

AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Committee on Nutrition

Soy Protein-based Formulas: Recommendations for Use in Infant Feeding

ABSTRACT. The American Academy of Pediatrics is committed to the use of maternal breast milk as the ideal source of nutrition for infant feeding. Even so, by 2 months of age, most infants in North America are formula-fed. Despite limited indications, the use of soy protein-based formula has nearly doubled during the past decade to achieve 25% of the market in the United States. Because an infant formula provides the largest, if not sole, source of nutrition for an extended interval, the nutritional adequacy of the formula must be confirmed and the indications for its use well understood. This statement updates the 1983 Committee on Nutrition review¹ and contains some important recommendations on the appropriate use of soy protein-based formulas.

ABBREVIATION. IgE, immunoglobulin E.

BACKGROUND

Although soy protein-based nutrition has been used during infancy for centuries in the Orient, the first use of soy formula feeding in this country was in 1909.² In 1929, Hill and Stuart³ proposed soy protein-based feeding for infants with intolerance to cow milk-based feeding.

Before the 1960s, soy protein-based formulas used soy flour, which imparted a tan color and nutty odor to the formula, and infants consuming it often had diarrhea and excessive intestinal gas. These features and symptoms were attributed to residual indigestible carbohydrates in the soy.^{4,5} Since the mid-1960s, a soy protein isolate has been used, reducing these concerns and greatly increasing acceptance of the product.

COMPOSITION OF ISOLATED SOY PROTEIN-BASED FORMULAS

The isolated soy protein-based formulas currently on the market are all free of cow milk-protein and lactose, and prepared so they that provide 67 kcal/dL. All are iron-fortified and meet the vitamin, mineral, and electrolyte specifications addressed in the 1976 guidelines from the American Academy of Pediatrics for feeding full-term infants⁶ and established by the US Food and Drug Administration.⁷

The protein is a soy isolate supplemented with L-methionine, L-carnitine, and taurine to provide protein at 2.45 to 3.1 g/100 kcal or 1.65 to 2.1 g/dL. The harvested soybean is processed by removal of

the hull to yield a pulp that is then refined to soybean oil and soybean flake. The defatted flakes are processed into soy flour, soy protein isolate, or soy cotyledon fiber. Soy protein isolate is extracted in a slightly alkaline solution and precipitated at the isoelectric point of 4.5 to yield a purity of at least 90% soy protein on a dry basis.⁸

Supplementation with L-methionine began by the early 1970s. In 1979, Fomon et al⁹ demonstrated improved biological quality of the protein with the addition of sulfur-containing amino acids. Subsequent studies in 1986 demonstrated that at a protein intake of 1.8 g/100 kcal, methionine was required to improve nitrogen balance, whereas at intakes of 2.2 and 2.6 g/100 kcal, methionine supplementation improved weight gain, urea nitrogen excretion, and albumin synthesis.¹⁰ Before the routine supplementation of soy protein formulas with methionine, infants with undiagnosed, untreated cystic fibrosis were particularly at risk for severe hypoalbuminemia and edema when fed soy proteins, a risk that remains in soy, cow milk, and breastfed infants with cystic fibrosis until the initiation of pancreatic enzyme therapy.^{11,12}

Carnitine, which is required for the optimal mitochondrial oxidation of long-chain fatty acids, is deficient in foods of plant origin and is added to soy formula to the level in breast milk, as is taurine, an amino acid that is abundant in human milk. Taurine functions as an antioxidant and, along with glycine, is a major conjugate of bile acids in early infancy.

The fat content of soy protein-based formulas is derived primarily from vegetable oils. The quantity of specific fats varies by manufacturer and is usually similar to those in the corresponding cow milk-based formula. The fat content ranges from 5.3 to 5.5 g/100 kcal or 3.6 to 3.8 g/dL. The oils used include soy, palm, sunflower, olein, safflower, and coconut.

Carbohydrate is provided lactose free, as corn starch, corn starch hydrolysate, tapioca starch, or sucrose, with content ranging from 10.0 to 10.2 g/100 kcal or 6.7 to 6.9 g/dL. Polysaccharide, in the form of supplemented soy fiber, has been added to one soy protein-based formula.¹³

Until 1980, mineral absorption from soy formulas was erratic because of poor stability of the suspensions and the presence of excessive soy phytates in the formula.¹⁴ Not surprisingly, conflicting results of studies addressing the adequacy of bone mineralization were reported.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ With the present formulations, bone mineralization, serum levels of calcium and phosphorus, and alkaline phosphatase levels in full-term infants through 6 to 12 months of age are

The recommendations in this statement do not indicate an exclusive course of treatment or serve as a standard of medical care. Variations, taking into account individual circumstances, may be appropriate.

