnormalities by the pediatrician of the PCS (L.T) until the 12 months of life. The pediatrician was not informed whether infants examined belonged to the supplemented or unsupplemented cohorts. If families did not take part in this examination of infants, the invitation was repeated. If there was no response, we contacted the regional or family pediatricians and obtained case histories with particular reference to congenital abnormalities. Congenital abnormalities were evaluated blindly and classified by 1 of us (A.E.C.), eg, isolated and multiple (syndromic) cases of CL and CP were differentially diagnosed.

Considerable efforts were made to evaluate pregnancy outcomes in all supplemented and unsupplemented participants. If the completed pregnancy certificate was not sent back within 1 month of the expected date of delivery, participants were contacted by telephone or mail and asked to send the completed certificate and/or discharge summary. If there was no response, the study coordinators visited these families at home. A complete failure of contact was considered to be a dropout from the PCS.

Statistical Evaluation of Data

At the evaluation of informative offspring with orofacial cleft, adjusted odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for potential confounders were calculated using a conditional logistic regression model by the STATA statistical software package (STATA Corporation, College Station, TX). The combined results of the RCT and PCS were evaluated by Mantel-Haenszel test.

The Dataset of the HCCSCA

The methods and materials of the Hungarian Congenital Abnormality Registry have been described previously.12 Cases with isolated (except 3 mild defects as congenital dislocation of the hip based on Ortolani click, congenital inguinal hernia, and hemangiomas) and multiple congenital abnormalities reported to the Registry in the first 3 months after birth or termination of pregnancy were selected for the HCCSCA, 1980–1996. Population controls without congenital abnormalities were ascertained from the national birth registry of the Central Statistical Office and 2 controls without congenital abnormalities were matched to every case, according to sex, birth week, and district of parental residence.13

Data concerning supplementation of vitamins including folic acid were obtained from 3 sources. First, a postpaid questionnaire with an explanatory letter and a list of drugs and diseases were mailed immediately after the selection of cases and controls to their parents to obtain exposure data.13 The questionnaire requested information on, among others, drugs taken, pregnancy complications, and maternal diseases during pregnancy according to gestational weeks. To standardize the answers, mothers were asked to read the enclosed lists of drugs including pregnancy supplements such as folic acid and diseases before they replied. The mean time of return of completed questionnaire was 1.6 and 3.5 months after birth in the case and population control groups, respectively. Second, mothers were requested to send us the antenatal care logbook and all their medical documents concerning their diseases during pregnancy and the child’s congenital abnormalities. Third, regional nurses were asked to visit and to question nonrespondent families in the group of cases. Thus, information was available on 79% (69% from reply, 10% from visit) of cases. The response rate for population controls was 64%, but district nurses did not visit nonrespondent control families because the ethical committee considered this follow-up to be disturbing to the parents of these healthy children.

We evaluated folic acid intake according to: 1) the source of information; 2) type of treatment: folic acid alone and folic acid plus other drugs (multivitamins including 1–1.0 mg folic acid were excluded attributable to the too small number of pregnant women in these 2 forms of orofacial clefts); 3) dose: only 1 kind of folic acid tablet (3 mg) was available during the study period and only folic acid was prescribed daily 1 to 3, in general 2 tablets, ie, 6 mg for pregnant women; 4) duration of treatment, after the start of folic acid use, it was generally continued until the end, but at least until the fourth month of pregnancy; 5) gestational time which was calculated from the first day of last menstrual period and 3 time intervals were considered: first month of pregnancy as a continuation of preconceptional treatment, the critical period for the primary palate development, ie, 49 to 64 gestational (35–50 postconceptional) days in CL and CP and for the secondary palate development, ie, 70 to 98 gestational (56–84 postconceptional) days in CP,14 gestational months after the critical period of orofacial clefts; and 5) potential confounding factors, such as maternal age, birth order, acute and chronic maternal disorders, and other drug uses.

Statistical Methods

The STATA statistical software package (STATA Corporation)10 was used. The source of information was compared using the χ2 test. Confounding factors were evaluated by Student’s t tests and the χ2 test. Folic acid supplementation during the critical period of CL and CP in case–control pairs was analyzed by conditional logistic regression model for confounding factors. At least 1 of the matched controls was available in 91% of cases with CL and CP and in 90% of cases with CP.

RESULTS

The total number of supplemented pregnant women was 3571 in the PCS. However, 132 women were excluded because they were already pregnant at the start of multivitamin supplementation, thus, the total number of supplemented women was 3439.
The proportion of fully and partially supplemented subgroups was 95% and 5%. Pregnancy outcomes could not be clarified in 24 (0.7%) supplemented and 64 (1.9%) unsupplemented women attributable to changed or untraceable addresses, moving abroad, or noncooperation. However, the final dataset included 3415 pregnant women both in supplemented and unsupplemented groups because the unsupplemented group was complemented with 40 second reserve matched pregnant women to replace the higher dropout rate.

