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ABSTRACT. Objectives. To establish whether epidemiologic characteristics for sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) have changed since the decrease in death rate after the “Back to Sleep” campaign in 1991, and to compare these characteristics with sudden and unexpected deaths in infancy (SUDI) from explained causes.

Design. Three-year, population-based, case-control study. Parental interviews were conducted soon after the death and for 4 controls matched for age and date of interview. All sudden unexpected deaths were included in the study and the cause of death was established by a multidisciplinary panel of the relevant health care professionals taking into account past medical and social history of the mother and infant, the circumstances of death, and a full pediatric postmortem examination. Contributory factors and the final classification of death were made using the Avon clinicopathologic system.

Setting. Five regions in England, with a total population of >17 million people, took part in the study. The number of live births within these regions during the particular time each region was involved in the study was 473,000.

Study Participants. Three hundred twenty-five SIDS infants (91.3% of those available), 72 explained SUDI infants (86.7% of those available), and 1858 matched control infants (100% of total for cases included).

Results. Many of the epidemiologic features that characterize SIDS infants and families have remained the same, despite the recent decrease in SIDS incidence in the United Kingdom. These include the same characteristic age distribution, few deaths in the first few weeks of life or after 6 months, with a peak between 2 and 16 weeks, a higher incidence in males, lower birth weight, shorter gestation, and more neonatal problems at delivery. As in previous studies there was a strong correlation with young maternal age and higher parity and the risk increased for infants of single mothers and for multiple births. A small but significant proportion of index mothers had also experienced a previous stillbirth or infant death. The majority of the SIDS deaths (83%) occurred during the night sleep and there was no particular day of the week on which a significantly higher proportion of deaths occurred. Major epidemiologic features to change since the decrease in SIDS rate include a reduction in the previous high winter peaks of death and a shift of SIDS families to the more deprived social grouping. Just more than one quarter of the SIDS deaths (27%) occurred in the winter months (December through February) in the 3 years of this study. In half of the SIDS families (49%), the lone parent or both parents were unemployed compared with less than a fifth of control families (18%). This difference was not explained by an excess of single mothers in the index group. Many of the significant factors relating to the SIDS infants were found in the families that distinguish them from the normal population did not distinguish between SIDS and explained SUDI. In the univariate analysis many of the epidemiologic characteristics significant among the SIDS group were also identified and in the same direction among the infants dying as SUDI attributable to known causes. The explained deaths were similarly characterized by the same infant, maternal, and social factors, 48% of these families received no waged income. Using logistic regression to make a direct comparison between the two index groups there were only three significant differences between the two groups of deaths: 1) a different age distribution, the age distribution of the explained deaths peaked in the first 2 months and was more uniform thereafter; 2) more congenital anomalies were noted at birth (odds ratio [OR] = 3.14; 95% confidence intervals [CI]: 1.52–6.51) among the explained deaths (20%) compared with the SIDS (8%), which was not surprising given that 10% of these deaths were explained by congenital anomalies; and 3) a higher incidence of maternal smoking during pregnancy among the SIDS mothers, the proportion of smokers within the explained SUDI group was much higher (49%) than the controls (27%), but among SIDS mothers the proportion of smokers was higher still (66%) and this difference was significant (66% vs 49%; OR = 2.03; 95% CI: 1.16–3.54).

Conclusions. The study identifies changes in the epidemiologic characteristics of SIDS that have followed the “Back to Sleep” campaign, and confirms that many underlying factors are similar between infants who die as SIDS and those dying suddenly of explained causes.
Many studies investigating SIDS have reported numerous epidemiologic characteristics and risk factors strongly associated with SIDS when compared with live control infants. It has been generally assumed that these factors are specific to SIDS to the extent that the syndrome has been described as an “epidemiologic entity.” Many of the factors associated with SIDS that were significantly different from the control population were not significantly different when compared with the explained deaths. This suggests that SUDI share some of the same underlying factors irrespective of the clinical or pathologic findings, and challenges a rigid concept of SIDS as an epidemiologic entity. The particular finding that the incidence of maternal smoking during pregnancy, although high among mothers of explained SIDS infants, was significantly higher among SIDS mothers, lends weight to the mounting evidence that the association between smoking and SIDS may be part of a causal mechanism. *Pediatrics* 1999;104(4). URL: http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/104/4/e43; SIDS, SUDI, epidemiology, smoking, social deprivation.