PEDIATRICS (ISSN 0031 4005). Copyright © 1998 by the American Academy of Pediatrics.

equivalent to those seen with cow milk-based formulas.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ Because soy protein isolate formulas still contain 1.5% phytates and up to 30% of the total phosphorus is phytate-bound, the total phosphorus and calcium content of the formulas is ~20% higher than in cow milk-based formula, while still maintaining the mandated calcium to available phosphorus ratio (1.1 to 2.0:1).

The soy phytates and fiber oligosaccharides also bind iron and zinc.²⁰ All soy-based formulas thus are iron-fortified and have proved as effective as iron-fortified (12 mg/L) cow milk-based formulas in the prevention of iron deficiency in infants.^{21,22} With radiolabeled zinc, the highest absorption of zinc is from human milk (41%) and the lowest is from soy formula (14%).²³ All soy protein-based formulas thus are zinc-fortified.^{20,23} In one infant, the phytates may have interfered with the uptake of exogenous thyroid hormone, binding the T4 within the lumen, increasing fecal loss, and reducing the efficacy of oral thyroid hormone.²⁴

Early studies revealed that the full nutritional value of soybean protein is achieved only after heat has been applied. Subsequent studies confirmed the presence of a number of heat-labile factors with biological activity in soybean-based products. The most prominent of these factors is a soybean protease inhibitor with the properties of an antitrypsin, antichymotrypsin, and antielastin.²⁵ Soybean protein isolate, as heated for infant formulas, removes 80% to 90% of this protease inhibitor activity and renders it nutritionally irrelevant. There also are heat-stable factors that remain in the soy protein isolate, including the low-molecular-weight fibers, phytates, saponins, and phytoestrogens.

The phytoestrogens demonstrate physiologic activity in rodent models and, per unit of body weight, the infant's potential intake of phytoestrogen from isolated soy protein-based formula is higher than that demonstrated to influence the menstrual cycle of humans.²⁶ Very limited human data to date, however, suggest that soy phytoestrogens have a low affinity for human postnatal estrogen receptors and low potency in bioassays.²⁵ A number of studies are addressing this issue at this time.

In 1996, the American Academy of Pediatrics issued a statement on aluminum toxicity in infants and children and discussed the relatively high content of aluminum in soy-based formulas.²⁷ Although the aluminum content of human milk is 4 to 65 ng/mL, that of soy protein-based formula is 600 to 1300 ng/mL.^{8,28-31} The source of the aluminum is the mineral salts used in formula production. Aluminum, which makes up 8% of the earth's crust as the third most common element, has no known biological function in humans.²⁸ The toxicity of aluminum is traced to increased deposition in bone and in the central nervous system, particularly in the presence of reduced renal function in preterm infants and children with renal failure. Additional potential sources of aluminum include total parenteral nutrition solutions, renal dialysis fluids, and aluminum-containing antacids. Because aluminum competes with calcium for absorption, increased amounts of

dietary aluminum from isolated soy protein-based formula may contribute to the reduced skeletal mineralization (osteopenia) observed in preterm infants and infants with intrauterine growth retardation.³² Term infants with normal renal function do not seem to be at substantial risk for aluminum toxicity from soy protein-based formulas.⁸

SOY PROTEIN-BASED FORMULAS IN TERM INFANTS

Numerous studies have documented normal growth and development in term neonates fed methionine-supplemented isolated soy protein-based formulas.^{8,33-37} Average energy intakes in infants receiving soy protein formulas also are equivalent to those achieved with cow milk formula.⁸ The serum albumin concentration, as a marker of nutritional adequacy, also is normal,^{8,10,34,38} and bone mineralization also is equivalent to that documented with cow milk-based formula.¹⁵⁻¹⁹ Additional studies confirm that soy protein formulas do not interfere with the normal immune responses to oral immunization with polio vaccine.^{39,40}

SOY PROTEIN-BASED FORMULAS IN PRETERM INFANTS

Preterm infants who weighed from 1500 to 1800 g and were fed methionine-supplemented soy protein-based formulas demonstrated significantly less weight gain, less length gain, and lower serum albumin levels than that achieved with cow milk-based formulas.⁴¹ With lower birth weights, ie, <1500 g, data conflict; one study demonstrated equivalent growth and plasma protein levels,⁴² whereas another demonstrated significant reductions in both.⁴³

All three studies of preterm infants agreed, however, that serum phosphorus levels were lower in the preterm infants fed soy protein-based formula and, when measured, the alkaline phosphatase levels were higher.^{41,42} As anticipated from these observations, the osteopenia of prematurity is reportedly increased in low birth weight infants receiving soy protein-based formulas.^{44,45} Even with supplemental calcium and vitamin D, radiographic evidence of increased osteopenia was present in 32% of 125 preterm infants fed soy protein-based formula.⁴⁵

When combined with concerns about aluminum toxicity, the failure to achieve equivalent growth rates or albumin levels consistently and the reduced bone mineralization lead to the conclusion that soy protein-based formulas should not be fed to low birth weight preterm infants. The newer cow milk protein-based formulas designed for preterm infants are clearly superior.