Matched variables were similar in the 2 study groups (mean age in years: 27.6 ± 4.0 in supplemented and 27.8 ± 4.2 in unsupplemented pregnant women, proportion of different school grades: ≤8 = 3%, 9–12 = 48%, and ≥13 = 49%; distribution by employment status: professional = 48%, managerial = 26%, skilled worker = 18%, semiskilled/unskilled worker = 2%, and others = 6%). The birth order was lower in the supplemented group (1.2 ± .6) because of the larger proportion of women planning first pregnancies compared with the unsupplemented group (1.4 ± .7) \((t = 12.7; P < .001)\). However, the rate of fetal deaths (27.3% in supplemented and 13.9% in unsupplemented pregnant women) and infant deaths (5.6% in supplemented and 9.9% in unsupplemented groups), in addition to the occurrence of congenital abnormalities (6.1% in supplemented and .9% in unsupplemented informative offspring) in previous pregnancies were much higher in supplemented than in unsupplemented women. Of 153 malformed offspring in the previous pregnancies of supplemented women, 2 had CL + CP and 1 was affected with CP, whereas of 21 previous malformed offspring in the unsupplemented group, 1 had CL + CP. The consumption of citrus fruit and dark green vegetables in unsupplemented women corresponded to the diet of participants in the periconceptional care.15

The distribution of informative offspring is shown in Table 1. The lower number of liveborn infants in the supplemented group was explained by the higher number of first trimester pregnancy terminations (11 vs 0), ectopic pregnancies (17 vs 0) and miscarriages (429 vs 34) attributable to the earlier recruitment of women. (Twin births increased the number of informative offspring; therefore, the latter exceeded the number of pregnant women in the unsupplemented group.) Sex, birth weight, and gestational age of liveborn infants did not show significant differences between the 2 cohort groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>The First Occurrence of Isolated CL ± CP and CP in the Previous Hungarian RCT and the PCS of Periconceptional Folic Acid-containing (.8 mg) Multivitamin Supplementation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Study</strong></td>
<td><strong>Isolated Orofacial Clefts</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>CL ± CP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCS</td>
<td>3017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Together</td>
<td>5488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>2471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCS</td>
<td>3018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Together</td>
<td>5489</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N indicates number of informative offspring evaluated.
vs 1.70 ± .9) was higher in the case group (t = 7.85; P < .001). In general, the occurrence of pregnancy complications, maternal disorders, and other drug uses were similar between case and control pregnant women. However, common cold, influenza, acetylsalicylic acid, and aminophylline use were more frequent in cases with CL ± CP, whereas edema in CP cases compared with that of matched controls.

As Table 3 shows that pregnant women rarely used (12.5–14.3%) folic acid alone. Therefore, folic acid alone and with other drugs were evaluated together, because most other drugs including pregnancy supplements (other vitamins, iron, and calcium derivatives) have similar use in cases and controls. The mothers of newborn infants with CL ± CP had a significantly lower use of folic acid (50.0%) than did the mothers of matched population controls (54.8%). It was the case in the group of CP (47.3%) as well compared with their matched controls (54.2%). The proportion of medically documented folic acid supplementation was similar in the combined control (65.6%) and case (64.2%) groups (χ² = 5.1; P = .25).

It is worth evaluating the start of folic acid supplementation according to the gestational months (Table 3). Folic acid was used only in a small proportion of women in the first month of gestation, which corresponded to the preconceptional supplementation. The increase of folic acid use in the second month of gestation may reflect the early visits to the antenatal care or voluntary folic acid supplementation after the recognition of pregnancy. The maximum rate was seen in the third month of gestation, at the usual time of the first visit to the antenatal care. The later start of folic acid supplementation can be explained by a postponed visit in the antenatal care or a delay in folic acid use. The major point is the use of folic acid at the development of primary and secondary palate. Thus, the cumulative number of pregnant women with high-dose folic acid supplementation was calculated during the critical period of CL ± CP and of CP. However, the critical period of the primary palate development (CL ± CP) includes the last week of the second and the first week of the third gestational months, whereas the secondary palate development (ie, CP forming period) overlaps the last 2 weeks of the third and first 2 weeks of the fourth gestational months.14 This explains why there were 2 estimates of the protective effect of folic acid. At the evaluation of the primary palate development, there was a significantly higher rate of supplementation with a high dose (in general 6 mg/day) of folic acid during the first and second months of gestation in the control group (12.4% vs 9.1% in the case group), which may indicate a protective effect for CL ± CP. This was the case at the evaluation of folic acid supplementation in the first 4 months of gestation (39.0% in controls and 32.2% in cases) in the group of CP. There was no difference in the occurrence of folic acid supplementation after the critical period of these 2 kinds of orofacial clefts between cases and controls, respectively.