**METHODS**

The study was conducted in five former National Health Service regions of England as part of the National Confidential Enquiry into Stillbirths and Deaths in Infancy, funded by the Department of Health. Health regions were chosen rather than other geographical boundaries because of the availability of national statistics for births and deaths broken down specifically within these areas. A detailed case-control study and confidential inquiry of all sudden unexpected perinatal deaths was conducted during a 3-year period from 1993 to 1996. The study aimed to include all sudden unexpected deaths of infants aged 7 to 364 days in two National Health Service regions in the United Kingdom (South-West, Yorkshire) from February 1993, and a third region (Trent) from September 1993. For a third year (April 1995–March 1996) Northern and Wessex regions were also included. The population for all five regions was 17.7 million and the number of live births within these regions during the particular time each region was involved in the study was 473,000. Infants were ascertained through a communication network of professionals and lay organizations who reported all sudden unexpected deaths within 24 hours. Data were collected on a standard questionnaire by research interviewers, consistency of approach being maintained by regular training meetings. The interviewers visited each bereaved family twice. On the first occasion, usually within 5 days of the death and after obtaining informed consent, they took a standardized semistructured history, including a narrative account of events leading up to and surrounding the infant's final sleep or death. On the second visit, a few days later and usually within 2 weeks of the death, they completed the full questionnaire.

Details of the methodology have been previously reported. They include a total of >600 fields, including demographic and social data; the medical history of the infant and other family members; use of cigarettes, alcohol, and drugs; the precise sleeping arrangements for the infant; full details of the events preceding and the circumstances surrounding the death. Information was collected with regard to both the family's usual practices by day and by night, the last 24 hours of the infant’s life, and to the period when the infant died.

For those deaths for which, within the first few days after the death, harm by a parent or caregiver was suspected as the cause of death by the police or health care professionals, no interview was conducted with the parents. Where such suspicions were raised at a later stage, after the interview had been conducted, the data collected were included in the study, but no further information was collected from such parents. For all cases, including those for which parental consent to interview was refused, and those for which nonaccidental injury was suspected, information was collected from public records (birth and death certificates, court records). Because of the wide range of causes of infant deaths in this study some questionnaire items were not always relevant (eg, questions about the sleeping arrangements for the last sleep were not applicable for infants who died in road traffic accidents). The exclusion of inappropriate items was left to the discretion of the research interviewer.

Four controls for each case were selected. The health visitor (community surveillance nurse—see definition at the end of the article) for the infant who died was asked to identify the 2 infants on her list next older and the 2 infants next younger, within 2 weeks of the age of the index infant. In the few instances in which the family identified was not available or declined to be interviewed, the health visitor thought it was appropriate, for example because of recent bereavement, then the family with the next closest infant in age was substituted. Such control families were only excluded with the agreement of the regional scientific coordinator for the project (P.J.F., I.S., or M.W.P). If a
health visitor did not have 4 suitable infants on her own list she
drew from the list of her nearest colleague. The interviewer visited
each control family within a week of the death to collect the same
data as for the index case. A period of sleep (the reference sleep)
was identified in the control infant’s life in the 24 hours before the
interview corresponding to the time of day during which the
index infant had died, particular importance being given to the
information derived from the parents’ view of whether it had been a
night or a daytime sleep. Data were collected for this period equivalent to those
collected for the index infant. Because the controls were taken
from the caseload listing of the index health visitor, control infants
were partially matched by locality. Using the index health visitor
had both the advantage of immediate access to control families
and increased compliance especially among those families more
socially deprived but the possible disadvantage of partial match-
ing by socioeconomic status. Before undertaking the analysis a
comparison of maternal occupation was therefore conducted us-
ing by socioeconomic status. Before undertaking the analysis a
classification of the cause of death was classified, using the Avon clinicopathologic
committee, using the Avon clinicopathologic system;27 to identify the cause of death and potentially significant
contributory factors, as described below.

An overall classification of the death was then defined by the
highest category obtained in any of the areas of assessment (eg, if
gross pathology and histopathology showed evidence of adrenal
hemorrhage, and microbiology showed a growth of meningococ-
cus from blood cultures, the death would be classified as III:
meningococcal septicemia).

Deaths that were unexpected by history and for which no
sufficient cause was found (categories I and II) were attributed to
SIDS. Deaths in group III were sudden and unexpected but fully
explained (explained SUDI).

### Statistical Methodology

Odds ratios (OR), 95% confidence intervals (CI), and P values
were calculated taking into account the matching using the statist-
ical package SAS. The same package was used to conduct con-
trol analysis for the multivariate analysis to compare each index
group with matched controls. Models were
classified into one of three broad categories: I) no significant
findings, II) findings that may have contributed to ill-health and
to possibility to death, and III) findings that provided a full explana-
tion of the death.

An overall classification of the death was then defined by the
highest category obtained in any of the areas of assessment (eg, if
gross pathology and histopathology showed evidence of adrenal
hemorrhage, and microbiology showed a growth of meningococ-
cus from blood cultures, the death would be classified as III:
meningococcal septicemia).