USE IN DISORDERS OF CARBOHYDRATE METABOLISM

When strict dietary lactose elimination is required in the management of infants with galactosemia or primary lactase deficiency, the soy protein formulas are safe and cost-effective. Soy protein-based formulas with sucrose as the carbohydrate are contraindicated in sucrase-isomaltase deficiency and in hereditary fructose intolerance.

Results of studies in animal models using a diabetes-prone rat suggested an increased frequency of diabetes when ingesting a soybean meal diet. However, when soy protein isolate or hydrolyzed soy protein feedings were used, no significant increase in diabetes was noted. This suggests that the factor contributing to the increased frequency of diabetes in this animal model is not the soy protein present in infant formulas.⁴⁶

USE IN ACUTE DIARRHEA AND SECONDARY LACTASE DEFICIENCY

Because of the role of lactose-free soy protein-based formulas in the management of long-term lactose restriction, a number of studies have addressed the role of these formulas in the recovery from acute infantile diarrhea complicated by transient lactase deficiency. After immediate rehydration, most infants can be managed successfully with continued breastfeeding or standard cow milk or soy formula.^{47,48} In an extensive review, Brown⁴⁷ noted that the dietary failure rate of lactose-containing formulas was 22%, whereas that of lactose-free formulas was 12%. In a study comparing breast milk, cow milk-based formula, and soy protein-based formula, no difference was found in the rate of recovery from rotavirus or nonrotavirus diarrhea based on nutritional therapy.⁴⁹ Although not significant from the perspective of nutritional compromise, the duration of diarrhea has been reported to be shorter in infants receiving soy protein-based formula.^{50,51} The duration of liquid stools may be reduced further by adding additional soy polysaccharide fiber⁵² or by resuming a mixed-staple diet.⁵³

ANTIGENICITY OF SOY PROTEIN-BASED FORMULAS

Any ingested large molecular weight protein is a potential antigen to the intestinal immune system. In soy protein isolate, 90% of the pulp-derived protein resides in two major heat-stable globulins: β -conglycin, with a molecular weight of 180 000, and glycinin, with a molecular weight of 320 000. The former has three subunits, and the latter has six.⁵⁴ After enteric digestion, the number of potential antigens generated at the mucosal surface is enormous.⁵⁵ As a result, the *in vitro* demonstration of antigen-specific antibody can be difficult. The antigenicity of soy protein, suspected since 1934,⁵⁶ was documented in low-risk infants by Eastham et al⁵⁷ in 1982. Intrauterine sensitization has been documented by demonstrating antigen-specific antibody in human amniotic fluid.⁵⁸

Severe gastrointestinal reactions to soy protein formula have been described for >30 years⁵⁹ and encompass the full gamut of disease seen with cow milk protein in infancy—enteropathy, enterocolitis, and proctitis. Small-bowel injury, a reversible celiac-like villus injury that produces an enteropathy with malabsorption, hypoalbuminemia, and failure to thrive, has been documented in at least four studies.^{60–63} To date, those afflicted have responded to the elimination of soy protein-based formulas and are no longer sensitive by 5 years of age. Severe enterocoli-

tis manifested by bloody diarrhea, ulcerations, and histologic features of acute and chronic inflammatory bowel disease also has been well described in infants receiving soy protein-based formulas.^{64–68} They respond quickly to elimination of the soy formula and introduction of a hydrolyzed protein formula. Their degree of sensitivity to soy protein during the first few years of age can remain dramatic; thus, casual use of soy-based formula is to be avoided.^{68,69} Most children, but not all, can resume soy protein consumption safely after 5 years of age. In addition, up to 60% of infants with cow milk protein-induced enterocolitis also will be equally sensitive to soy protein.^{68–70} It is theorized that the intestinal mucosa damaged by cow milk allows increased uptake and, therefore, increased immunologic response to the subsequent antigen soy. Eosinophilic proctocolitis, a more benign variant of enterocolitis, also has been reported in infants receiving soy protein-based formula.^{70–73}

These dietary protein-induced syndromes of enteropathy and enterocolitis, although clearly immunologic in origin, are not immunoglobulin E-mediated, reflecting instead an age-dependent transient soy protein hypersensitivity.⁷⁴ Because of the reported high frequency of infants sensitive to both cow milk and soy antigens, soy protein-based formulas are not indicated in the management of documented cow milk protein-induced enteropathy or enterocolitis.