### DISCUSSION

Two different Hungarian datasets are presented and compared in this paper. There were 3415 evaluated pregnant women both in the supplemented and unsupplemented cohorts during the 3 years of the study period in the PCS. The birth order was higher in the unsupplemented group, because primiparous females preferred participation in the periconceptional service and periconceptional multivitamin supplementation is part of the periconceptional care. However, the higher number of women with previous unsuccessful pregnancy outcomes was a more obvious trend in the supplemented group because of the expected higher medical standard of the periconceptional care. Thus, the higher potential recurrence risk for congenital abnormalities including orofacial clefts has to be considered at the comparison of the supplemented and unsupplemented groups.

The major finding of the PCS confirmed that

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gestational Month</th>
<th>CL ± CP (n = 1246)</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>CP (n = 537)</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The start of folic acid supplementation was unknown is 1 control.
periconceptional supplementation with multivitamins including .8 mg of folic acid does not reduce the incidence of orofacial clefts. In the previous Hungarian RCT,3,4 the un-supplemented group had 5 children with an orofacial cleft (1 was a recurrent CL + CP), giving a statistical power which could detect incidence differences only on the order of 50%. Thus, it is worth combining the 2 datasets of the RCT and PCS to obtain more accurate estimates for the effect of periconceptional multivitamin supplementation for the reduction of the first occurrence of isolated orofacial clefts (Table 2). The data of about 5500 supplemented and 5800 unsupplemented pregnant women do not indicate a protective effect of multivitamins including physiologic dose (<1 mg) of folic acid for isolated orofacial clefts.

One observational study using a multivitamin including 4.4–8 mg of folic acid in the periconceptional period showed a reduction in the occurrence of CL ± CP,16 whereas another case-control observational study did not indicate a reduction either in CL ± CP or in CP after the intake of the usual folic acid-containing multivitamins or folic acid alone.17

The strength and weakness of the HCCSCA have been discussed previously.13 However, we note that this is the largest case-control dataset of its type in the world and population-based sampling makes risk-benefit assessment possible. A significant reduction was found in the rate of isolated CL ± CP and CP attributable to the pharmacological doses (in general, 6 mg daily) of folic acid supplementation during pregnancy. This protective effect was found only after the use of folic acid before and during the critical period of orofacial clefts, but not after the development of primary and secondary palate. This finding is in agreement with the previously mentioned results of the study of Tolarova.4 In addition, Nelson et al.18 were able to induce CL in >90% of the rat offspring subjected to a transitory folic acid deficiency attributable to an antimetabolite during the critical period of this congenital abnormality.

In conclusion, folic acid deficiency may have a role in the origin of orofacial clefts and it can be neutralized by the supplementation of folic acid during the critical period of the primary and secondary palate because their developmental disturbances cause CL ± CP and CP. However, the protective effect of folic acid seems to be dose-dependent because only the high-dose folic acid supplementation was found effective on the reduction of isolated orofacial clefts.

Three points need additional discussion. First, the origin of neural tube defects and oral clefts may have some similarities (neural crest cells, schisis-midline defects, etc).19,20 However, there are also differences, for example, studies showed an association between homozgyosity for a variant form of the C677T genotype in the methylene-tetrahydrofolate reductase gene and risk for neural tube defects.21 However, the study of Shaw et al22 did not indicate increased risk for CL ± CP among infants homozygous for the C677T genotype, nor do they indicate an interaction between infant C677T genotype and maternal multivitamin use on the occurrence of CL ± CP. Thus, a multivitamin including a physiologic dose of folic acid is appropriate23 and flour–bread fortification with folic acid24 may be effective for the reduction of neural tube defects but not for orofacial clefts. A similar prevention of orofacial clefts requires a high dose of folic acid. The second point is whether the reduction of isolated orofacial clefts is caused by the folic acid supplementation (ie, causal effect) or may be connected with other indirect effects (eg, lifestyle). A causal effect can be supposed if the folic acid deficiency or the preventive effect of high-dose folic acid exists only during the critical period of orofacial clefts. However, if the protective effect of high folic acid use can be observed independent of the critical period of orofacial clefts, it may indicate indirect effects. Our analysis showed a protective effect of high-dose folic acid supplementation only during the critical period of orofacial clefts. The third point is related to practical use of our knowledge. A higher dose (~6 mg) of folic acid should be recommended for the reduction of recurrent orofacial clefts during early postconceptional period under medical control. The question is whether it is worth recommending the use of a high-dose folic acid supplementation after the early diagnosis of pregnancy in all women to reduce the first occurrence of isolated CL ± CP and CP, which together have a birth prevalence of about 1.5/1000. The pharmacological dose of folic acid, however, may have some side effects, eg, in women with pernicious anaemia. The optimal solution would be a specific prevention only in women at high genetic risk for orofacial clefts based on the identification of mutant genes.25–27
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