ABSTRACT. Exercise-induced bronchospasm, exercise-induced bronchoconstriction, and exercise-induced asthma (EIA) are all terms used to describe the phenomenon of transient airflow obstruction associated with physical exertion. It is a prominent finding in children and young adults because of their greater participation in vigorous activities. The symptoms—shortness of breath, cough, chest tightness, and wheezing—normally follow the brief period of bronchodilation present early in the course of exercise. Bronchospasm typically arises within 10 to 15 minutes of beginning exercise, peaks 8 to 15 minutes after the exertion is concluded, and resolves about 60 minutes later, but it also may appear during sustained exertion. EIA occurs in up to 90% of asthmatics and 40% of patients with allergic rhinitis; among athletes and in the general population its prevalence is between 6% and 13%.4,5

EIA frequently goes undiagnosed. Approximately 9% of individuals with EIA have no history of asthma or allergy. Fifty percent of children with asthma who gave a negative history for EIA had a positive response to exercise challenge. Among high school athletes, 12% of subjects not considered to be at risk by history or baseline spirometry tested positive. Before the 1984 Olympic games, of 597 members of the US team, 67 (11%) were found to have EIA. Remarkably, only 26 had been previously identified, emphasizing the importance of screening for EIA even in well-conditioned individuals who appear to be in excellent health.1,7

The severity of bronchospasm in EIA is related to the level of ventilation, to heat and water loss from the respiratory tree, and also to the rate of airway rewarming and rehydration after the challenge.5,9 Postexercise decrease in the peak expiratory flow rate of normal children may be as much as 15%; therefore, only a decrease in excess of 15% should be viewed as diagnostic. EIA is usually provoked by a workload sufficient to produce 80% of maximum oxygen consumption; however, in severe asthmatics even minimal exertion may be enough to produce symptoms. Patients with normal lung function at rest may have severe air flow limitation induced by exercise, and as many as 50% of patients who are well-controlled with inhaled corticosteroids still exhibit EIA. A challenge of sufficient magnitude will provoke EIA in all patients with asthma.12

Pharmacologic Therapy. Exercise, unlike exposure to allergens, does not produce a long-term increase in airway reactivity. Accordingly, patients whose symptoms manifest only after strenuous activity may be treated prophylactically and do not require continuous therapy.13 Most asthma medications, even some unconventional ones such as heparin, furosemide, calcium channel blockers, and terfenadine, given before exercise, suppress EIA.14,15 McFadden accounts for the efficacy of these disparate classes of drugs by their potential effect on the bronchial vasculature that modulates the cooling and/or rewarming phases of the reaction.16 Short-acting β-agonists provide protection in 80% to 95% of affected individuals with insignificant side effects and have been regarded for many years as first-line therapy. Two long-acting bronchodilators, salmeterol and formoterol, have been found effective in the prevention of EIA.18–21 A single 50-μg dose of salmeterol protects against EIA for 9 hours; its duration appears to wane in the course of daily therapy.22–24 Cromolyn sodium is highly effective in 70% to 87% of those diagnosed with EIA and has minimal side effects.25 Nedocromil sodium provides protection equal to that of cromolyn in children.25 Children commonly engage in unplanned physical activity and sometimes are not allowed to carry their own medication. Thus, a simple long-acting regimen given at home is likely to be more effective than short-acting drugs that must be administered in a timely manner. Although the 12-hour protection by salmeterol reported by Bronsky et al26 may not persist with continued use, the 9-hour duration of action is a dependable finding,23,24 and should be sufficient in most cases.

Nonpharmacologic Approaches. At rest, inspired air is warmed and humidified primarily in the nose and trachea. As the rate of ventilation increases, the air is conditioned predominantly in the intrathoracic airways. Breathing through the nose rather than the mouth or through a mask that reduces the loss of heat and moisture during physical exertion has been shown to minimize EIA.26,27 A gradual cooling off, rather than sudden cessation of activity reduces the rate of rewarming of airways and protects against bronchospasm.28 About 40% to 50% of patients with EIA experience a refractory period after an earlier exercise stimulus. This protection has a half-life of about 45 minutes and dissipates over 2 to 3 hours.28 For this reason, a prolonged warm-up that includes brief periods of intense activity is beneficial for many subjects with EIA.29

In individuals with EIA, aerobic conditioning lessens the prospect of an asthma attack by reducing the ventilatory requirement for any activity. Although improved fitness of children with asthma is highly desirable, we must emphatically discourage patients from adopting the view that they can overcome their disease solely by being in good physical shape.