ALLERGENICITY OF SOY PROTEIN-BASED FORMULAS

Recognizing that soy protein is antigenic does not mean that soy protein is highly allergenic. To address immunoglobulin E (IgE)-mediated hypersensitivity to soy protein-based formula, three types of studies have been performed. The first addresses the frequency with which proven allergy develops in healthy infants fed cow milk- or soy protein-based formulas. The second addresses the same question in infants at high risk according to a family history of allergic responses to dietary protein. The symptom is usually eczema, and the high-risk history usually includes a family history of atopic disease (eg, asthma, allergic rhinitis, or eczema). The third type of study addresses the response of infants with proven cow milk allergy to subsequent ingestion of soy protein-based formula. The problem with these studies is with the definition of allergy, which included fussiness, colic, emesis, a positive RAST antibody, and/or a positive double-blind, placebo-controlled challenge.

In a prospective study of healthy infants fed breast milk, cow milk formula, or soy-based formula, Halpern et al⁷⁵ documented allergic responses to soy in 0.5% of infants and to cow milk in 1.8%. This frequency is consistent with the summary by Fomon⁷⁶ that in 3 decades of study of soy-based formulas, <1% of soy formula-fed infants had adverse reactions. In a national survey of pediatric allergists, the occurrence of allergy to cow milk was reported at 3.4%, whereas allergy to soy protein was reported to be 1.1%.⁷⁷ Two large studies of infants with atopic dermatitis addressed the frequency with which a

double-blind, placebo-controlled challenge with soy protein was positive. Sampson⁷⁸ documented soy positivity in 5% of 204 patients, whereas Businco et al⁷⁹ implicated soy in 4% of 143 children.

Prospective studies of high-risk infants suggest that soy protein-based formula has no relative value over cow milk formula in the prophylaxis or prevention of allergic disease.⁸⁰⁻⁸⁴ Furthermore, the use of soy protein-based formula during the first 3 months of age does not reduce the frequency of positive antibody responses to cow milk formula introduced later in infancy.⁸⁵ When human milk feeding is supplemented with soy formula in high-risk infants, the anticipated frequency of eczema by 2 years of age is not significantly reduced.^{79,80,86,87} Interpretation of these data is obscured by multiple alterations in the maternal diet and by environmental stimuli. The issue of delay in allergic disease, as opposed to the prevention of allergic disease, awaits the result of long-term investigations. Fortunately, true anaphylaxis after soy protein exposure has been reported only once.⁸⁸ According to the data now available, isolated soy protein-based formula has no advantage over cow milk-based formula for supplementing the diet of a breastfed infant.

Two studies documented the frequency of tolerance to soy protein in a small number of children with documented allergy to cow milk protein as defined by a positive skin test and positive double-blind, placebo-controlled challenge. The rate of combined positivity to cow milk and soy approximated 10%.^{89,90}

TREATMENT OF COLIC WITH SOY PROTEIN-BASED FORMULA

Colicky discomfort, apparently abdominal in origin, is described by the parents of 10% to 20% of infants during the first 3 months of age.⁹¹ Although many factors have been implicated, parents frequently seek relief by changing infant formula. Although some calming benefit can be attributed to the sucrose^{92,93} and fiber content,¹³ controlled trials of cow milk and soy protein-based formulas have not demonstrated a significant benefit from soy.^{94,95} The value of parental counseling as to the cause and duration of colic seems greater than the value of switching to soy formula.⁹⁶ Because most colicky behavior diminishes spontaneously between 4 and 6 months of age, any intervention at that time can be credited anecdotally.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. In term infants whose nutritional needs are not being met from maternal breast milk or cow milk-based formulas, isolated soy protein-based formulas are safe and effective alternatives to provide appropriate nutrition for normal growth and development. Isolated soy protein-based formula has no advantage over cow milk protein-based formula as a supplement for the breastfed infant.
2. Because soy protein-based formulas are lactose-free, they are appropriate for use in infants with galactosemia and hereditary lactase deficiency.

3. Parents seeking a vegetarian-based diet for a term infant can be advised to use isolated soy protein-based formula.
4. Most previously well infants with acute gastroenteritis can be managed after rehydration with continued use of human breast milk or standard dilutions of cow milk-based formulas. Isolated soy protein-based formulas are indicated when lactose intolerance has been documented.
5. The routine use of isolated soy protein-based formula has no proven value in the prevention or management of infantile colic.
6. The routine use of isolated soy protein-based formula has no proven value in the prevention of atopic disease in healthy or high-risk infants.
7. Infants with documented cow milk protein-induced enteropathy or enterocolitis frequently are as sensitive to soy protein and should not be given isolated soy protein-based formula routinely. They should be provided formula derived from hydrolyzed protein or synthetic amino acid.
8. Most infants with documented IgE-mediated allergy to cow milk protein will do well on isolated soy protein-based formula.
9. Soy protein-based formulas are not designed or recommended for preterm infants who weigh <1800 g.