### RESULTS

#### Ascertainment

During the 3-year study period a total of 456 SUDI
were identified in the study regions. By a compari-
on with officially collected statistics on infant deaths
(Office for National Statistics) we have subsequently
identified a total of 8 infant deaths in the study
regions that met our entry criteria but were not in-
cluded, mostly because the infants died outside the
regions. Overall, we identified 98.3% of SUDI that
occurred in the study regions during this period.
More than three quarters (n = 363) of these deaths
were attributed to SIDS, the remaining 93 deaths
were classified as explained SUDI.

The number of live births within the study regions
during the period of the study was 473,000. This
gives an incidence of SIDS of 0.77 out of 1000 live
births, and an incidence of 0.20 out of 1000 live births
for explained SUDI.

The classification of the SIDS deaths and the
causes of the 93 explained deaths are shown in Table
1. Of the total 363 SIDS deaths identified in the study
regions, 38 were excluded from the analysis: 4 be-
cause of police involvement (suspected nonacciden-
tal injury was not subsequently confirmed, but ex-
cluded because of the study design); 7 because the
families could not be traced, having moved from the
area; 24 because the parents refused an interview;
and 3 because the families did not live in the study

### TABLE 1. Cause of Death Classification Using the Avon System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Avon Classification of Cause of Death</th>
<th>Cause of Death</th>
<th>Number Identified</th>
<th>Number Interviewed (+4 Controls)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avon classification I</td>
<td>SIDS</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avon classification II</td>
<td>SIDS</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avon classification I/II (not specified)</td>
<td>SIDS</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avon classification III (explained deaths)</td>
<td>Total SIDS</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accident</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nonaccidental injury</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Congenital anomaly</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metabolic disorder</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other*</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total explained SUDI</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total SUDI</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>397</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SIDS, sudden infant death syndrome; SUDI, sudden and unexpected deaths in infancy.

* Aspiration of gastric contents, bowel obstruction, bronchopulmonary dysplasia, cardiomyopathy, cranioleidodysostosis, intussuscep-
tion, and malrotation volvulus.
regions (and were therefore excluded by the study design). Of the 356 infants thus potentially included according to the study design, interviews were conducted for 325 (91.3%).

Of the 21 explained SUDI families who were not interviewed, 10 were subject to police investigation (and thus excluded according to the study design), 6 families declined an interview, 2 could not be contacted, 1 was considered unsuitable because of the mother’s ill health, and for 2 cases we failed to find replacement controls within the required time limit after refusal by the original families. Of the total of 83 families potentially included according to the study design, interviews were conducted with 72 (86.7%).

Controls were sought for 448 of the total of 449 deaths included according to the study design. Of the 1792 controls required, a total of 134 (7.5%) were excluded; 44 families refused to take part in the study (2.5%), 49 families could not be contacted after at least two attempts (2.7%), and 41 (2.3%) were deemed unsuitable (most commonly because of recent bereavement or psychiatric illness of a parent) by the family’s health visitor after discussion with the regional scientific supervisor (see above). For each of these exclusions a replacement was immediately found. Thus, 92.5% of control families approached were used in the study.

The median time to the first interview of the bereaved families was 4 days (interquartile range: 2–10 days; full range: 0–23 days) and 7 days (interquartile range: 5–10 days; full range: 3–94 days) for the second interview. The median time from death of the index infant to control interviews was 11 days (interquartile range: 9–12 days; full range: 4–15 days). The age of the control infant was taken as the age at reference sleep in the 24 hours before interview. Because of the time lag to arrange 4 control interviews the control infants were 10 days older than the index infants. This difference was taken into account in any subsequent analyses.

Figure 1 compares the maternal occupation of the control mothers in the study with 1991 census data from the South-West health region of mothers with at least one dependent infant. The breakdown is identical except for the division of nonmanual and manual occupations in the third stratum, a similar proportion of control mothers (38.5%) and mothers from the census (40.2%) fall into this stratum overall.

This study deals with the 72 explained SUDI deaths and the 325 SIDS deaths for whom interview data were available from the index family and the full set of four matched controls.

Age Distribution
The age distributions of SIDS infants and explained SUDI deaths are shown in Fig 2. These distributions significantly differed ($P < .0001$). The pattern among the SIDS infants in this study was typical of the age distribution from previous studies before the decrease in SIDS rates. Few deaths occurred in the first month (12%), there was a peak between 28 and 112 days (median = 91 days, interquartile range: 55–150 days) and fewer deaths after 196 days (14%). The average age of the explained SUDI infants was higher (median = 127 days, interquartile range: 40–224 days) with a higher proportion of deaths in the first month of life (18%) and after 196 days (35%).

Seasonal Occurrence
The seasonal incidence of the SIDS and explained SUDI deaths are shown in Fig 3. Observations for the first year have been excluded from one region that started data collection part-way through the year. For both groups, between 15% and 30% of deaths occurred in each season. Among SIDS infants the greatest incidence was in the spring (29%), the lowest incidence in the autumn (21%). Among the explained SUDI infants the greatest incidence was in the autumn (30%) and the lowest in the summer months (16%). For neither group was the monthly

Fig 1. Comparison of maternal occupational classification.