Conclusions. EIA is a common clinical problem that is not limited to patients with asthma. It is as frequent in athletes as in the general population. With appropriate therapy, 90% of individuals with EIA can control their symptoms and should be able to participate in any vigorous activity. Those patients who are refractory may keep them from participating in 70% to 87% of activities.18–21
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Exercise is a powerful trigger for asthma symptoms. For this reason, young patients may avoid vigorous activity with damaging consequences to their physical and social well-being. Parents may be reluctant to allow their youngsters with asthma to participate in athletics, and teachers may fear taking responsibility for a child's severe attack. All patients suspected of having asthma should be questioned about how much exercise they perform, their exercise tolerance, and symptoms after exertion. Those with a concerning history should have an exercise challenge. Early diagnosis coupled with practical, long-acting treatment regimes such as the one reported by Bronsky et al should help these young people enjoy the benefits of an active lifestyle and fulfill their athletic potential. 

Abbreviations. EIA, exercise-induced asthma; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; PEFR, peak expiratory flow rate.

Exercise-induced bronchospasm, exercise-induced bronchoconstriction, and exercise-induced asthma (EIA) are all terms used to describe the phenomenon of transient airflow obstruction associated with physical exertion. EIA is a problem for all age groups, but its effects are most prominent in children and young adults because of their greater participation in vigorous activities. In this issue of Pediatrics, Bronsky and colleagues report the results of a study of the suppression of EIA by salmeterol. Their randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, 3-way crossover protocol was conducted in 24 children with EIA. The 3 treatment arms were placebo and salmeterol 50 μg delivered by 1 of 2 dry powder delivery devices, the Diskhaler (Glaxo Wellcome, Inc, Research Triangle Park, NC) or the Diskus (Glaxo Wellcome, Inc, Research Triangle Park, NC). Forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) was measured before and after treadmill exercise at intensity sufficient to raise the heart rate to 80% of the maximum predicted usually yields satisfactory data. Variability in the reported incidence may result from inconsistent use of criteria for diagnosis, but it is important to note that a child’s response to exercise may change markedly from day to day. It depends on the nature of the exercise, the ambient conditions, and the child’s airway responsiveness that may be affected by viral infections, exposure to allergens, and the use of medications.

The symptoms of EIA—shortness of breath, cough, chest tightness, and wheezing—typically follow a brief period of bronchodilation that is present early in the course of exercise. Bronchospasm may arise within 10 to 15 minutes of beginning exercise; it peaks 8 to 15 minutes after the exertion is concluded and resolves about 60 minutes later. The existence of a much weaker delayed response 4 to 12 hours after exercise is the subject of controversy. Although EIA characteristically develops after cessation of the physical activity, it may also appear during sustained exertion.

EIA occurs in up to 90% of asthmatics and 40% of patients with allergic rhinitis; its prevalence in general population is between 6% and 13%; among athletes estimates reach 12%. It is very likely that EIA frequently goes undiagnosed. Approximately 9% of individuals with EIA have no history of asthma or allergy. Fifty percent of children with asthma who gave a negative history for EIA had a positive response to exercise challenge. When high school athletes were screened for EIA, 12% of subjects not considered to be at risk by history or baseline spirometry tested positive. Before the 1984 Olympic games, of 597 members of the US team 67 (11%) were found to have EIA. Remarkably, only 26 of these competitors who trained under close medical supervision had been previously identified, emphasizing the importance of screening for EIA even in well-conditioned individuals who appear to be in excellent health. If EIA is underdiagnosed in the elite athlete then the problem must be even greater for the typical child.