COMMITTEE ON NUTRITION, 1996 TO 1997

William J. Klish, MD, Chair
 Susan S. Baker, MD
 William J. Cochran, MD
 Carlos A. Flores, MD
 Michael K. Georgieff, MD
 Marc S. Jacobson, MD
 Alan M. Lake, MD

LIAISON REPRESENTATIVES

Donna Blum
 US Department of Agriculture
 Suzanne S. Harris, PhD
 International Life Sciences Institute
 Van S. Hubbard, MD
 National Institute of Diabetes & Digestive & Kidney Diseases
 Ephraim Levin, MD
 National Institute of Child Health & Human Development
 Ann Prendergast, RD, MPH
 Maternal & Child Health Bureau
 Alice E. Smith, MS, RD
 American Dietetic Association
 Elizabeth Yetley, PhD
 Food and Drug Administration
 Stanley Zlotkin, MD
 Canadian Paediatric Society

AAP SECTION LIAISON

Ronald M. Lauer, MD
 Section on Cardiology

REFERENCES

1. American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Nutrition. Soy-protein formulas: recommendations for use in infant feeding. *Pediatrics*. 1983; 72:359-363
2. Ruhrah J. The soy bean in infant feeding: preliminary report. *Arch Pediatr*. 1909;26:496-501
3. Hill LW, Stuart HC. A soy bean food preparation for feeding infants with milk idiosyncrasy. *JAMA*. 1929;93:985-987