Fig 2. Age distributions of sudden infant death syndrome and explained sudden and unexpected deaths in infancy infants.

Fig 3. Seasonal occurrence of sudden infant death syndrome and explained sudden and unexpected deaths in infancy infants.
TABLE 2. Epidemiologic Univariate Findings of SIDS and Explained SUDI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Non-Ref Group</th>
<th>SIDS</th>
<th>Explained SUDI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Index</td>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>OR (95% Confidence Interval)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight centiles*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10th centile</td>
<td>50/323</td>
<td>99/1286</td>
<td>2.27 [1.50–3.45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resuscitation at delivery</td>
<td>25/324</td>
<td>24/1281</td>
<td>4.11 [2.13–7.92]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>205/325</td>
<td>672/1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital anomaly</td>
<td>Any anomaly</td>
<td>25/323</td>
<td>66/1290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age</td>
<td>25 to 21 y</td>
<td>114/325</td>
<td>362/1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status at interview†</td>
<td>No current partner</td>
<td>45/325</td>
<td>69/1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of children‡</td>
<td>2 or 3 live births</td>
<td>179/325</td>
<td>642/1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous infant death</td>
<td>1 or more</td>
<td>12/323</td>
<td>15/1298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous stillbirth</td>
<td>1 or more</td>
<td>10/325</td>
<td>15/1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing tenure</td>
<td>Rented/with parents</td>
<td>241/323</td>
<td>510/1297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overcrowding¶</td>
<td>&gt;1 person/room</td>
<td>129/320</td>
<td>284/1296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>During pregnancy</td>
<td>212/322</td>
<td>348/1299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption**</td>
<td>&gt;10 units/wk</td>
<td>40/309</td>
<td>91/1296</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Taking into account gender and gestational age (using z scores).
† Reference group includes mothers married, cohabiting, or supported by partner if not cohabiting.
‡ Including the index or control infant.
§ Both parents (or single parent) unemployed at the time of interview.
¶ Based on highest classification of either parent and previous occupation if currently unemployed.
# Any illegal substance more than once.
** Before pregnancy. Exact figures during pregnancy were not available but data indicates fewer SIDS mothers decreased consumption during this period.

Abbreviations: SIDS, sudden infant death syndrome; SUDI, sudden and unexpected deaths in infancy; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit.

variation significantly different (Kolmogorov-Smirnov one-sample test: .5 < P < .10) from the theoretical distribution that would occur by chance (~1/12 of deaths occurring each month).

Most of the SIDS deaths (83%) occurred during what the parent classified as the night sleep, for explained deaths this proportion was lower (62%) and there was no significant difference between numbers of deaths on different days of the week for either group (Kolmogorov-Smirnov one-sample test: P > .20).

Epidemiologic Characteristics of the Two Index Groups Compared With the Controls

Table 2 shows the epidemiologic characteristics relating to the infant, the mother, and the family that were statistically significant in the univariate analysis of the SIDS or the explained SUDI deaths. The characteristics of the two index groups were very similar and both were significantly different from the control population. The presence of a congenital anomaly recognized in the newborn period was the only factor significant in the explained SUDI but not in the SIDS, although for both groups the prevalence was higher than for the controls. Given the different group sizes, the comparative strength of findings is difficult to interpret but strikingly, all the findings were in the same direction for both SIDS and explained SUDI.

When compared with control infants, both SIDS and explained SUDI infants showed an increased prevalence of compromise at birth in terms of short gestation, neonatal problems, resuscitation at delivery using intubation or cardiopulmonary resuscitation techniques, and admission to a neonatal intensive care unit. A greater proportion of both SIDS and explained SUDI than of controls were male. Taking into account gender and gestational age, low birth weight was an important factor among SIDS infants but just failed to reach significance among the explained SUDI infants. In both groups, a small but significant proportion of infants were one of twins or triplets.

Similar maternal factors were characteristic of SIDS and the explained SUDI group. Both SIDS mothers (median age: 23 years 3 months) and explained SUDI mothers (median age: 24 years 8 months) were younger than the control mothers (median age: 26 years 10 months), a greater proportion were unsupported by a partner and a greater proportion...
portion had more children. More of the index mothers in both groups had experienced a previous infant death or stillbirth.