Although EIA can be diagnosed clinically, it is best to confirm the observation in the laboratory by a decrease in the peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) or FEV₁ ≥15% after exercise or hyperventilation. The occurrence of EIA generally requires a workload sufficient to produce 80% of maximum oxygen consumption; however, in severe asthmatics even minimal exertion may be enough to produce symptoms. Patients with normal lung function at rest may have severe air flow limitation induced by exercise, and as many as 50% of patients who are well-controlled with inhaled corticosteroids still exhibit EIA. A challenge of sufficient magnitude will provoke EIA in all patients with asthma. Postexercise decrease in the PEFR of normal children may be as much as 15%, and only a decrease in excess of 15% should be viewed as diagnostic. Protocols based on duration of work or the achievement of specific heart rate may not incorporate all the relevant variables; however, a challenge that consists of 6 minutes of continuous exercise at intensity sufficient to raise the heart rate to 80% of the maximum predicted usually yields satisfactory data. Variability in the reported incidence may result from inconsistent use of criteria for diagnosis, but it is important to note that a child’s response to exercise may change markedly from day to day. It depends on the nature of the exercise, the ambient conditions, and the child’s airway responsiveness that may be affected by viral infections, exposure to allergens, and the use of medications.

The evolution of understanding of EIA is captivating. Aretaeus, the Cappadocian, in the 2nd century AD documented that exercise is a trigger for asthma. Aretaeus observed that...
MEDIATORS OF EIA

Mast cells, eosinophils and alveolar macrophages, all resident in the airways, have the capacity to synthesize leukotrienes. Human mast cells readily degranulate in response to a change in osmolarity, constituting a link between the loss of water from hyperventilation during exercise and subsequent mediator release. Concentrations of leukotrienes in the nasal lavage have been shown to rise fourfold about only a 13% to 15% decrease in lung function. This work identified the best forms of exercise to choose for asthma patients and also the best types for use in provocation challenges when the goal is the reliable induction of bronchospasm.

The severity of bronchospasm in EIA is related to the level of ventilation and to heat and water loss from the respiratory tree. The pathogenesis is attributable to the fluxes in heat and water that occur in the airways during conditioning of large volumes of air. Hyperventilation with 5.6% carbon dioxide results in marked bronchoconstriction even in the absence of exercise. Reduction of the temperature and water content of inspired air enhances bronchoconstriction caused by isocapneic hyperventilation. The rate and amount of water loss have been proposed as the main determinants of the extent of airway response, but a prevailing current view, proposed by McFadden, holds that the severity of EIA depends not only on airway cooling and drying, but also on the rate of airway rewarming and rehydration after the challenge. To give emphasis to this association McFadden and colleagues have proposed the term, “thermally induced asthma.”

PHARMACOLOGIC THERAPY

Exercise, unlike exposure to allergens, does not produce a long-term increase in airway reactivity. Accordingly, patients whose symptoms manifest only after strenuous activity may be treated prophylactically and do not require continuous therapy. Most asthma medications, even some unconventional ones such as heparin, furosemide, calcium channel blockers, and terfenadine, given before exercise, suppress EIA. McFadden accounts for the efficacy of these disparate classes of drugs by their potential effect on the bronchial vasculature that modulates the cooling and/or rewarming phases of the reaction. Short-acting β-agonists provide protection in 80% to 95% of affected individuals with insignificant side effects and have been regarded for many years as first-line therapy. Cromolyn sodium is also highly effective in 70% to 87% of those diagnosed with EIA and has minimal side effects. Nitrocrom sodium provides protection equal to that of cromolyn in children. The preferred mode of administration for all these drugs is through metered dose inhalers using chlorofluorocarbons as a propellant.

The newest β-agonist to be introduced in the United States is salmeterol, and another one, formoterol (not to be confused with the short-acting agent fenoterol), is under investigation. Both are long-acting bronchodilators, and both have been found effective in the prevention of EIA. Salmeterol is not associated with rebound airway hyperresponsiveness, the masking of symptoms, or adverse effects. A single 50 μg dose of salmeterol has been found to protect against EIA for 9 hours; its duration appears to wane in the course of daily therapy.

More recently, alternative drug delivery systems have been introduced in part to reduce the emission of chlorofluorocarbons but also to devise methods of administration that may eliminate the need for coordination between inspiration and the actuation of the metered dose inhaler. Further, dry powder inhalers may provide more controlled delivery of a drug with...
a greater proportion reaching the small airways. The Diskhaler, a breath-activated, refillable inhalation device used with a sealed 4-blist er dosage pack, is a dry powder delivery system that accomplishes the stated goals. Clinical trials have demonstrated that the Diskhaler is effective in the delivery of asthma medications. Its main disadvantage is the requirement for frequent reloading with new blister packs, an inconvenience that may undermine patient adherence. The Diskus is a multidose powder inhaler that delivers 60 premeasured, sealed doses of drug, providing medication for up to 1 month rather than only 1 or 2 days. It is relatively easy to use, and it provides a counter that displays the number of doses remaining in the device. At the range of inspiratory flow rates encountered in asthma patients (30–90 L/min), the dose delivered via the Diskus remains at approximately 90% of the label claim. The two systems have been shown previously to be comparable in the delivery of salmeterol.