4. Thomson WA. Infant formulas and the use of vegetable proteins. *J Am Oil Chem Soc.* 1979;54:386–388
5. Rackis JJ. Flatulence caused by soya and its control through processing. *J Am Oil Chem Soc.* 1981;58:503–505
6. American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Nutrition. Commentary on breast-feeding and infant formula, including proposed standards for formulas. *Pediatrics.* 1976;57:278–285
7. Food and Drug Administration. Rules and regulations: nutrient requirements for infant formulas (21 CFR Part 107) *Federal Register.* 1985;50:45106–45108
8. Fomon SJ, Ziegler EE. Isolated soy protein in infant feeding. In: Steinke FH, Waggle DH, Volgarev MN, eds. *New Protein Foods in Human Health: Nutrition, Prevention, and Therapy.* Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press Inc; 1992:75–83
9. Fomon SJ, Ziegler EE, Filer LJ Jr, Nelson SE, Edwards BB. Methionine fortification of a soy protein formula fed to infants. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1979;32:2460–2471
10. Fomon SJ, Ziegler EE, Nelson SE, Edwards BB. Requirement for sulfur-containing amino acids in infancy. *J Nutr.* 1986;116:1405–1422
11. Fleisher DS, DiGeorge AM, Barness LA, Cornfield D. Hypoproteinemia and edema in infants with cystic fibrosis of the pancreas. *J Pediatr.* 1964;64:341–348
12. di Sant'Agnese PA, Hubbard VS. The pancreas. In: Taussig LM, ed. *Cystic Fibrosis.* New York, NY: Thieme-Stratton; 1984:230–295
13. Treem WR, Hyams JS, Blankschen E, Etienne N, Paule CL, Borschel MW. Evaluation of the effect of a fiber-enriched formula on infant colic. *J Pediatr.* 1991;119:695–701
14. Bhatia J, Fomon ST. Formulas for premature infants: fate of the calcium and phosphorus. *Pediatrics.* 1983;72:37–40
15. Steichen JJ, Tsang RC. Bone mineralization and growth in term infants fed soy-based or cow milk-based formula. *J Pediatr.* 1987;110:687–692
16. Chan GM, Leeper L, Book LS. Effects of soy formulas on mineral metabolism in term infants. *Am J Dis Child.* 1987;141:527–530
17. Hillman LS, Chow W, Salmons SS, Weaver E, Erickson M, Hansen J. Vitamin D metabolism, mineral homeostasis, and bone mineralization in term infants fed human milk-based formula or soy-based formula. *J Pediatr.* 1988;112:864–868
18. Mimouni F, Campaigne B, Neylan M, Tsang RC. Bone mineralization in the first year of life in infants fed human milk, cow-milk formula or soy-based formula. *J Pediatr.* 1993;122:348–354
19. Venkataraman PS, Luhar H, Neylan MJ. Bone mineral metabolism in full-term infants fed human milk, cow milk-based, and soy-based formulas. *Am J Dis Child.* 1992;146:1302–1305
20. Erdman JW Jr, Fordyce EJ. Soy products and the human diet. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1989;49:725–737
21. Hertrampf E, Cayazzo M, Pizarro F, Stekel A. Bioavailability of iron in soy-based formula and its effect on iron nutriture in infancy. *Pediatrics.* 1986;78:640–645
22. Rios E, Hunter RE, Cook JD, Smith NJ, Finch CA. The absorption of iron as supplements in infant cereal and infant formulas. *Pediatrics.* 1975;55:686–693
23. Sandstrom B, Cererblad A, Lonnerdal B. Zinc absorption from human milk, cow's milk, and infant formula. *Am J Dis Child.* 1983;137:726–729
24. Chorazy PA, Himelhoch S, Hopwood NJ, Greger NG, Postellon DC. Persistent hypothyroidism in an infant receiving a soy formula: case report and review of the literature. *Pediatrics.* 1995;96:148–150
25. Liener IE. Implications of antinutritional components in soybean foods. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr.* 1994;34:31–67
26. Sheehan DM. The case for expanded phytoestrogen research. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med.* 1995;208:3–5
27. American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Nutrition. Aluminum toxicity in infants and children. *Pediatrics.* 1996;97:413–416
28. Hawkins NM, Coffey S, Lawson MS, Delves HT. Potential aluminum toxicity in infants fed special infant formula. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 1994;19:377–381
29. Freundlich M, Zilleruelo G, Abitbol C, Strauss J, Faugere MC, Malluche HH. Infant formula as a cause of aluminum toxicity in neonatal uremia. *Lancet.* 1985;2:527–529
30. Koo WWK, Kaplan LA, Krug-Wispé SK. Aluminum contamination of infant formulas. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 1988;12:170–173
31. Litov R, Sickles VS, Chan GM, Springer MA, Cordano AC. Plasma aluminum measurement in term infants fed human milk or a soy-based infant formula. *Pediatrics.* 1989;84:1105–1107
32. Koo WWK, Kaplan LA. Aluminum and bone disorders with specific reference to aluminum contamination of infant nutrients. *J Am Coll Nutr.* 1988;7:199–214