Several markers were used for socioeconomic status. A separate analysis was conducted to predetermine the best proxy marker for social deprivation but there was insufficient correlation or agreement within different strata to choose a single measure that was sufficiently representative. Results from all markers have therefore been reported. The extent of social deprivation was more widespread among SIDS and explained SUDI families in contrast with the controls whether using unemployment, occupational classification (see definition at end of article), receipt of income support, or parental education as a socioeconomic measure. Figure 4 illustrates the occupational classification of SIDS, explained SUDI, and the control parents (using the higher of the two parents in which both were working). A striking observation is the number of index households receiving no waged income at the time of interview. Approximately half of the index families (49% SIDS, 48% explained SUDI) received no waged income compared with less than a fifth of the control families (18%). This difference was not explained by an excess of single mothers in the index groups, in 41% of SIDS households and 37% of explained SUDI households both parents were unemployed at the time of interview compared with 15% of control households.

Information was also collected on other factors related to socioeconomic status. A significant proportion of both SIDS and explained SUDI families had insecure tenure in terms of living in rented accommodations or with relatives and lived in households that were overcrowded (defined as more than one adult or child per room excluding hallways, toilets, bathrooms, and kitchens if not used as a dining room). The incidence of cigarette smoking and illegal drug use during pregnancy was higher among the explained SUDI mothers compared with the control mothers but even higher among the SIDS mothers. In the latter group a greater proportion of mothers also consumed more than 10 units of alcohol a week.

Table 3 shows the multivariate findings of factors from the univariate analysis that remained significant when modeled together. Among SIDS infants, important factors included male gender, multiple births, and admission to the neonatal intensive care unit that would include preterm infants or those

### Table 3. Epidemiological Multivariate Findings of SIDS and Explained SUDI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>SIDS</th>
<th>Explained SUDI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjusted OR [95% CI]</td>
<td>Adjusted OR [95% CI]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight centiles</td>
<td>1.61 [0.91–2.82]</td>
<td>0.97 [0.21–4.418]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age</td>
<td>1.59 [0.82–3.06]</td>
<td>3.77 [1.32–10.78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal problems</td>
<td>1.62 [0.94–1.25]</td>
<td>3.76 [1.49–9.48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission to NICU</td>
<td>3.66 [2.23–6.00]</td>
<td>1.43 [0.39–5.26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple births</td>
<td>4.03 [1.20–13.50]</td>
<td>3.16 [0.39–25.43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resuscitation at delivery</td>
<td>1.80 [0.68–4.78]</td>
<td>0.76 [0.31–4.44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>1.73 [1.21–2.47]</td>
<td>2.00 [0.88–4.58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital anomaly</td>
<td>1.71 [0.83–1.26]</td>
<td>4.00 [0.92–17.46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age</td>
<td>1.70 [1.10–2.61]</td>
<td>2.03 [0.84–4.90]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to 21 y</td>
<td>4.96 [2.83–8.71]</td>
<td>2.26 [0.64–7.92]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status (at interview)</td>
<td>1.39 [0.68–2.85]</td>
<td>2.80 [0.69–11.32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of children</td>
<td>2.66 [1.70–4.16]</td>
<td>1.48 [0.61–3.56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 or 3</td>
<td>5.29 [2.70–10.36]</td>
<td>2.13 [0.66–6.85]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 or more</td>
<td>1.97 [0.55–6.97]</td>
<td>2.40 [0.36–15.91]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous infant death</td>
<td>3.96 [1.19–13.14]</td>
<td>0.84 [0.06–11.24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous stillbirth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent(s) unemployed</td>
<td>1.72 [1.11–2.66]</td>
<td>3.92 [1.62–9.48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational classification</td>
<td>1.17 [0.79–1.71]</td>
<td>1.66 [0.62–4.45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipt of income support</td>
<td>2.08 [1.32–3.27]</td>
<td>1.73 [0.55–5.40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental education</td>
<td>1.01 [0.68–1.49]</td>
<td>1.31 [0.58–2.97]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing tenure</td>
<td>1.42 [0.90–2.21]</td>
<td>2.99 [1.21–7.29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overcrowding</td>
<td>1.02 [0.67–1.54]</td>
<td>1.45 [0.56–3.72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal smoking</td>
<td>3.10 [2.13–4.51]</td>
<td>1.75 [0.65–4.68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illegal drug use more than once</td>
<td>2.11 [0.79–5.62]</td>
<td>0.82 [0.08–8.86]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption</td>
<td>1.88 [1.03–3.45]</td>
<td>1.01 [0.21–4.78]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with a very low birth weight. Young maternal age was significant, especially for mothers aged 20 years or less, along with a higher number of children, suggesting SIDS families were started at an earlier age. Both unemployment and receipt of income support (a means-tested state benefit—see definition at the end of the article), used as proxy measures for socioeconomic status, remained significant in the multivariate model, yet despite this, both maternal smoking during pregnancy and maternal alcohol consumption remained significant. Stratifying maternal smoking by socioeconomic status showed that maternal smoking was equally significant in each social stratum whichever measure was used.