**BENEFITS OF LONG-ACTING DRUGS**

Inhaled short-acting β-agonists are effective as bronchodilators for up to 4 hours, but their usefulness as prophylactic agents for EIA is under 2.5 hours. Children commonly engage in unplanned physical activity and when exercise is scheduled, it frequently takes place on school grounds where they may not allowed to carry their own medication. Older children may be unwilling to take medicine in the presence of their schoolmates. Thus, a simple long-acting regimen given at home is likely to be more effective than short-acting drugs that must administered in a timely manner. Although the 12-hour protection by salmeterol reported by Bronsky et al may not persist with continued use, the 9-hour duration of action is a dependable finding, and should be sufficient in most cases.

The Expert Panel, convened by the National Heart Lung and Blood Institute, has issued guidelines for the treatment of asthma that include recommendations for EIA. These guidelines embrace a stepwise approach to treat patients with disease of varying severity. The Panel endorsed the use of short-acting β-agonists and salmeterol, nedocromil, and cromolyn for the prevention of EIA. Experience with salmeterol is limited, but it clearly appears promising. Additional approaches that may offer more sustained control of EIA include other long-acting β-agonists and, as suggested above, a combination of an antileukotriene agent with a long-acting antihistamine (H. S. Nelson, personal communication, April 7, 1999).

**NONPHARMACOLOGIC THERAPY**

At rest, inspired air is warmed and humidified primarily in the nose and trachea. As the rate of ventilation increases, the air is conditioned predominantly in the intrathoracic airways. Breathing through the nose rather than the mouth or through a mask that reduces the loss of heat and moisture during physical exertion has been shown to minimize EIA. About 40% to 50% of patients with EIA experience a refractory period after an earlier exercise stimulus. This protection has a half-life of about 45 minutes and dissipates over 2 to 3 hours. For this reason, a prolonged warm-up that includes brief periods of intense activity is beneficial for subjects with EIA. A gradual cooling off, rather than sudden cessation of activity reduces the rate of rewarming of airways and similarly protects against bronchospasm.

Physical fitness raises exercise tolerance and capacity resulting in an increase in oxygen uptake, a reduction in ventilatory requirement, cardiac frequency, and lactic acid production at any given workload in all individuals. Although there is no consistent evidence that physical training decreases the incidence of EIA or improves pulmonary function, it allows patients to increase their exercise load before reaching the threshold for EIA. In individuals with EIA, aerobic conditioning lessens the prospect of an asthma attack by reducing the ventilatory requirement for any activity. Although improved fitness of children with asthma is highly desirable, we must emphatically discourage patients from adopting the view that they can overcome their disease solely by being in good physical shape.

**CONCLUSIONS**

EIA is a common clinical problem that is not limited to patients with asthma. It affects at least 11% of athletes, many of whom compete successfully in aerobic events. The 1984 US Summer Olympic team included 67 athletes with EIA who won 41 medals, 15 of them gold. With appropriate therapy, 90% of patients with EIA can control their symptoms and should be able to participate in any vigorous activity. Those patients who are refractory may not be taking their medication or may suffer from another condition, most likely vocal cord dysfunction.

Exercise is a powerful trigger for asthma symptoms. For this reason, young patients may avoid vigorous activity with damaging consequences to their physical and social well-being. Parents may be reluctant to allow their youngsters with asthma to participate in athletics, and teachers may fear taking responsibility for a child’s severe attack. All patients suspected of having asthma should be questioned about how much exercise they perform, their exercise tolerance, and symptoms after exertion. The same inquiry should be addressed to children with chronic cough, allergic rhinitis, and even those at risk for developing asthma such as first degree relatives of asthma patients and children with atopic dermatitis. Those with a persuasive history should have an exercise challenge. Early diagnosis coupled with practical, long-acting treatment regimes, such as the one reported by Bronsky et al, should help these young people enjoy the benefits of an active lifestyle and fulfill their athletic potential.
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