33. Graham GG, Placko RP, Morales E, Acevedo G, Cordano A. Dietary protein quality in infants and children. VI. Isolated soy protein milk. *Am J Dis Child.* 1970;120:419–423
34. Fomon SJ, Ziegler EE. Soy protein isolates in infant feeding. In: Wilcke HL, Hopkins DT, Waggle DH, eds. *Soy Protein and Human Nutrition.* New York, NY: Academic Press Inc; 1979:79–86
35. Kohler L, Meeuwisse G, Mortenson W. Food intake and growth of infants between six and twenty-six weeks of age on breast milk, cow's milk formula, or soy formula. *Acta Paediatr Scand.* 1984;73:40–48
36. Kay JL, Daeschner CW, Desmond MM. Evaluation of infants fed soybean and evaporated milk formula from birth to three months. *Am J Dis Child.* 1960;100:134/264-145/275
37. Sarrett HP. Soy-based infant formulas. In: Hill LD, ed. *World Soybean Research. Proceedings of the World Soybean Research Conference.* Danville, IL: Interstate Printers and Publishers Inc; 1976:840–849
38. Jung AL, Carr SL. A soy protein formula and a milk-based formula: a comparative evaluation in milk-tolerant infants showed no significant nutritional differences. *Clin Pediatr.* 1977;16:982–985
39. Zoppi G, Gasparini R, Mantovanelli F, Gobio-Casali L, Astolfi R, Crovari P. Diet and antibody response to vaccinations in healthy infants. *Lancet.* 1983;2:11–14
40. Businco L, Bruno G, Grandolfo MG, Novello F, Fiore L, Amato C. Response to poliovirus immunization and type of feeding in babies of atopic families. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol.* 1990;1:60–63
41. Naude SP, Prinsloo JG, Haupt CE. Comparison between a humanized cow's milk and a soy product for premature infants. *S Afr Med J.* 1979;55:982–986
42. Shenai JP, Jhaveri BM, Reynolds JW, Huston RK, Babson SG. Nutritional balance studies in very-low-birth-weight infants: role of soy formula. *Pediatrics.* 1981;67:631–637
43. Hall RT, Callenbach JC, Sheehan MB, et al. Comparison of calcium- and phosphorus-supplemented soy isolate formula with whey-predominant premature formula in very-low-birth-weight infants. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 1984;3:571–576
44. Kulkarni PB, Hall RT, Rhodes PG, et al. Rickets in very-low-birth-weight infants. *J Pediatr.* 1980;97:249–252
45. Callenbach JC, Sheehan MB, Abramson SJ, Hall RT. Etiologic factors in rickets of very-low-birth-weight infants. *J Pediatr.* 1981;98:800–805
46. Scott FW. AAP recommendations on cow milk, soy, and early infant feeding. *Pediatrics.* 1995;96:515–517
47. Brown KH. Dietary management of acute diarrheal disease: contemporary scientific issues. *J Nutr.* 1994;124(suppl 8):1455S–1460S
48. Brown KH, Lake AM. Appropriate use of human and non-human milk for the dietary management of children with diarrhoea. *J Diarrhoeal Dis Res.* 1991;9:168–185
49. Haffeejee IE. Cow's milk-based formula, human milk, and soya feeds in acute infantile diarrhea: a therapeutic trial. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 1990;10:193–198
50. Allen UD, McLeod K, Wang EEL. Cow's milk versus soy-based formula in mild and moderate diarrhea: a randomized, controlled trial. *Acta Paediatr.* 1994;83:183–187
51. Santosham M, Goepf J, Burns B, et al. Role of a soy-based lactose-free formula in the outpatient management of diarrhea. *Pediatrics.* 1991;87:619–622
52. Brown KH, Perez F, Pearson JM, et al. Effect of dietary fiber (soy polysaccharide) on the severity, duration, and nutritional outcome of acute, watery diarrhea in children. *Pediatrics.* 1993;92:241–247
53. Maulen-Radovan I, Brown KH, Acosta MA, Fernandez-Varela H. Comparison of a rice-based, mixed diet versus a lactose-free, soy protein isolate formula for young children with acute diarrhea. *J Pediatr.* 1994;125:699–706
54. Burks AW, Casteel HB, Fiedorek SC, Williams LW, Connaughton C, Brooks JR. Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay and immunoblotting determination of antibody response to major component proteins of soybean in patients with soy protein intolerance. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 1989;19:5–203
55. Udall JN. Serum antibodies to exogenous proteins: the significance? *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 1989;8:145–147
56. Duke WW. Soy bean as a possible important source of allergy. *J Allergy.* 1934;5:300–302
57. Eastham EJ, Lichaucó T, Pang K, Walker WA. Antigenicity of infant formulas and the induction of systemic immunological tolerance by oral feeding: cow's milk versus soy milk. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 1982;1:23–28
58. Kuroume T, Oguri M, Matsumura T, Iwasaki I, Yuzuru K. Milk sensitivity and soybean sensitivity in the production of eczematous manifestations in breast-fed infants with particular reference to intrauterine sensitization. *Ann Allergy.* 1976;37:41–46
- 59.