Among the explained SUDI infants many of the findings were in the same direction as those of the SIDS infants. The most significant infant factors were neonatal problems and short gestational age. None of the maternal factors remained significant but social factors were important in terms of households receiving no waged income and families living in rented accommodations or with relatives.

**Direct Comparison Between the Two Index Groups**

The above models establish differences between the two index groups and the control population but are difficult to compare across the index groups because of the smaller number of explained SUDI cases and resultant lack of strength in the multivariate modeling process. To ascertain whether any of these factors significantly differed between the SIDS and unexplained SUDI infants a direct comparison was made between the index groups using logistic regression. Infant age was used as a covariate in the multivariate modeling process to take account of the difference in age distributions. The results showed that virtually all the comparisons were nonsignificant suggesting the epidemiologic characteristics were similar between the two groups. The only two factors that differed significantly in the univariate analysis and subsequently remained significant in the multivariate analysis were a higher incidence of congenital anomalies at birth in the explained SUDI group (20% vs 8%, multivariate OR = 3.14; 95% CI: 1.52–6.51; \( P = .002 \)) and that significantly more SIDS mothers smoked during pregnancy compared with the explained SUDI mothers (66% vs 49%, multivariate OR = 2.03; 95% CI: 1.16–3.54; \( P = .01 \)).

**Cause-specific Explained Deaths**

The largest subgroup of explained SUDI deaths were those attributable to infection (46%). The age distribution of this subgroup was similar to the explained SUDI group as a whole; most deaths occurred in the first month of life (21%) and more than a third of deaths after 6 months. There was a winter peak of deaths from infection, the highest number occurring in December (21%) but this was not significant (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test: 0.05 < \( P < .1 \)). A multivariate model of these deaths showed unemployment to be the most significant factor (OR = 27.74; 95% CI: 3.19–241.34; \( P = .003 \)). Short gestational age (OR = 11.67; 95% CI: 1.84–74.14; \( P = .009 \)) and neonatal problems (OR = 14.27; 95% CI: 1.89–107.81; \( P = .01 \)) were also significant. There was a male preponderance (58%) and 50% of the deaths from infection occurred in crowded households (>1 person per room). Both of these factors remained significant in the multivariate model (OR = 9.26; 95% CI: 1.63–52.52; \( P = .01 \) and OR = 10.37; 95% CI: 1.08–99.59; \( P = .04 \), respectively).

For the last sleep there were several factors among SIDS infants that remained highly significant in the multivariate analysis; a higher proportion were put down in the side or prone position, were covered by an infant or adult duvet, and were found after the sleep with bedding over their head. Although it is inappropriate to compare these factors with many of the explained deaths, a comparison can be made with those infants who died of infection. A higher proportion of infants in this small group were put down in the side position (9 of 26 [35%] infections vs 33 of 132 [25%] controls) and found with their heads covered (5 of 24 [21%] infections vs 17 of 107 [16%] controls) but these differences were not significant in the univariate analysis. A higher proportion were also put down in the prone position (3 of 26 [12%] infections vs 4 of 132 [0.3%] controls) that just reached significance (univariate OR = 5.67, 95% CI: 1.05–30.66). Although SIDS infants were more warmly wrapped than the controls (thermal resistance of bedding and clothing was one tog more) and significantly more used duvets, those who died of infection were wrapped less heavily (thermal resistance of bedding and clothing was one tog less) than the controls and a smaller proportion (6 of 28 [21%] infections vs 32 of 132 [24%] controls) used duvets.

Data were collected for 11 accidental deaths in this study. None of the factors listed in Table 2 were significant in this subgroup perhaps because of the small numbers, although most of the epidemiologic characteristics were in the same direction as for the SIDS and the deaths from infection.

Of the 10 infants who died unexpectedly from congenital abnormalities, these had been identified in the hospital records at birth for 5, but the infants had not been recognized as having a life-threatening abnormality before death.

Of the total of 21 deaths from nonaccidental injury in the study 12 were boys. The median age at death (median, 103 days; interquartile range: 60–175 days) was higher than SIDS infants but lower than the overall explained SUDI infants. The age distribution of these infants was more uniform than the age distribution of infants who died from other causes, between 2 and 4 deaths occurring in each of the first 7 months, just 2 deaths occurring thereafter. Only 9 of these families were interviewed, the others being excluded according to the study design. Of the 6 families in this group for whom data were obtained on socioeconomic status, only 1 family received a waged income.

**DISCUSSION**

We have undertaken the first major epidemiologic description of sudden death in infancy in England since the national risk reduction campaign and the steep decline in the incidence of SIDS that followed.
This is also the largest reported study of explained SUDI. The key findings are that the socioeconomic context of SIDS has altered, that seasonality seems to have almost disappeared, and that there is little epidemiologic difference between those infants dying suddenly of ascertained causes and those dying as SIDS, apart from different age distributions, a greater prevalence of congenital abnormalities at birth among the explained deaths, and an increased risk associated with maternal smoking during pregnancy among the SIDS.