59. Cook CD. Probable gastrointestinal reaction to soybean. *N Engl J Med*. 1960;263:1076–1078
60. Ament ME, Rubin CE. Soy protein—another cause of the flat intestinal lesion. *Gastroenterology*. 1972;62:227–234
61. Perkkio M, Savilahti E, Kuitunen P. Morphometric and immunohistochemical study of jejunal biopsies from children with intestinal soy allergy. *Eur J Pediatr*. 1981;137:63–69
62. Poley JR, Kein AW. Scanning electron microscopy of soy-protein-induced damage of small bowel mucosa in infants. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 1983;2:271–287
63. Iyngkaran N, Yadov M, Looi LM, et al. Effect of soy protein on the small bowel mucosa of young infants recovering from acute gastroenteritis. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 1988;7:68–75
64. Powell GK. Milk- and soy-induced enterocolitis of infancy: clinical features and standardization of challenge. *J Pediatr*. 1978;93:553–560
65. Halpin TC, Byrne WJ, Ament ME. Colitis, persistent diarrhea, and soy protein intolerance. *J Pediatr*. 1977;91:404–407
66. McDonald PJ, Goldblum RM, Van Sickle GJ, Powell GK. Food protein-induced enterocolitis: altered antibody response to ingested antigen. *Pediatr Res*. 1984;18:751–755
67. Jenkins HR, Pincott JR, Soothill JF, Milla PJ, Harries JT. Food allergy: the major cause of infantile colitis. *Arch Dis Child*. 1984;59:326–329
68. Burks AW, Casteel HB, Fiedorek SC, Williams LW, Pumphrey CL. Prospective oral food challenge study of two soybean protein isolates in patients with possible milk or soy protein enterocolitis. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol*. 1994;5:40–45
69. Eastham EJ. Soy protein allergy. In: Hamburger RN, ed. *Food Intolerance in Infancy: Allergology, Immunology, and Gastroenterology*. Carnation Nutrition Education Series. Vol 1. New York, NY: Raven Press; 1989: 223–236
70. Whittington PF, Gibson R. Soy protein intolerance: four patients with concomitant cow's milk intolerance. *Pediatrics*. 1977;59:730–732
71. Lake AM, Whittington PF, Hamilton SR. Dietary protein-induced colitis in breast fed infants. *J Pediatr*. 1982;101:906–910
72. Odze RD, Bines J, Leichtner AM, Goldman H, Antonioli DA. Allergic proctocolitis in infants: a prospective clinical pathologic biopsy study. *Hum Pathol*. 1993;24:668–674
73. Machida HM, Smith AG, Gall DG, Trevenen C, Scott RB. Allergic colitis in infancy: clinical and pathologic aspects. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 1994;19:22–26
74. Lake AM. Allergic colitis. *Pediatrics*. 1995;1:181–185
75. Halpern SR, Sellars WA, Johnson RB, Anderson RB, Saperstein S, Reisch JS. Development of childhood allergy in infants fed breast, soy, or cow milk. *Allergy Clin Immunol*. 1973;51:139–151
76. Fomon SJ. Introduction to section IV. In: Hamburger RN, ed. *Food Intolerance in Infancy: Allergology, Immunology, and Gastroenterology*. Carnation Nutrition Education Series. Vol 1. New York, NY: Raven Press; 1989:201
77. Johnstone DE, Roghmann KJ. Recommendations for soy infant formula: a review of the literature and a survey of pediatric allergists. *Pediatr Asthma Allergy Immunol*. 1993;7:77–88
78. Sampson HA. The role of food allergy and mediator release in atopic dermatitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 1988;81:635–645
79. Businco L, Bruno G, Giampietro PG, Cantani A. Allergenicity and nutritional adequacy of soy protein formulas. *J Pediatr*. 1992;121: S21–S28
80. Kjellman NI, Johansson SG. Soy versus cow's milk in infants with bi-parental history of atopic disease: development of atopic disease and immunoglobulins from birth to 4 years of age. *Clin Allergy*. 1979;9: 347–358
81. Gruskay FL. Comparison of breast, cow and soy feedings in the prevention of onset of allergic disease: a 15-year prospective study. *Clin Pediatr*. 1982;21:486–491
82. Moore WJ, Midwinter RE, Morris AF, Colley JR, Soothill JF. Infant feeding and subsequent risk of atopic eczema. *Arch Dis Child*. 1985;60: 722–726
83. Merrett TG, Burr ML, Butland BK, Merrett J, Miskelly FG, Vaughan-Williams E. Infant feeding and allergy: 12-month prospective study of 500 babies born into allergic families. *Ann Allergy*. 1988;61:13–20
84. Chandra RK, Singh G, Shridhara B. Effect of feeding whey hydrolysate, soy, and conventional cow milk formulas on incidence of atopic diseases in high-risk infants. *Ann Allergy*. 1989;63:102–106
85. May CD, Fomon SJ, Remigio L. Immunologic consequences of feeding infants with cow milk and soy products. *Acta Paediatr Scand*. 1982;71: 43–51
86. Bardare M, Vaccari A, Allievi E, et al. Influence of dietary manipulation on incidence of atopic disease in infants at risk. *Ann Allergy*. 1993;71: 366–371
87. Giampietro PG, Ragno V, Daniele S, Ferrara M, Cantari A, Businco L. Soy hypersensitivity in children with food allergy. *Ann Allergy*. 1992; 69:143–146
88. Moritmer EZ. Anaphylaxis following ingestion of soybean. *J Pediatr*. 1961;58:90–92
89. Bock SA, Atkins FM. Patterns of food hypersensitivity during sixteen years of double-blind, placebo-controlled food challenges. *J Pediatr*. 1990;117:561–567
90. Ladodo KS, Borovick TE. The use of an isolated soy protein formula for nourishing infants with food allergies. In: Steinke FH, Waggle DH, Volgarev MN, eds. *New Protein Foods in Human Health: Nutrition, Prevention, and Therapy*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press Inc; 1992:85–89
91. Hide DW, Guyer BM. Prevalence of infant colic. *Arch Dis Child*. 1982; 57:559–560
92. Blass EM, Hoffmeyer LB. Sucrose as an analgesic for newborn infants. *Pediatrics*. 1991;87:215–218
93. Rushforth JA, Levene MI. Effect of sucrose on crying in response to heel stab. *Arch Dis Child*. 1993;69:388–389
94. Lothe L, Lindberg T, Jakobsson I. Cow's milk formula as a cause of infantile colic: a double-blind study. *Pediatrics*. 1982;70:7–10
95. Thomas DW, McGilligan K, Eisenberg LD, Lieberman HM, Rissman EM. Infantile colic and type of milk feeding. *Am J Dis Child*. 1987;141: 451–453
96. Taubman B. Parental counseling compared with elimination of cow's milk or soy milk protein for the treatment of infant colic syndrome: a randomized trial. *Pediatrics*. 1988;81:756–761

Soy Protein-based Formulas: Recommendations for Use in Infant Feeding

Committee on Nutrition

Pediatrics 1998;101;148

DOI: 10.1542/peds.101.1.148

Updated Information & Services

including high resolution figures, can be found at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/101/1/148>

References

This article cites 87 articles, 23 of which you can access for free at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/101/1/148#BIBL>

Subspecialty Collections

This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s):
Fetus/Newborn Infant
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/fetus:newborn_infant_sub
Nutrition
http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/nutrition_sub

Permissions & Licensing

Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml>

Reprints

Information about ordering reprints can be found online:
<http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™



PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Soy Protein-based Formulas: Recommendations for Use in Infant Feeding

Committee on Nutrition

Pediatrics 1998;101;148

DOI: 10.1542/peds.101.1.148

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/101/1/148>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 1998 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™