The strength of the study lies in its geographical approach, the very high ascertainment rate, and the quality and comprehensiveness of data collected by trained professionals very soon after the death, minimizing the risk of inaccurate or biased recall. These strengths are enhanced by the acquisition of 4 controls per case and by the use of two consensus panels, reviewing all available information, in coding the deaths. The methodology has been used successfully on a more restricted geographical scale for many years in Avon.7,11

Because the diagnosis of SIDS as a cause of death is primarily one of exclusion of known or identifiable conditions, it should, to be reliable, take account of information on the past medical and social history of the mother and infant, the circumstances of the death, a full pediatric postmortem examination, and a multidisciplinary discussion between the relevant health care professionals to identify possibly important contributory or causal factors.2,12 Even after such thorough investigation, there may be differences of opinion between experts as to whether a particular factor, identified in the history or postmortem examination, was a sufficient cause, or merely a contributory factor in the death.2,13 For this reason it is appropriate that when investigating factors contributing to SIDS, all sudden unexpected deaths should be included and investigated, not merely those initially classified as SIDS. We have therefore clearly identified criteria on which deaths were considered explained and thus excluded from classification as SIDS.

Although there is a theoretical risk of matching-out socioeconomic factors by selecting controls from the same geographical area as the cases, cooperation with families, especially those from the socially deprived group, is vastly improved by liaison with the health visitor from whose caseload the controls were chosen. A comparison of maternal occupational classification among the controls of this study and the 1991 Great Britain census data in the South-West for mothers with dependent children <1 year old was virtually identical for each social stratum. Each region included in the study had a population of between 3 and 5 million people, between 35 000 and 65 000 live births per annum, and a mixture of large inner city conurbations and rural communities. A comparison of the distribution of maternal occupation among control mothers between each of these regions showed no significant differences. Therefore, there was no evidence that the socioeconomic breakdown of control mothers used in this study was different from the normal population.

Many studies investigating SIDS have reported numerous epidemiologic characteristics and risk factors strongly associated with SIDS when compared with live control infants. It has been generally assumed that these factors are specific to SIDS to the extent that the syndrome has been described as an "epidemiologic entity."9 However, in the univariate analysis many of the epidemiologic characteristics significant among the SIDS group were similarly identified and in the same direction among the infants dying as SUDI as a result of known causes. Many of the factors associated with SIDS that were significantly different from the control population were not significantly different when compared with the explained deaths. This suggests that SUDI possibly share some of the underlying factors irrespective of the clinical or pathologic findings, and challenges a rigid concept of SIDS as an epidemiologic entity.

However we found three clear differences between SIDS deaths and the others: first, the distinct age distribution of SIDS infants seen before the decrease in rates14 is still apparent and markedly different from the age distribution of infants who died of explained causes. Second, more congenital anomalies at birth were noted among the explained deaths, although this is not surprising given that >10% of these deaths were explained by congenital anomalies. Third, the incidence of maternal smoking during pregnancy, although high among mothers of explained SUDI infants, was significantly higher among SIDS mothers. This finding in particular lends weight to the mounting evidence that the association between smoking and SIDS may be part of a causal mechanism.

For both SIDS and explained SUDI infants more deaths occurred during the colder months but the trend was not significant. This lack of seasonal variation among SIDS infants stands in marked contrast to the winter peaks apparent before the decrease in the SIDS rate. Although it has been claimed that seasonality still exists,15 national data for England and Wales during the study period confirm our findings.16 The proportion of infants in England and Wales who died during the winter months (December through February) fell from 34% in 1990 to 1991 before the intervention campaign to 27% in 1995 to 1996 after the campaign. The proportion of deaths in this same yearly quarter from our 3-year study was also 27%.

Most previously reported studies of SIDS have included either a relatively low proportion of explained SUDI, or none at all. The interpretation of the information from such studies is thus limited by the unknown numbers of non-SIDS deaths that have been excluded, and the lack in most such studies of parent-based information. A large study in Scotland17 gathered information on 358 sudden and unexpected deaths but for only 26 was an adequate cause of death identified. Comparing this small number of fully explained deaths with the remaining, unexplained deaths there were few significant differences, particularly when comparing with 53 SIDS cases for which a partial (but not a complete) explanation of the cause of death was identified. A study
of birth certificates comparing SIDS and sudden explained deaths in New York showed many features in common such as low birth weight, young unsupported mothers, poor maternal education, and late prenatal care. Only SIDS deaths had a higher incidence in the colder months, and multiple births were only significantly associated with explained deaths. However, there was some concern that vital statistics data on cause of death in the United States lacked sufficient detail to distinguish reliably between the index groups.

Data from the 1988 National Maternal and Infant Health Survey, representative of 11,000 live births, was used to determine if a number of previously established risk factors specific to SIDS were characteristic of postneonatal deaths in general. Both groups were epidemiologically very similar, the only significant differences were a higher prevalence of male gender and maternal smoking during pregnancy in the SIDS group. Of the studies comparatively looking at SIDS and non-SIDS deaths, the majority concurred with our finding of a significant difference of age at death with non-SIDS occurring more often in the first month of life and after 6 months.

The similarity between the epidemiologic characteristics of the unexplained and the explained deaths (many of which were attributable to infections and some to previously unrecognized congenital anomalies) is consistent with the triple risk hypothesis, in which infants compromised by prenatal or perinatal factors are at increased risk of dying if subjected to an insult at a vulnerable stage of their development.

However, this hypothesis is clearly not applicable to all causes of non-SIDS postneonatal death, for example accidents.

In comparison with previous studies in the United Kingdom conducted before the “Back to Sleep” campaign the proportion of SIDS families in this study classified by occupation class IV, V, or unemployed (63% SIDS vs 27% controls) seems to have markedly risen. The Avon study (1990–1991) reported 42% of SIDS infants in these categories compared with 26% in the control population. A much earlier study conducted in Oxford (1966–1970) showed remarkably similar findings (41% SIDS vs 27% controls) whereas a small study in Tameside in the 1980s reported a much higher proportion of SIDS (60%) in the lower socioeconomic groups but this was a region of high unemployment as reflected in the similarly defined proportion of control families (40%). The data from our study therefore seems to show a marked rise of poorer families in the SIDS group, against the backdrop of a fairly constant secular trend in the lower socioeconomic group (between 26% and 29%) during the 1990s. In half of the index families in this study, the lone parent or both parents were unemployed. The multivariate analysis of explained SUDI deaths showed insecure tenure of the home to be a significant factor whereas the same analysis of deaths as a result of infections also showed that living in an overcrowded household was significant. A consistent thread through many of the cases was a background of social chaos often coupled with absent poverty. Indeed the research health visitors encountered examples of poverty and deprivation of a degree that they could hardly believe was possible in late 20th century Britain. This striking association of absolute poverty with the risk of infant death remains as clear as when first described by Templeman in 1892.

APPENDIX

Definitions

(i) Health Visitor

A health visitor is a graduate nurse with special training in community-based child health surveillance and monitoring. All infants born in England and Wales are allocated a locally-based health visitor.

(ii) Income Support

Income support is a means-tested financial benefit provided by the government to all families with dependent children who receive no waged income or a waged income below a minimum level.

(iii) Occupational Classification

This classification is widely used in the United Kingdom as a proxy marker for socioeconomic status. The underlying basis of the system is to classify people in terms of expected mortality given their occupation. Risk classification for families is based on either the mother or partner, usually taking the one with the longest life expectancy. The categories are: I) professional occupation; II) managerial or technical occupation; III) skilled occupation: N = nonmanual, M = manual; IV) semiskilled occupation; V) unskilled occupation. The unemployed are sometimes represented as a sixth category if previous occupation is taken into account.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by research grants from the National Advisory Body for the Confidential Enquiry into Stillbirths and Deaths in Infancy and the Foundation for the Study into Infant Deaths.

REFERENCES

11. Fleming PJ, Gilbert R, Azaz Y, et al. Interaction between bedding and...
Epidemiology of SIDS and Explained Sudden Infant Deaths
Charlotte E. A. Leach, Peter S. Blair, Peter J. Fleming, Iain J Smith, Martin Ward Platt, Peter J. Berry, BCH, FRCP, Jean Golding and the CESDI SUDI Research Group

Pediatrics 1999;104;e43

Updated Information & Services
including high resolution figures, can be found at:
http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/104/4/e43

References
This article cites 16 articles, 8 of which you can access for free at:
http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/104/4/e43.full#ref-list-1

Subspecialty Collections
This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s):

Fetus/Newborn Infant
http://classic.pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/fetus:newborn_infant_sub

SIDS
http://classic.pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/sids_sub

Epidemiology
http://classic.pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/epidemiology_sub

Permissions & Licensing
Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:
https://shop.aap.org/licensing-permissions/

Reprints
Information about ordering reprints can be found online:
http://classic.pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/reprints

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since . Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 1999 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: .

Downloaded from http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/ by guest on October 3, 2017
Epidemiology of SIDS and Explained Sudden Infant Deaths
Charlotte E. A. Leach, Peter S. Blair, Peter J. Fleming, Iain J Smith, Martin Ward Platt, Peter J. Berry, BCH, FRCP, Jean Golding and the CESDI SUDI Research Group

Pediatrics 1999;104;e43

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:
http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/104/4/e43